# Semi-Covariant Formulation of Double Field Theory: Review

IMTAK JEON

KIAS, Seoul

Duality and Novel Geometry in M-theory

26 January 2016

APCTP, Pohang

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

### Based on works

in collaboration with Jeong-Hyuck Park, Kanghoon Lee, Yoonji Suh\*

- Differential geometry with a projection: Application to double field theory JHEP 1104:014 (2011), arXiv:1011.1324
- Double field formulation of Yang-Mills theory

Phys. Lett. B 701:260 (2011), arXiv:1102.0419

- Stringy differential geometry, beyond Riemann Phys. Rev. D 84:044022 (2011), arXiv:1105.6294
- Incorporation of fermions into double field theory JHEP 1111:025 (2011), arXiv:1109.2035
- Supersymmetric Double Field Theory: Stringy Reformulation of Supergravity Phys. Rev. D Rapid Comm. (2012), arXiv:1112.0069
- Ramond-Ramond Cohomology and O(D,D) T-duality JHEP 09 (2012) 079, arXiv:1206.3478
- Stringy Unification of Type IIA and IIB Supergravities under  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  D = 10 Supersymmetric Double Field Theory\* PLB723 (2013) 245-250, arXiv:1210.5078

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

"Double": 
$$x \longrightarrow (x, \tilde{x})$$

• The fields in SFT on a toroidal background have dependance on  $p_i$  and  $w^i$ ,

 $p_i \longleftrightarrow x^i \quad w^i \longleftrightarrow \tilde{x}_i$ 

so have natural dependance on both x and  $\tilde{x}$ .:  $\Phi(x^i, \tilde{x}_i)$ .

T-duality freely exchanges the  $x^i$  and  $\tilde{x}_i$ .

"String field theory is a double field theory". [Kugo, Zwiebach]

• Our focus is on the "massless sectors" of the SFT.

- In general, supergravities are well known to be as the string effective theories.
- However, the supergravity is based on **particle** description and its description is based on Riemannian geometry where the fundamental object is only metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ .
- So, some **stringy effect** might be missing in the supergravity description, and it may not be the best description of the string low energy effective theory.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- String theory requires that  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $B_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\phi$  should be treated on an equal footing , because they form a multiplet of T-duality.
- This suggests that there should be an unifying description of them, beyond the Riemannian geometry.
- Double Field Theory(DFT) has been suggested as an unifying description of string effective theory by manifesting the T-duality structure [Siegel, Tseytlin, Duff, Hull, Zwiebach, Hohm]

• The goal of this talk is to explain about the underlying geometric description for this DFT, called "Semi-covariant formulation"

• It is completely covariant approach for DFT as it manifests

- O(D,D) T-duality
- DFT-diffeomorphisms (generalized Lie derivative)
- A pair of local Lorentz symmetries,  $Spin(1, D-1)_L \times Spin(D-1, 1)_R$
- cf. Alternatives: [Siegel, Gwak, Hohm, Zwiebach;

Waldram, Coimbra, Strickland-Constable (Generalized Geometry a la Hitchin).]

*cf.* U-duality extension: [Hohm, Samtleben, Berman, Cederwall, Thompson, Park, Suh, Malek, Blair, Grana, Marques, Perry...]

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- The goal of this talk is to explain about the underlying geometric description for this DFT, called "Semi-covariant formulation"
- It is completely covariant approach for DFT as it manifests
  - O(D, D) T-duality
  - DFT-diffeomorphisms (generalized Lie derivative)
  - A pair of local Lorentz symmetries,  $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
- cf. Alternatives: [Siegel, Gwak, Hohm, Zwiebach; Waldram, Coimbra, Strickland-Constable (Generalized Geometry a la Hitchin).]
   cf. U-duality extension: [Hohm, Samtleben, Berman, Cederwall, Thompson, Park, Suh, Malek, Blair, Grana, Marques, Perry...]

- The goal of this talk is to explain about the underlying geometric description for this DFT, called "Semi-covariant formulation"
- It is completely covariant approach for DFT as it manifests
  - O(D, D) T-duality
  - DFT-diffeomorphisms (generalized Lie derivative)
  - A pair of local Lorentz symmetries,  $\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R$
- cf. Alternatives: [Siegel, Gwak, Hohm, Zwiebach;

Waldram, Coimbra, Strickland-Constable (Generalized Geometry a la Hitchin).]

*cf.* U-duality extension: [Hohm, Samtleben, Berman, Cederwall, Thompson, Park, Suh, Malek, Blair, Grana, Marques, Perry...]

### Contents

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

- 1. Introduction
- 2. (Bosonic) Double Field Theory
- 3. Semi-covariant formulation
- 4. Supersymmetric extension of Double field theory

### **Bosonic Double Field Theory**

• DFT manifests the T-duality by using O(D, D) tensors as its dynamical variables.

e.g. NS-NS fields in DFT :

dilaton, 'generalized metric' (scalar density) (symmetric  $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$  element)  $e^{-2d} = \sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{-1} & -g^{-1}B\\ Bg^{-1} & g - Bg^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$ 

*cf*. Non-geometric parametrization [Ko-Melby-Thompson-Meyer-Park 2015](Melby-Thompson's talk)

- The Busher's rule is realized as an subgroup of O(D, D) rotation, [Giveon, Rabinovici, Veneziano, Tseytlin, Siegel]:
   *d* is scalar and H<sub>AB</sub> is rank 2 tensor.
- Metric in DFT : O(D, D) metric,

$$\mathcal{J}_{AB} := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

freely raises or lowers the (D + D)-dimensional vector indices, A, B.

### 2. Bosonic Double Field Theory

• DFT action for NS-NS sector: [Hull and Zwiebach, later with Hohm ]

$$S_{\mathrm{DFT}} = \int \mathrm{d}y^{2D} \; e^{-2d} L_{\mathrm{DFT}}(\mathcal{H}, d) \, ,$$

where

$$\begin{split} L_{\rm DFT}(\mathcal{H},d) &= \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left( 4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d\partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) \\ &+ 4\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB} \,. \end{split}$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- O(D, D) structure is manifest and background independent.
- All spacetime dimension is 'formally doubled',  $y^A = (\tilde{x}_{\mu}, x^{\nu}), A = 1, 2, \dots, D+D.$

### Section condition

- DFT is a *D*-dimensional theory written in terms of (D + D)-dimensional language, i.e. tensors.
- Section condition (strong constraint): The O(D, D) d'Alembert operator is trivial, acting on arbitrary fields or gauge parameters as well as their products:

$$\partial_A \partial^A = \mathcal{J}^{AB} \partial_A \partial_B = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu \partial x^\mu} \sim 0$$

• In DFT, the solution is unique. Up to O(D, D) rotation, we can choose a frame to set

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_{\mu}} \sim 0$$

• DFT action in Riemannian parametrization gives the effective action:

$$S_{\text{DFT}} \Longrightarrow S_{\text{eff.}} = \int \mathrm{d}x^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} \left( R_g + 4(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12}H^2 \right).$$

### Section condition

• Level matching condition for the massless sector,

$$p \cdot w = N - \bar{N} \equiv 0 \iff \partial_A \partial^A = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}_\mu \partial x^\mu} \equiv 0,$$

for all fields. (weak constraint)

So,

$$\partial_A \partial^A \Phi = 0$$
, but  $\partial_A \Phi_1 \partial^A \Phi_2 \neq 0$ 

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

• Section condition(strong constraint) seems necessary to write a complete theory, because of action invariance and closedness of symmetry algebra .

### Gauge symmetry: 'DFT-diffeomorphism'

Unification of diffeomorphism and B-field gauge symmetry, expressed via

• 'generalized Lie derivative' [Siegel, Courant, Grana ...]

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X T_{\omega A} := X^B \partial_B T_{\omega A} + \omega \partial_B X^B T_{\omega A} + \partial_A X^B T_{\omega B} - \partial^B X_A T_{\omega B}.$$

•  $X^A$  is an unifying gauge parameter (*B*-field gauge symmetry+diffeomorphism),

$$X^A = (\Lambda_\mu, \delta x^\nu)$$

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

•  $\mathcal{H}_{AB}$  is a rank 2 DFT tensor, and  $e^{-2d}$  is a weight 1 DFT scalar,

### Algebra of the gauge symmetry

• Commutator of the generalized Lie derivatives is closed, up to the section condition, by using **c**-bracket,

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_Y] \sim \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{[X,Y]_{\mathbb{C}}},$$

where  $[X, Y]_{c}$  denotes *C*-bracket

$$[X,Y]^A_{\mathbf{C}} := X^B \partial_B Y^A - Y^B \partial_B X^A + \frac{1}{2} Y^B \partial^A X_B - \frac{1}{2} X^B \partial^A Y_B \,,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

### Remarks 1 : section condition

- Understanding the level matching condition (weak constraint) in DFT is crucial but very subtle. (Kanghoon's talk )
- However, "relaxing" the section condition to some extent in case of dimensional reduction has been understood. [Aldazabal, Baron, Nunez, Grana, Marqués, Geissbühler Berman, Lee]
   The section condition is sufficient but not necessary condition for the algebra closure and action invariance. (next week's talk )

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

• This talk will be restricted on the section condition(strong constraint)

### Remarks 1 : section condition

- Understanding the level matching condition (weak constraint) in DFT is crucial but very subtle. (Kanghoon's talk )
- However, "relaxing" the section condition to some extent in case of dimensional reduction has been understood. [Aldazabal, Baron, Nunez, Grana, Marqués, Geissbühler Berman, Lee]
   The section condition is sufficient but not necessary condition for the algebra closure and action invariance. (next week's talk )

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• This talk will be restricted on the section condition(strong constraint)

### Remarks 1 : section condition

- Understanding the level matching condition (weak constraint) in DFT is crucial but very subtle. (Kanghoon's talk )
- However, "relaxing" the section condition to some extent in case of dimensional reduction has been understood. [Aldazabal, Baron, Nunez, Grana, Marqués, Geissbühler Berman, Lee]
   The section condition is sufficient but not necessary condition for the algebra closure and action invariance. (next week's talk )

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• This talk will be restricted on the section condition(strong constraint)

## Remarks 2 : doubled-yet-gauged coordinates

Doubled-yet-gauged coordinates
 [Park]

The D-dimensional section is better understood in terms of doubled-yet-gauged (D+D)-dimensional coordinates.

: We start with D + D coordinates, and impose an equivalence relation,

$$x^A \sim x^A + \phi \partial^A \varphi$$
,

where  $\phi$  and  $\varphi$  are arbitrary functions in DFT.

# Each gauge orbit parametrized by this shift functions represents a single physical point.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

which we call 'Coordinate Gauge Symmetry'.

### Remarks 2 : doubled-yet-gauged coordinates

#### • *Realization of the coordinate gauge symmetry.*

We enforcing that arbitrary functions and their arbitrary derivatives, denoted here collectively by  $\Phi$ , are invariant under the coordinate gauge symmetry *shift*,

$$\Phi(x + \Delta) = \Phi(x), \qquad \Delta^A = \phi \partial^A \varphi.$$

#### • Section condition.

The invariance under the coordinate gauge symmetry can be shown to be equivalent to the section condition:

**Coordinate Gauge Symmetry**  $\iff \partial_A \partial^A \equiv 0$ .

Park, Lee-Park 2013

### Remarks 2 : doubled-yet-gauged coordinates

#### • *Realization of the coordinate gauge symmetry.*

We enforcing that arbitrary functions and their arbitrary derivatives, denoted here collectively by  $\Phi$ , are invariant under the coordinate gauge symmetry *shift*,

$$\Phi(x + \Delta) = \Phi(x), \qquad \Delta^A = \phi \partial^A \varphi.$$

#### • Section condition.

The invariance under the coordinate gauge symmetry can be shown to be equivalent to the section condition:

**Coordinate Gauge Symmetry**  $\iff \partial_A \partial^A \equiv 0$ .

Park, Lee-Park 2013

### Remarks 3: Double sigma model

- The coordinate gauge symmetry can be naturally realized on the worldsheet as a conventional gauge symmetry of a string action.
- Introducing the gauge field for the coordinate gauge symmetry and defining the covariant derivative,  $D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M \mathcal{A}_i^M$ , **DFT sigma model action** is written Park-Lee 2013,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'}\int d^2\sigma \ \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}} \ , \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{string}} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM} \ ,$$

• Under the Riemaniann parametrization, the DFT sigma model reduces to the standard string action,

 $\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'}\mathcal{L}_{\text{string}} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \Big[ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h}h^{ij}\partial_i X^{\mu}\partial_j X^{\nu}G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i X^{\mu}\partial_j X^{\nu}B_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i \tilde{X}_{\mu}\partial_j X^{\nu}W_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i X^{\mu}W_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i X^{\mu}W_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac$ 

### Remarks 3: Double sigma model

- The coordinate gauge symmetry can be naturally realized on the worldsheet as a conventional gauge symmetry of a string action.
- Introducing the gauge field for the coordinate gauge symmetry and defining the covariant derivative,  $D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M \mathcal{A}_i^M$ , **DFT sigma model action** is written Park-Lee 2013,

$$rac{1}{4\pilpha'}\int\!\mathrm{d}^2\sigma\;\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{string}}\;,\qquad \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{string}}=-rac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h}\,h^{ij}D_iX^MD_jX^N\mathcal{H}_{MN}(X)-\epsilon^{ij}D_iX^M\mathcal{A}_{jM}\,,$$

• Under the Riemaniann parametrization, the DFT sigma model reduces to the standard string action,

 $\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'}\mathcal{L}_{\text{string}} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \Big[ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h}h^{ij}\partial_i X^{\mu}\partial_j X^{\nu}G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i X^{\mu}\partial_j X^{\nu}B_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i \tilde{X}_{\mu}\partial_j X^{\nu}G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i \tilde{X}_{\mu}\partial_j X^{\mu}G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i \tilde{X}_{\mu}\partial_j X^{\mu}G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i \tilde{X}_{\mu}\partial_j X^{\mu}G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i \tilde{X}_{\mu}\partial_j X^{\mu}G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i \tilde{X}_{$ 

### Remarks 3: Double sigma model

- The coordinate gauge symmetry can be naturally realized on the worldsheet as a conventional gauge symmetry of a string action.
- Introducing the gauge field for the coordinate gauge symmetry and defining the covariant derivative,  $D_i X^M = \partial_i X^M \mathcal{A}_i^M$ , **DFT sigma model action** is written Park-Lee 2013,

$$rac{1}{4\pilpha'} \int \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \; \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{string}} \;, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{string}} = -rac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h} h^{ij} D_i X^M D_j X^N \mathcal{H}_{MN}(X) - \epsilon^{ij} D_i X^M \mathcal{A}_{jM} \;,$$

• Under the Riemaniann parametrization, the DFT sigma model reduces to the standard string action,

 $\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'}\mathcal{L}_{\text{string}} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \Big[ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-h}h^{ij}\partial_i X^{\mu}\partial_j X^{\nu}G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i X^{\mu}\partial_j X^{\nu}B_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i \tilde{X}_{\mu}\partial_j X^{\nu}G_{\mu\nu}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\partial_i X^{\mu}\partial_j X^{\mu}\partial$ 

with the topological term introduced by Giveon-Rocek; Hull .

#### • Diffeomorphisms.

• Hohm-Zwiebach ansatz for finite transformations:

$$F := \frac{1}{2} \left( L \bar{L}^{-1} + \bar{L}^{-1} L \right) , \qquad \bar{F} := \mathcal{J} F' \mathcal{J}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( L^{-1} \bar{L} + \bar{L} L^{-1} \right) = F^{-1} ,$$

where

$$L_M{}^N := \partial_M x'^N, \qquad \bar{L} := \mathcal{J}L^t \mathcal{J}^{-1}.$$

- Though nice and compact, F does not precisely coincide with  $\exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X)$ .
- Yet, up to coordinate gauge symmetry it is possible to show Park 2013

$$F \equiv \exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X)$$

*c.f.* Berman-Cederwall-Perry, Hull, Papadopoulos, Sakatani, Rey (Sakatani's talk)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- Diffeomorphisms.
  - Hohm-Zwiebach ansatz for finite transformations:

$$F := \frac{1}{2} \left( L \bar{L}^{-1} + \bar{L}^{-1} L \right) , \qquad \bar{F} := \mathcal{J} F' \mathcal{J}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( L^{-1} \bar{L} + \bar{L} L^{-1} \right) = F^{-1} ,$$
  
where  
$$L_M^{\ N} := \partial_M x'^N , \qquad \bar{L} := \mathcal{J} L' \mathcal{J}^{-1} .$$

• Though nice and compact, F does not precisely coincide with 
$$\exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X)$$
.

• Yet, up to coordinate gauge symmetry it is possible to show Park 2013

$$F \equiv \exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X)$$

*c.f.* Berman-Cederwall-Perry, Hull, Papadopoulos, Sakatani, Rey (Sakatani's talk)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

- Diffeomorphisms.
  - Hohm-Zwiebach ansatz for finite transformations:

$$F := \frac{1}{2} \left( L \bar{L}^{-1} + \bar{L}^{-1} L \right) , \qquad \bar{F} := \mathcal{J} F' \mathcal{J}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( L^{-1} \bar{L} + \bar{L} L^{-1} \right) = F^{-1} ,$$

where

$$L_M{}^N := \partial_M x'^N, \qquad \bar{L} := \mathcal{J}L^t \mathcal{J}^{-1}.$$

• Though nice and compact, *F* does not precisely coincide with  $\exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X)$ .

• Yet, up to coordinate gauge symmetry it is possible to show Park 2013

$$F \equiv \exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X)$$

*c.f.* Berman-Cederwall-Perry, Hull, Papadopoulos, Sakatani, Rey (Sakatani's talk)

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Diffeomorphisms.
  - Hohm-Zwiebach ansatz for finite transformations:

$$F := \frac{1}{2} \left( L \bar{L}^{-1} + \bar{L}^{-1} L \right) , \qquad \bar{F} := \mathcal{J} F' \mathcal{J}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( L^{-1} \bar{L} + \bar{L} L^{-1} \right) = F^{-1} ,$$

where

$$L_M{}^N := \partial_M x'^N, \qquad \bar{L} := \mathcal{J}L^t \mathcal{J}^{-1}.$$

- Though nice and compact, F does not precisely coincide with  $\exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X)$ .
- Yet, up to coordinate gauge symmetry it is possible to show Park 2013

$$F \equiv \exp(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X)$$

*c.f.* Berman-Cederwall-Perry, Hull, Papadopoulos, Sakatani, Rey (Sakatani's talk)

### 3. Semi-covariant formlation

Connection

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{0}_{CAB} &= 2\left(P\partial_{C}P\bar{P}\right)_{[AB]} + 2\left(\bar{P}_{[A}{}^{D}\bar{P}_{B]}{}^{E} - P_{[A}{}^{D}P_{B]}{}^{E}\right)\partial_{D}P_{EC} \\ &- \frac{4}{D-1}\left(\bar{P}_{C[A}\bar{P}_{B]}{}^{D} + P_{C[A}P_{B]}{}^{D}\right)\left(\partial_{D}d + (P\partial^{E}P\bar{P})_{[ED]}\right)\,,\end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Curvature

 $(P^{AB}P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB}\bar{P}^{CD})S_{ACBD}$ 

• Basic geometric objects are

 $\mathcal{J}_{AB}\,,\qquad \mathcal{H}_{AB}\,,\qquad d\,.$ 

• Note that

$$\mathcal{H}_{A}{}^{C}\mathcal{H}_{C}{}^{B}=\delta_{A}{}^{B}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_{AB}=\mathcal{H}_{BA},$$

• We can define 'projection' which is related to  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$P_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{AB} + \mathcal{H}_{AB}), \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{AB} - \mathcal{H}_{AB})$$

which satisfy the property of the projections,

$$P_{A}{}^{B}P_{B}{}^{C} = P_{A}{}^{C}, \quad P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{A}{}^{B}\bar{P}_{B}{}^{C} = \bar{P}_{A}{}^{C}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}$$

- Projection will the characteristic property of DFT geometry.
- The basic geometric objects, which should be treated equally, are

$$(d, P_{AB}, \overline{P}_{AB})$$
.

· Basic geometric objects are

 $\mathcal{J}_{AB}\,,\qquad \mathcal{H}_{AB}\,,\qquad d\,.$ 

Note that

$$\mathcal{H}_{A}{}^{C}\mathcal{H}_{C}{}^{B}=\delta_{A}{}^{B}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_{AB}=\mathcal{H}_{BA},$$

• We can define 'projection' which is related to  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$P_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{AB} + \mathcal{H}_{AB}), \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{AB} - \mathcal{H}_{AB})$$

which satisfy the property of the projections,

$$P_{A}{}^{B}P_{B}{}^{C} = P_{A}{}^{C}, \quad P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{A}{}^{B}\bar{P}_{B}{}^{C} = \bar{P}_{A}{}^{C}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}$$

- Projection will the characteristic property of DFT geometry.
- The basic geometric objects, which should be treated equally, are

$$(d, P_{AB}, \overline{P}_{AB})$$
 .

• Basic geometric objects are

 $\mathcal{J}_{AB}\,,\qquad \mathcal{H}_{AB}\,,\qquad d\,.$ 

Note that

$$\mathcal{H}_{A}{}^{C}\mathcal{H}_{C}{}^{B}=\delta_{A}{}^{B}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_{AB}=\mathcal{H}_{BA},$$

• We can define 'projection' which is related to  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$P_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{AB} + \mathcal{H}_{AB}), \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{J}_{AB} - \mathcal{H}_{AB})$$

which satisfy the property of the projections,

$$P_{A}{}^{B}P_{B}{}^{C} = P_{A}{}^{C}, \quad P_{AB} = P_{BA}, \quad \bar{P}_{A}{}^{B}\bar{P}_{B}{}^{C} = \bar{P}_{A}{}^{C}, \quad \bar{P}_{AB} = \bar{P}_{BA}$$

- Projection will the characteristic property of DFT geometry.
- The basic geometric objects, which should be treated equally, are

$$(d, P_{AB}, \overline{P}_{AB})$$
.

• We further define a pair of six-index projectors,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} &:= P_{C}{}^{D}P_{[A}{}^{[E}P_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1}P_{C[A}P_{B]}{}^{[E}P^{F]D}, \qquad \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF}\mathcal{P}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{GHI}, \\ \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF} &:= \bar{P}_{C}{}^{D}\bar{P}_{[A}{}^{[E}\bar{P}_{B]}{}^{F]} + \frac{2}{D-1}\bar{P}_{C[A}\bar{P}_{B]}{}^{[E}\bar{P}^{F]D}, \qquad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF}\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{DEF}{}^{GHI} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{GHI}, \end{aligned}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

which satisfy the following properties, symmetric and traceless,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{CABDEF} &= \mathcal{P}_{DEFCAB} = \mathcal{P}_{C[AB]D[EF]} , & \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CABDEF} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{DEFCAB} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{C[AB]D[EF]} , \\ \mathcal{P}^{A}_{ABDEF} &= 0 , & P^{AB}\mathcal{P}_{ABCDEF} = 0 , & \bar{\mathcal{P}}^{A}_{ABDEF} = 0 , & \bar{\mathcal{P}}^{AB}\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ABCDEF} = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

• These projectors will govern the DFT-diffeomorphic anomaly in the semi-covariant formalism, which can be easily projected out.

• Postulate a "semi-covariant" derivative,  $\nabla_A$  on DFT tensor  $T_A$  with weight  $\omega$ ,  $\nabla_C T_A = \partial_C T_A - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_A + \Gamma_{CA}{}^B T_B$ ,

• We demand the following compatibility conditions,

 $\nabla_A P_{BC} = 0, \qquad \nabla_A P_{BC} = 0, \qquad \nabla_A d = 0,$  $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \text{ in Riemannian geometry } )$ 

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Postulate a "semi-covariant" derivative,  $\nabla_A$  on DFT tensor  $T_A$  with weight  $\omega$ ,

$$\nabla_C T_A = \partial_C T_A - \omega \Gamma^B{}_{BC} T_A + \Gamma_{CA}{}^B T_B \,,$$

• We demand the following compatibility conditions,

 $abla_A \overline{P}_{BC} = 0, \qquad 
abla_A P_{BC} = 0, \qquad 
abla_A d = 0,$ 

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(cf.  $\nabla_{\lambda}g_{\mu\nu} = 0$  in Riemannian geometry )

• Torsion free conection is uniquely determined in terms of basic geometrical variables, [IJ, Lee, Park '11]

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{0}_{CAB} &= 2 \left( P \partial_{C} P \bar{P} \right)_{[AB]} + 2 \left( \bar{P}_{[A}{}^{D} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{E} - P_{[A}{}^{D} P_{B]}{}^{E} \right) \partial_{D} P_{EC} \\ &- \frac{4}{D-1} \left( \bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{D} + P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^{D} \right) \left( \partial_{D} d + (P \partial^{E} P \bar{P})_{[ED]} \right) \,, \end{split}$$

satisfying the torsion free condition,

$$\Gamma^0_{[ABC]} = 0\,, \qquad (\Leftrightarrow ~~ \hat{\mathcal{L}}^\partial_X = \hat{\mathcal{L}}^
abla}_X)$$

and further satisfying

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF}\Gamma^0_{DEF}=0\,,\qquad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF}\Gamma^0_{DEF}=0\,.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

### Stringy differential geometry (1015.6294 (1011.1324))

• Under  $\delta_X \mathcal{P}_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{P}_{AB} \ \delta_X d = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d$  (DFT-diffeomorphism), the variation of  $\nabla_C T_A$  contains an anomalous non-covariant part,

$$(\delta_X - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X) \nabla_C T_A \sim 2(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA}^{BFDE} \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]} T_B.$$

- Hence, these are not DFT-diffeomorphism covariant,
- However, the anomalous term are controlled by the rank six projectors, so they can be projected out by combining the projection matrices  $P_{AB}$  and  $\bar{P}_{AB}$ .

### Stringy differential geometry 1105.6294 (1011.1324)

• Under  $\delta_X \mathcal{P}_{AB} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{P}_{AB} \ \delta_X d = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d$  (DFT-diffeomorphism), the variation of  $\nabla_C T_A$  contains an anomalous non-covariant part,

$$(\delta_X - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X) \nabla_C T_A \sim 2(\mathcal{P} + \bar{\mathcal{P}})_{CA}^{BFDE} \partial_F \partial_{[D} X_{E]} T_B.$$

- · Hence, these are not DFT-diffeomorphism covariant,
- However, the anomalous term are controlled by the rank six projectors, so they can be projected out by combining the projection matrices  $P_{AB}$  and  $\bar{P}_{AB}$ .

## Projection-aided covariant derivatives

"semi-covariant derivative" :

combined with the projections, we can generate various covariant quantities: Examples:

• For O(D, D) tensors:

$$\begin{split} & P_{C}{}^{D}\bar{P}_{A}{}^{B}\nabla_{D}T_{B}, & \bar{P}_{C}{}^{D}P_{A}{}^{B}\nabla_{D}T_{B}, \\ & P^{AB}\nabla_{A}T_{B}, & \bar{P}^{AB}\nabla_{A}T_{B}, & \text{Divergences}, \\ & P^{AB}\bar{P}_{C}{}^{D}\nabla_{A}\nabla_{B}T_{D}, & \bar{P}^{AB}P_{C}{}^{D}\nabla_{A}\nabla_{B}T_{D}. & \text{Laplacians} \end{split}$$

· Patern: need opposite chirality or contraction

# Curvatures 1105.6294

• The usual diffeomorphhism field strength defined by

$$R_{CDAB} = \partial_A \Gamma_{BCD} - \partial_B \Gamma_{ACD} + \Gamma_{AC}{}^E \Gamma_{BED} - \Gamma_{BC}{}^E \Gamma_{AED} ,$$

#### is NOT covariant.

• Instead, we define *semi-covariant four-index curvature*, as for a **key quantity** in our formalism, *cf*. [Siegel; Waldram; Hohm, Zwiebach]

$$S_{ABCD} := rac{1}{2} \left( R_{ABCD} + R_{CDAB} - \Gamma^{E}_{AB} \Gamma_{ECD} 
ight) \,.$$

- It satisfies
  - just like the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$

 $S_{A[BCD]} = 0$  : Bianchi identity,

• and with projectors,

 $(P^{AB}P^{CD}+ar{P}^{AB}ar{P}^{CD})S_{ACBD}\sim 0$  .

 $P_I^A P_J^B \bar{P}_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \sim 0,$ 

 $P_L^A \bar{P}_L^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \sim 0$ , (MC) (  $P_L^A \bar{P}_L * E$  ) E 990

## Curvatures 1105.6294

• The usual diffeomorphhism field strength defined by

$$R_{CDAB} = \partial_A \Gamma_{BCD} - \partial_B \Gamma_{ACD} + \Gamma_{AC}{}^E \Gamma_{BED} - \Gamma_{BC}{}^E \Gamma_{AED} ,$$

is NOT covariant.

• Instead, we define *semi-covariant four-index curvature*, as for a **key quantity** in our formalism, *cf*. [Siegel; Waldram; Hohm, Zwiebach]

$$S_{ABCD} := rac{1}{2} \left( R_{ABCD} + R_{CDAB} - \Gamma^{E}_{AB} \Gamma_{ECD} 
ight) \, .$$

- It satisfies
  - just like the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$

 $S_{A[BCD]} = 0$  : Bianchi identity,

• and with projectors,

## Curvatures 1105.6294

• The usual diffeomorphhism field strength defined by

$$R_{CDAB} = \partial_A \Gamma_{BCD} - \partial_B \Gamma_{ACD} + \Gamma_{AC}{}^E \Gamma_{BED} - \Gamma_{BC}{}^E \Gamma_{AED} ,$$

is NOT covariant.

• Instead, we define *semi-covariant four-index curvature*, as for a **key quantity** in our formalism, *cf*. [Siegel; Waldram; Hohm, Zwiebach]

$$S_{ABCD} := rac{1}{2} \left( R_{ABCD} + R_{CDAB} - \Gamma^{E}_{AB} \Gamma_{ECD} 
ight) \, .$$

- It satisfies
  - just like the Riemann curvature,

$$S_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{[AB][CD]} + S_{[CD][AB]}),$$

$$S_{A[BCD]} = 0$$
 : Bianchi identity,

• and with projectors,

$$(P^{AB}P^{CD} + \bar{P}^{AB}\bar{P}^{CD})S_{ACBD} \sim 0,$$

$$P_I^A P_J^B \bar{P}_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \sim 0,$$

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B P_K^C \bar{P}_L^D S_{ABCD} \sim 0, \quad \text{etc.} \quad \text{(B)} \quad \text$$

### Curvatures I [1105.6294]

- This is still Not covariant tensor, but contracting with projection operators, we can obtain covariant quatities.
  - Rank two-tensor:

$$P_I^A \bar{P}_J^B S_{AB}$$
, where  $S_{AB} := S^C_{ACB}$ 

• Scalar curvature: defines the Lagrangian for NS-NS sector

$$(P^{AB}P^{CD}-\bar{P}^{AB}\bar{P}^{CD})S_{ACBD}$$

• The above scalar curvature exactly reproduces the bosonic Lagrangian by Hull, Zwiebach,Hohm.

• There is no covariant rank 4 tensor.

### Further completely covariant example

• Yang-Mills field strength in DFT is given by two opposite projections,

 $P_A{}^M \bar{P}_B{}^N \mathcal{F}_{MN}$ ,

where  $\mathcal{F}_{MN}$  is the semi-covariant field strength of a YM potential,  $\mathcal{V}_M$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}_{MN} := 
abla_M \mathcal{V}_N - 
abla_N \mathcal{V}_M - i \left[ \mathcal{V}_M, \mathcal{V}_N \right] \,.$$

Unlike the Riemannian case, the Γ connections are not canceled out. IJ-Lee-Park 2011, Choi-Park 2015 Choi's talk

• Completely covariant Killing equations of DFT:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{X} \mathcal{H}_{MN} = 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad (P\nabla)_{M} (\bar{P}X)_{N} - (\bar{P}\nabla)_{N} (PX)_{M} = 0 , \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{X} d = 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \nabla_{M} X^{M} = 0 .$$

Park-Rey-Rim-Sakatani 2015 Rim's talk

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

### Further completely covariant example

• Yang-Mills field strength in DFT is given by two opposite projections,

 $P_A{}^M \bar{P}_B{}^N \mathcal{F}_{MN}$ ,

where  $\mathcal{F}_{MN}$  is the semi-covariant field strength of a YM potential,  $\mathcal{V}_M$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}_{MN} := 
abla_M \mathcal{V}_N - 
abla_N \mathcal{V}_M - i \left[ \mathcal{V}_M, \mathcal{V}_N \right] \,.$$

Unlike the Riemannian case, the Γ connections are not canceled out. IJ-Lee-Park 2011, Choi-Park 2015 Choi's talk

• Completely covariant Killing equations of DFT:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \mathcal{H}_{MN} = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (P\nabla)_M (\bar{P}X)_N - (\bar{P}\nabla)_N (PX)_M = 0 , \hat{\mathcal{L}}_X d = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \nabla_M X^M = 0 .$$

Park-Rey-Rim-Sakatani 2015 Rim's talk

### Reproduction of DFT

Natural DFT action for NS-NS sector is

$$S_{\rm DFT} = \int_{\Sigma^D} e^{-2d} \left[ (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} - 2\Lambda \right] \,,$$

where the integral is taken over a section,  $\Sigma^D$ , and the DFT-cosmological constant term has been inserted.

• The curvature term agrees with Hull, Zwiebach and Hohm,

$$\mathcal{H}^{AB} \left( 4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d\partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right)$$
  
+4 $\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB} .$ 

• The DFT-cosmological constant term becomes an exponential potential,  $e^{-2\phi}$ , in term Riemannian geometry. The cosmological constant problem is clearly reformulated in DFT

### Reproduction of DFT

Natural DFT action for NS-NS sector is

$$S_{\rm DFT} = \int_{\Sigma^D} e^{-2d} \left[ (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} - 2\Lambda \right] ,$$

where the integral is taken over a section,  $\Sigma^D$ , and the DFT-cosmological constant term has been inserted.

• The curvature term agrees with Hull, Zwiebach and Hohm,

$$\mathcal{H}^{AB} \left( 4\partial_A \partial_B d - 4\partial_A d\partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right)$$
  
+4 $\partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB} .$ 

• The DFT-cosmological constant term becomes an exponential potential,  $e^{-2\phi}$ , in term Riemannian geometry. The cosmological constant problem is clearly reformulated in DFT

### Reproduction of DFT

Natural DFT action for NS-NS sector is

$$S_{\rm DFT} = \int_{\Sigma^D} e^{-2d} \left[ (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} - 2\Lambda \right] ,$$

where the integral is taken over a section,  $\Sigma^D$ , and the DFT-cosmological constant term has been inserted.

• The curvature term agrees with Hull, Zwiebach and Hohm,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{AB} \left( 4 \partial_A \partial_B d - 4 \partial_A d \partial_B d + \frac{1}{8} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_B \mathcal{H}_{CD} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{CD} \partial_C \mathcal{H}_{BD} \right) \\ + 4 \partial_A \mathcal{H}^{AB} \partial_B d - \partial_A \partial_B \mathcal{H}^{AB} \,. \end{aligned}$$

• The DFT-cosmological constant term becomes an exponential potential,  $e^{-2\phi}$ , in term Riemannian geometry. The cosmological constant problem is clearly reformulated in DFT

4. Supersymmetric extension of double field theory

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

# Symmetries of SDFT

Semi-covariant formulation manifest all the bosonic symmetries

- O(D, D) T-duality:
- DFT-diffeomorphism (generalized Lie derivative)
  - Diffeomorphism
  - *B*-field gauge symmetry
- A pair of local Lorentz symmetries,  $Spin(1, D-1)_L \times Spin(D-1, 1)_R$

• D = 10 maximal Local SUSY

Bosons

• NS-NS sector {	DFT-dilaton: Double-vielbeins:	$d V_{Ap}  ,$	$ar{V}_{Aar{p}}$
• R-R potential:		${\cal C}^{lpha}{}_{ar lpha}$	

- Fermions (NS-R, R-NS)
  - $egin{array}{ccc} 
    ho^lpha\,,&
    ho'^{arlpha}\ \psi^lpha_{ar p}\,,&\psi'^{arlpha}\ \psi'^{arlpha}\ \psi'^{arlpha} \end{array}$ • DFT-dilatinos:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

• Gravitinos:

Bosons

• NS-NS sector {	DFT-dilaton:	d		
	Double-vielbeins:	$V_{Ap}$ ,	$\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$	
	<b>R-R</b> potential:		${\cal C}^{lpha}{}_{ar lpha}$	

- Fermions (NS-R, R-NS)
  - DFT-dilatinos:
  - Gravitinos:

$\rho^{\alpha}$	,	$\rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$
$\psi_{\bar{p}}^{\alpha}$	,	$\psi_p^{\prime \bar{\alpha}}$

Index	Representation	Metric (raising/lowering indices)
$A, B, \cdots$	O(D, D) vector	$\mathcal{J}_{AB}$
$p, q, \cdots$	$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L$ vector	$\eta_{pq} = \mathbf{diag}(-++\cdots+)$
$lpha,eta,\cdots$	<b>Spin</b> $(1, D-1)_L$ spinor	$C_{+\alpha\beta}, \qquad (\gamma^p)^T = C_+ \gamma^p C_+^{-1}$
$\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \cdots$	$\mathbf{Spin}(D-1,1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ vector	$\bar{\eta}_{\overline{pq}} = \mathbf{diag}(+\cdots-)$
$\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \cdots$	$Spin(D-1, 1)_R$ spinor	$\bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}, \qquad (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}})^T = \bar{C}_{+}\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}\bar{C}_{+}^{-1}$

Bosons

NC NC sector	<b>DFT-dilaton:</b>	d	
• INS-INS Sector	DFT-dilaton: Double-vielbeins:	$V_{Ap}$ ,	$ar{V}_{Aar{p}}$
• R-R potential:		${\cal C}^{lpha}{}_{ar lpha}$	
• Fermions (NS-R, R-N	NS)		

 $egin{array}{ccc} 
ho^lpha, & 
ho'^{ar lpha} \ \psi^lpha_{ar p}, & \psi'^{ar lpha} \ \psi'^{ar lpha}, & \psi'^{ar lpha} \end{array}$ • DFT-dilatinos:

• Gravitinos:

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

All NS-NS fields, d,  $V_{Ap}$ ,  $\bar{V}_{Ap}$ , will be equally treated as basic geometric objects.

- Bosons
  - NS-NS sector  $\begin{cases} DFT-dilaton: & d \\ Double-vielbeins: & V_{Ap}, & \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \end{cases}$ • R-R potential:  $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$
- Fermions (NS-R, R-NS)
  - DFT-dilatinos:
  - Gravitinos:



< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### R-R potential is bi-fundamental spinor representation as a democratic description.

cf.  $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$  spinor representation Fukuma, Oota Tanaka; Hohm, Kwak, Zwiebach

• Bosons

• NS-NS sector {	DFT-dilaton: Double-vielbeins:	$d V_{Ap} \ ,$	$\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$
• R-R potential:		${\cal C}^{lpha}{}_{ar lpha}$	

- Fermions (NS-R, R-NS)
  - DFT-dilatinos:
  - Gravitinos:

 $egin{array}{ccc} 
ho^lpha \,, & 
ho'^{ar lpha} \ \psi^lpha \,, & \psi'^{ar lpha} \ \psi'^{ar lpha} \,, & \psi'^{ar lpha} \end{array}$ 

• Bosons

NO NO sector	DFT-dilaton:	d	
	DFT-dilaton: Double-vielbeins:	$V_{Ap}$ ,	$\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$
• R-R potential:		${\cal C}^{lpha}{}_{ar lpha}$	

- Fermions (NS-R, R-NS)
  - **DFT-dilatinos:**  $\rho^{\alpha}$ ,  $\rho'^{\overline{\alpha}}$ • **Gravitinos:**  $\psi^{\alpha}_{\overline{p}}$ ,  $\psi'^{\overline{\alpha}}_{p}$
- cf. Relation to the fields in the ordinary supergravity

$$ho \sim \lambda - \gamma^a \psi_a$$
  
 $d = \phi - \frac{1}{2} \ln \sqrt{-g}$ 

cf. Hassan 99'

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Bosons

• NS-NS sector {	DFT-dilaton:	d	
	Double-vielbeins:	$V_{Ap}$ ,	$\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}$
• R-R potential:		${\cal C}^{lpha}{}_{ar lpha}$	

- Fermions (NS-R, R-NS)
  - DFT-dilatinos:  $\rho^{\alpha}$ ,  $\rho'^{\overline{\alpha}}$ • Gravitinos:  $\psi^{\alpha}_{\overline{n}}$ ,  $\psi'^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{n}}$

A priori, O(D, D) rotates only the O(D, D) vector indices (capital Roman), and the R-R sector and all the fermions are O(D, D) T-duality singlet.

The usual IIA  $\Leftrightarrow$  IIB exchange will be realized only after fixing a gauge.

- Bosons
- NS-NS sector  $\begin{cases} DFT-dilaton: & d \\ DFT-vielbeins: & V_{Ap}, & \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} \\ & & \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}} \end{cases}$
- Fermions (NS-R, R-NS)
  - DFT-dilatinos:  $egin{array}{ccc} 
    ho^lpha \,, & 
    ho'^lpha \ \psi^lpha_{ar p} \,, & \psi'^{ar lpha} \ \psi'^lpha \,, & \psi'^lpha \end{array}$ Gravitinos:
- Set the chiralities

$$\gamma^{(D+1)} \mathcal{C} \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} = cc' \mathcal{C} \,. \qquad \begin{array}{l} \gamma^{(D+1)} \psi_{\bar{p}} = c\psi_{\bar{p}} \,, \qquad \gamma^{(D+1)} \rho = -c\rho \,, \\ \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \psi'_{p} = c'\psi'_{p} \,, \qquad \bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)} \rho' = -c'\rho' \,. \end{array}$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

c and c' are sign factors, and equivalent up to a  $Pin(1, 9) \times Pin(9, 1)$ . So we may fix c = c' = +1 without loss of generality. However, the theory contains two 'types' of solutions, i.e. IIA and IIB.

## Double-vielbein 1105.6294, 1109.2035

• **Double-vielbein** simultaneously diagonalizes  $\mathcal{J}_{AB}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{AB}$ ,

$$\mathcal{J} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} V & \bar{V} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} \eta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\eta} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} V & \bar{V} \end{array}\right)^{T}, \ \mathcal{H} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} V & \bar{V} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} \eta^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{\eta} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} V & \bar{V} \end{array}\right)^{T}$$

• It follows the defining properties

$$V_{Ap}V^{A}{}_{q} = \eta_{pq}, \quad V_{Ap}\bar{V}^{A}{}_{\bar{p}} = 0 \quad V_{A}{}^{p}V_{Bp} = P_{AB},$$

$$ar{V}_{Aar{p}}ar{V}^A{}_{ar{q}} = ar{\eta}_{ar{p}ar{q}} \,, \qquad ar{V}_A{}^{ar{p}}ar{V}_{Bar{p}} = ar{P}_{AB} \,,$$

 $P_{AB}$ ,  $\overline{P}_{AB}$  are projection matrices('left and right'),

$$P_{A}{}^{B}P_{B}{}^{C} = P_{A}{}^{C}, \quad \bar{P}_{A}{}^{B}\bar{P}_{B}{}^{C} = \bar{P}_{A}{}^{C}, \quad P_{A}{}^{B}\bar{P}_{B}{}^{C} = 0$$

which are related to  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$ ,

$$P_{AB}+ar{P}_{AB}=\mathcal{J}_{AB}\,,\quad P_{AB}-ar{P}_{AB}=\mathcal{H}_{AB}$$

• The basic geometric objects, which should be treated equally, are

$$(d, V_{Ap}, V_{A\bar{p}}),$$
 or  $(d, P_{AB}, P_{AB}).$ 

### Semi-covariant derivatives

• We introduce master 'semi-covariant' derivative

$$\mathcal{D}_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A$$
.

• It is also useful to set

$$\nabla_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A ,$$

• The 'semi-covariant' derivative for the DFT-diffeomorphism is

 $\nabla_C T_{\omega_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n}} := \partial_C T_{\omega_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n}} - \omega \Gamma^B_{BC} T_{\omega_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{\omega_{A_1\cdots A_{i-1}BA_{i+1}\cdots A_n}} \,.$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

### Semi-covariant derivatives

• We introduce master 'semi-covariant' derivative

$$\mathcal{D}_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A + \Phi_A + \bar{\Phi}_A \,.$$

• It is also useful to set

$$\nabla_A = \partial_A + \Gamma_A ,$$

• The 'semi-covariant' derivative for the DFT-diffeomorphism is

$$\nabla_C T_{\omega_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n}} := \partial_C T_{\omega_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n}} - \omega \Gamma^B_{BC} T_{\omega_{A_1A_2\cdots A_n}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{CA_i}{}^B T_{\omega_{A_1\cdots A_{i-1}BA_{i+1}\cdots A_n}} \cdot$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

• compatibility for the whole NS-NS sector

 $\mathcal{D}_A d = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_A V_{Bp} = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_A \overline{V}_{B\overline{p}} = 0$ .  $(cf. \mathcal{D}_\mu e_\nu{}^a = 0)$  together with

$$\mathcal{D}_A \eta_{pq} = \mathcal{D}_A \bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \mathcal{D}_A (\gamma^p)^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = \mathcal{D}_A (\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}})^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = \mathcal{D}_A C_{+\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{D}_A \bar{C}_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = 0 \,.$$

It follows that

 $abla_A d = 0, \qquad 
abla_A P_{BC} = 0, \qquad 
abla_A \overline{P}_{BC} = 0, \qquad (cf. \nabla_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} = 0)$ 

• Spin connections

$$\Phi_{Apq} = V^{B}_{\ p} \nabla_A V_{Bq} , \qquad \bar{\Phi}_{A\bar{p}\bar{q}} = \bar{V}^{B}_{\ \bar{p}} \nabla_A \bar{V}_{B\bar{q}} ,$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Torsion free conection is uniquely determined in terms of basic geometrical variables, [IJ, Lee, Park '11]

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{0}_{CAB} &= 2 \left( P \partial_{C} P \bar{P} \right)_{[AB]} + 2 \left( \bar{P}_{[A}{}^{D} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{E} - P_{[A}{}^{D} P_{B]}{}^{E} \right) \partial_{D} P_{EC} \\ &- \frac{4}{D-1} \left( \bar{P}_{C[A} \bar{P}_{B]}{}^{D} + P_{C[A} P_{B]}{}^{D} \right) \left( \partial_{D} d + (P \partial^{E} P \bar{P})_{[ED]} \right) \,, \end{split}$$

satisfying the torsion free condition,

$$\Gamma^0_{[ABC]} = 0\,, \qquad (\Leftrightarrow ~~ \hat{\mathcal{L}}^\partial_X = \hat{\mathcal{L}}^
abla}_X)$$

and further satisfying

$$\mathcal{P}_{CAB}{}^{DEF}\Gamma^0_{DEF}=0\,,\qquad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{CAB}{}^{DEF}\Gamma^0_{DEF}=0\,.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

"semi-covariant derivative" :

combined with the projections, we can get various covariant quantities:

Examples:

• For O(D, D) tensors:

$$\begin{split} & P_C{}^D \bar{P}_A{}^B \nabla_D T_B , \qquad & \bar{P}_C{}^D P_A{}^B \nabla_D T_B , \\ & P^{AB} \nabla_A T_B , \qquad & \bar{P}^{AB} \nabla_A T_B , \qquad & \text{Divergences } , \\ & P^{AB} \bar{P}_C{}^D \nabla_A \nabla_B T_D , \qquad & \bar{P}^{AB} P_C{}^D \nabla_A \nabla_B T_D . \qquad & \text{Laplacians} \end{split}$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Rule: need opposite chirality or contraction

• For Spin $(1, D-1)_L \times$  Spin $(D-1, 1)_R$  tensors:

$\mathcal{D}_p T_{ar{q}},$	$\mathcal{D}_{\overline{p}}T_q,$
$\mathcal{D}^p T_p$ ,	${\cal D}^{ar p}T_{ar p},$
$\mathcal{D}_p\mathcal{D}^pT_{ar{q}},$	$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}\mathcal{D}^{\bar{p}}T_q,$

where we set

$$\mathcal{D}_p := V^A_{\ p} \mathcal{D}_A , \qquad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}} := \bar{V}^A_{\ \bar{p}} \mathcal{D}_A .$$

These are the pull-back of the previous results using the double-vielbeins.

• Dirac operators for fermions,  $\rho^{\alpha}$ ,  $\psi^{\alpha}_{\bar{p}}$ ,  $\rho'^{\bar{\alpha}}$ ,  $\psi'^{\bar{\alpha}}_{p}$  : [IJ, Lee, Park '11]

$$egin{aligned} &\gamma^p \mathcal{D}_p 
ho = \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A 
ho\,, &\gamma^p \mathcal{D}_p \psi_{\overline{p}} = \gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_{\overline{p}}\,, \ &\mathcal{D}_{\overline{p}} 
ho\,, &\mathcal{D}_{\overline{p}} \psi^{\overline{p}} = \mathcal{D}_A \psi^A\,, \end{aligned}$$

$$ar{\gamma}^{ar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{ar{p}} 
ho' = ar{\gamma}^A \mathcal{D}_A 
ho' , \qquad ar{\gamma}^{ar{p}} \mathcal{D}_{ar{p}} \psi_p' = ar{\gamma}^A \mathcal{D}_A \psi_p' ,$$
 $\mathcal{D}_p 
ho' , \qquad \mathcal{D}_p \psi'^p = \mathcal{D}_A \psi'^A ,$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

• For Spin $(1, D-1)_L \times$  Spin $(D-1, 1)_R$  bi-fundamental spinors,  $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\beta}}$ : [IJ, Lee, Park '12]

$$\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{C} \,, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{C} \bar{\gamma}^A \,.$$

• Further define

$$\mathcal{D}_+\mathcal{C}:=\gamma^A\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{C}+\gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{C}ar{\gamma}^A\,,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_-\mathcal{C}:=\gamma^A\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{C}-\gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{C}ar{\gamma}^A$$
 .

• Especially for the torsionless case, the corresponding operators are **nilpotent** up to the section condition

$$(\mathcal{D}^0_+)^2 \mathcal{C} \sim 0 \,, \qquad \qquad (\mathcal{D}^0_-)^2 \mathcal{C} \sim 0 \,,$$

• The field strength of the R-R potential,  $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\alpha}}$ , is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}^0_+ \mathcal{C}$$
.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

• For Spin $(1, D-1)_L \times$  Spin $(D-1, 1)_R$  bi-fundamental spinors,  $C^{\alpha}{}_{\bar{\beta}}$ : [IJ, Lee, Park '12]

$$\gamma^A \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{C} \,, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{D}_A \mathcal{C} \bar{\gamma}^A \,.$$

• Further define

$$\mathcal{D}_+\mathcal{C}:=\gamma^A\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{C}+\gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{C}ar{\gamma}^A\,,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_-\mathcal{C}:=\gamma^A\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{C}-\gamma^{(D+1)}\mathcal{D}_A\mathcal{C}ar{\gamma}^A$$
 .

• Especially for the torsionless case, the corresponding operators are **nilpotent** up to the section condition

$$(\mathcal{D}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_+)^2\mathcal{C}\sim 0\,, \qquad \qquad (\mathcal{D}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_-)^2\mathcal{C}\sim 0\,,$$

• The field strength of the R-R potential,  $C^{\alpha}_{\bar{\alpha}}$ , is then defined by

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}^0_+ \mathcal{C}$$
 .

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

## D = 10 Maximal SDFT

• Lagrangian (full order of fermions):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Type II}} = e^{-2d} \Big[ \frac{1}{8} (P^{AB} P^{CD} - \bar{P}^{AB} \bar{P}^{CD}) S_{ACBD} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{F}\bar{\mathcal{F}}) - i\bar{\rho}\mathcal{F}\rho' + i\bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\gamma_{q}\mathcal{F}\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}\psi'^{q} + i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\rho}\gamma^{p}\mathcal{D}_{p}^{\star}\rho - i\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}}\mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}^{\star}\rho - i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}^{\bar{p}}\gamma^{q}\mathcal{D}_{q}^{\star}\psi_{\bar{p}} - i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}\mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}'^{\star}\rho' + i\bar{\psi}'^{p}\mathcal{D}_{p}'^{\star}\rho' + i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}'^{p}\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}}\mathcal{D}_{\bar{q}}'^{\star}\psi'_{p} \Big]$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\alpha}$  denotes the charge conjugation,  $\bar{\mathcal{F}} := \bar{C}_{+}^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{T} C_{+}$ .

- $\mathcal{D}_A$  in  $S_{ACBD}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_A^*$  and  $\mathcal{D}_A^{\prime*}$  are defined by their own torsionful connection,
- The torsions are determined to satisfy usual 1.5 formalism,

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{SDFT}} = \delta \Gamma_{ABC} \times 0$$

 The Lagrangian is pseudo : self-duality of the R-R field strength needs to be imposed by hand, just like the 'democratic' type II SUGRA Bergshoeff, et al.

$$\left(1-\gamma^{(D+1)}\right)\left(\mathcal{F}-i\frac{1}{2}\rho\bar{\rho}'+i\frac{1}{2}\gamma^{p}\psi_{\bar{q}}\bar{\psi}_{p}'\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}}\right)\sim0\,.$$

# D = 10 Maximal SDFT

• Local SUSY (full order of fermions):  $\delta_{\varepsilon}d = -i\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\varepsilon}\rho + \bar{\varepsilon}'\rho'),$  $\delta_{\varepsilon} V_{Ap} = i \bar{V}_A{}^{\bar{q}} (\bar{\varepsilon}' \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{a}} \psi_p' - \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma_p \psi_{\bar{a}}) \,,$  $\delta_{\varepsilon}\bar{V}_{A\bar{p}}=iV_{A}{}^{q}(\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_{a}\psi_{\bar{p}}-\bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{p}}\psi_{a}'),$  $\delta_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{C} = i\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^{p}\varepsilon\bar{\psi}_{n}' - \varepsilon\bar{\rho}' - \psi_{\bar{n}}\varepsilon'\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}} + \rho\bar{\varepsilon}') + \mathcal{C}\delta_{\varepsilon}d - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{V}^{A}_{\bar{a}}\delta_{\varepsilon}V_{Ap})\gamma^{(d+1)}\gamma^{p}\mathcal{C}\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}},$  $\delta_{\varepsilon}\rho = -\gamma^{p}\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{p}\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{2}\gamma^{p}\varepsilon\,\bar{\psi}_{p}'\rho' - i\gamma^{p}\psi^{\bar{q}}\bar{\varepsilon}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{a}}\psi_{p}',$  $\delta_{\varepsilon}\rho' = -\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}\hat{\mathcal{D}}'_{\bar{p}}\varepsilon' + i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{p}}\varepsilon'\,\bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\rho - i\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}}\psi'_{p}\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma^{p}\psi_{\bar{q}}\,,$  $\delta_{\varepsilon}\psi_{\bar{p}} = \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{\bar{p}}\varepsilon + (\mathcal{F} - i\frac{1}{2}\gamma^{q}\rho\,\bar{\psi}_{a}' + i\frac{1}{2}\psi^{\bar{q}}\,\bar{\rho}'\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{a}})\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{p}}\varepsilon' + i\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon\bar{\psi}_{\bar{p}}\rho + i\frac{1}{2}\psi_{\bar{p}}\bar{\varepsilon}\rho\,.$  $\delta_{\varepsilon}\psi_{p}' = \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{p}'\varepsilon' + (\bar{\mathcal{F}} - i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{q}}\rho'\bar{\psi}_{\bar{q}} + i\frac{1}{2}\psi'^{q}\bar{\rho}\gamma_{q})\gamma_{p}\varepsilon + i\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon'\bar{\psi}_{p}'\rho' + i\frac{1}{2}\psi_{p}'\bar{\varepsilon}'\rho'.$ 

 $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$  is also defined by its own torsionful connection.

The action is invariant up to the self-duality.

Relation to the ordinary supergravity,

how SDFT unifies the IIA and IIB,

mechanism to exchange IIA and IIB by O(D, D).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

### Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- We have used the DFT-variables. We may parametrize them in terms of Riemannian variables.
- Assuming that the upper half blocks are non-degenerate, the double-vielbein takes the most general form,

$$V_{Ap} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-1})_p^{\mu} \\ (B+e)_{\nu p} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \bar{V}_{A\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{p}}^{\mu} \\ (B+\bar{e})_{\nu \bar{p}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $e_{\mu}{}^{p}$  and  $\bar{e}_{\nu}{}^{\bar{p}}$  are two copies of the *D*-dimensional vielbein corresponding to the same spacetime metric,

$$e_{\mu}{}^{p}e_{\nu}{}^{q}\eta_{pq} = -\bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{p}}\bar{e}_{\nu}{}^{\bar{q}}\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = g_{\mu\nu} \ .$$

- ロト・ 日本・ モー・ モー・ うらく

and  $B_{\mu\nu}$  corresponds to the Kalb-Ramond two-form gauge field, with  $B_{\mu\rho} = B_{\mu\nu} (e^{-1})_{\rho}^{\ \nu}, B_{\mu\bar{\rho}} = B_{\mu\nu} (\bar{e}^{-1})_{\bar{\rho}}^{\ \nu}.$ 

### Parametrization: Reduction to Generalized Geometry

- Take this parametrization and impose  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_{\mu}} \sim 0$ .
- This reduces (S)DFT to generalized geometry Hitchin; Grana, Minasian, Petrini, Waldram
- For example, the **O**(*D*, *D*) covariant Dirac operators become

$$\begin{split} &\sqrt{2}\gamma^{A}\mathcal{D}_{A}\rho\sim\gamma^{m}\left(\partial_{m}\rho+\frac{1}{4}\omega_{mnp}\gamma^{np}\rho+\frac{1}{24}H_{mnp}\gamma^{np}\rho-\partial_{m}\phi\rho\right),\\ &\sqrt{2}\gamma^{A}\mathcal{D}_{A}\psi_{\bar{p}}\sim\gamma^{m}\left(\partial_{m}\psi_{\bar{p}}+\frac{1}{4}\omega_{mnp}\gamma^{np}\psi_{\bar{p}}+\bar{\omega}_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}}\psi^{\bar{q}}+\frac{1}{24}H_{mnp}\gamma^{np}\psi_{\bar{p}}+\frac{1}{2}H_{m\bar{p}\bar{q}}\psi^{\bar{q}}-\partial_{m}\phi\psi_{\bar{p}}\right)\\ &\sqrt{2}\bar{V}^{A}{}_{\bar{p}}\mathcal{D}_{A}\rho\sim\partial_{\bar{p}}\rho+\frac{1}{4}\omega_{\bar{p}qr}\gamma^{qr}\rho+\frac{1}{8}H_{\bar{p}qr}\gamma^{qr}\rho,\\ &\sqrt{2}\mathcal{D}_{A}\psi^{A}\sim\partial^{\bar{p}}\psi_{\bar{p}}+\frac{1}{4}\omega_{\bar{p}qr}\gamma^{qr}\psi^{\bar{p}}+\bar{\omega}^{\bar{p}}{}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}\psi^{\bar{q}}+\frac{1}{8}H_{\bar{p}qr}\gamma^{qr}\psi^{\bar{p}}-2\partial_{\bar{p}}\phi\psi^{\bar{p}}\,. \end{split}$$

 $\omega_{\mu} \pm \frac{1}{2}H_{\mu}$  and  $\omega_{\mu} \pm \frac{1}{6}H_{\mu}$  naturally appear as spin connections. Liu, Minasian

## Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- In general, two zehnbeins  $e_{\mu}{}^{p}$  and  $\bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{p}}$  are different, so there can be different Riemaniann solution for each zehnbeins.
- To relate with the supergravity solution, we need to relate two zehnbeins equal to each other

$$e_{\mu}{}^{p} \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{p}}$$

by a Lorentz rotation,

$$(e^{-1}\bar{e})_p^{\ \bar{p}}(e^{-1}\bar{e})_q^{\ \bar{q}}\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = -\eta_{pq}.$$

- This rotation also rotates the RR field, and depending on the signature of det(e<sup>-1</sup>ē) the chirality may or may not flipped.
- Depending on the resulting chirality of the RR filed, the solution is of IIA and IIB.
- In this way, a single chiral theory can contains two types of solution IIA and IIB , i.e. the maximal SDFT unifies the IIA and IIB supereravities .

## Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- In general, two zehnbeins  $e_{\mu}{}^{p}$  and  $\bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{p}}$  are different, so there can be different Riemaniann solution for each zehnbeins.
- To relate with the supergravity solution, we need to relate two zehnbeins equal to each other

$$e_{\mu}{}^{p} \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{p}}$$

by a Lorentz rotation,

$$(e^{-1}\bar{e})_p^{\ \bar{p}}(e^{-1}\bar{e})_q^{\ \bar{q}}\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = -\eta_{pq}.$$

- This rotation also rotates the RR field, and depending on the signature of det(e<sup>-1</sup>ē) the chirality may or may not flipped.
- Depending on the resulting chirality of the RR filed, the solution is of IIA and IIB.
- In this way, a single chiral theory can contains two types of solution IIA and IIB , i.e. the maximal SDFT unifies the IIA and IIB supereravities .

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Unification of type IIA and IIB SUGRAs

- In general, two zehnbeins  $e_{\mu}{}^{p}$  and  $\bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{p}}$  are different, so there can be different Riemaniann solution for each zehnbeins.
- To relate with the supergravity solution, we need to relate two zehnbeins equal to each other

$$e_{\mu}{}^{p} \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{p}}$$

by a Lorentz rotation,

$$(e^{-1}\bar{e})_p^{\bar{p}}(e^{-1}\bar{e})_q^{\bar{q}}\bar{\eta}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}} = -\eta_{pq}.$$

- This rotation also rotates the RR field, and depending on the signature of det(e<sup>-1</sup>ē) the chirality may or may not flipped.
- Depending on the resulting chirality of the RR filed, the solution is of IIA and IIB.
- In this way, a single chiral theory can contains two types of solution IIA and IIB, i.e. the maximal SDFT unifies the IIA and IIB supereravities .

## Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

• Once Identifying two zhenbeins

$$e_{\mu}{}^{p} \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{p}}$$

the local Lorentz symmetries are broken to the diagonal gauge symmetry

$$\mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_L \times \mathbf{Spin}(D-1, 1)_R \implies \mathbf{Spin}(1, D-1)_D.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

• ordinary SUGRA  $\equiv$  diagonal gauge-fixed SDFT,

## Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

• After the diagonal gauge fixing, we may parameterize the R-R potential as

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D+2}{4}} \sum_{p}' \frac{1}{p!} \mathcal{C}_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p}$$

and obtain the field strength,

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}^0_+ \mathcal{C} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D}{4}} \sum_p' \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{p+1}} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{p+1}}$$

where  $\sum_{p}^{\prime}$  denotes the odd p sum for Type IIA and even p sum for Type IIB, and

$$\mathcal{F}_{a_1a_2\cdots a_p} = p\left(D_{[a_1}\mathcal{C}_{a_2\cdots a_p]} - \partial_{[a_1}\phi \mathcal{C}_{a_2\cdots a_p]}\right) + \frac{p!}{3!(p-3)!}H_{[a_1a_2a_3}\mathcal{C}_{a_4\cdots a_p]}$$

The pair of nilpotent differential operators, D<sup>0</sup><sub>+</sub> and D<sup>0</sup><sub>-</sub>, reduce to an exterior derivative and its dual,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^0_+ & \Longrightarrow & \mathrm{d} + (H - \mathrm{d}\phi) \wedge \ \mathcal{D}^0_- & \Longrightarrow & * \left[ \mathrm{d} + (H - \mathrm{d}\phi) \wedge \right] * \end{array}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

## Diagonal gauge fixing and Reduction to SUGRA

• After the diagonal gauge fixing, we may parameterize the R-R potential as

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D+2}{4}} \sum_{p}' \frac{1}{p!} \mathcal{C}_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p}$$

and obtain the field strength,

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{D}^0_+ \mathcal{C} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{D}{4}} \sum_p' \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{p+1}} \gamma^{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{p+1}}$$

where  $\sum_{p}^{\prime}$  denotes the odd p sum for Type IIA and even p sum for Type IIB, and

$$\mathcal{F}_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p} = p \left( D_{[a_1} \mathcal{C}_{a_2 \cdots a_p]} - \partial_{[a_1} \phi \, \mathcal{C}_{a_2 \cdots a_p]} \right) + \frac{p!}{3! (p-3)!} \, H_{[a_1 a_2 a_3} \mathcal{C}_{a_4 \cdots a_p]}$$

• The pair of nilpotent differential operators,  $\mathcal{D}^0_+$  and  $\mathcal{D}^0_-$ , reduce to an exterior derivative and its dual,

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{D}^0_+ & \Longrightarrow & \mathrm{d} + (H - \mathrm{d}\phi) \wedge \ \mathcal{D}^0_- & \Longrightarrow & * \left[ \, \mathrm{d} + (H - \mathrm{d}\phi) \wedge \, 
ight] * \end{array}$$

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

# Modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ IIA $\leftrightarrow$ IIB

- In order to preserve the diagonal gauge,  $e_{\mu}{}^{p} \equiv \bar{e}_{\mu}{}^{\bar{p}}$ , the  $\mathbf{O}(D,D)$  transformation rule is modified.
- A compensating local Lorentz transformation,  $\overline{L}_{\overline{q}}^{\overline{p}}$ ,  $S_{\overline{L}}^{\overline{\alpha}}{}_{\overline{\beta}} \in \mathbf{Pin}(D-1,1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ , must be accompanied:

$$ar{V}_A{}^{ar{p}} \longrightarrow M_A{}^B ar{V}_B{}^{ar{q}} ar{L}_{ar{q}}{}^{ar{p}}, \qquad ar{\gamma}^{ar{q}} ar{L}_{ar{q}}{}^{ar{p}} = S_{ar{L}}^{-1} ar{\gamma}^{ar{p}} S_{ar{L}},$$

where

$$\bar{L} = \bar{e}^{-1} \left[ \mathbf{a}^t - (g+B)\mathbf{b}^t \right] \left[ \mathbf{a}^t + (g-B)\mathbf{b}^t \right]^{-1} \bar{e} \,,$$

in the parametrization of the generic O(D, D) group element,

$$M_A{}^B = \left( egin{array}{cc} \mathbf{a}^\mu{}_
u & \mathbf{b}^{\mu\sigma} \ \mathbf{c}_{
ho
u} & \mathbf{d}_{
ho}{}^\sigma \end{array} 
ight) \,.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Modified O(D, D) Transformation Rule After The Diagonal Gauge Fixing

d	$\longrightarrow$	d
$V_A{}^p$	$\longrightarrow$	$M_A{}^B V_B{}^p$
$ar{V}_A{}^{ar{p}}$	$\longrightarrow$	$M_{A}{}^{B} ar{V}_{B}{}^{ar{q}} ar{L}_{ar{q}}{}^{ar{p}}$
${\cal C}^{lpha}{}_{ar lpha},{\cal F}^{lpha}{}_{ar lpha}$	$\longrightarrow$	${\cal C}^{ar{lpha}}{}_{ar{eta}}(S_{ar{L}}^{-1})^{ar{eta}}{}_{ar{lpha}},{\cal F}^{ar{lpha}}{}_{ar{eta}}(S_{ar{L}}^{-1})^{ar{eta}}{}_{ar{lpha}}$
$ ho^{lpha}$	$\longrightarrow$	$ ho^{lpha}$
$ ho'^{ar lpha}$	$\longrightarrow$	$(S_{ar L})^{ar lpha}{}_{ar eta} ho'^{ar eta}$
$\psi^{lpha}_{ar p}$	$\longrightarrow$	$(ar{L}^{-1})_{ar{p}}{}^{ar{q}}\psi^lpha_{ar{q}}$
$\psi_p^{\prime ar lpha}$	$\longrightarrow$	$(S_{ar L})^{ar lpha}{}_{ar eta}\psi'^{ar eta}_p$

### • All the barred indices are now to be rotated.

Consistent with Hassan

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

# Modified $\mathbf{O}(D, D)$ : IIA $\Leftrightarrow$ IIB

 If and only if det(*L*) = −1, the modified O(D, D) rotation flips the chirality of the theory, since

$$\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}S_{\bar{L}} = \det(\bar{L})\,S_{\bar{L}}\bar{\gamma}^{(D+1)}$$

• This is the mechanism of exchanging of type IIA and IIB supergravities under **O**(*D*, *D*) T-duality.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

# Summary

· Having the DFT extension of the Christoffel connection,

 $\Gamma_{CAB} = 2(P\partial_{C}P\bar{P})_{[AB]} + 2\left(\bar{P}_{[A}{}^{D}\bar{P}_{B]}{}^{E} - P_{[A}{}^{D}P_{B]}{}^{E}\right)\partial_{D}P_{EC} - \frac{4}{D-1}\left(\bar{P}_{C[A}\bar{P}_{B]}{}^{D} + P_{C[A}P_{B]}{}^{D}\right)\left(\partial_{D}d + (P\partial^{E}P\bar{P})_{[ED]}\right),$ 

the semi-covariant formalism provides the geometrical description for Double Field Theory

- It manifests all the bosonic symmetries and succesfully provides the supersymmetric extention of DFT in full order of fermions
- It is the unifyng description of the type IIA and IIB: a single theory contains two types of solutions.
- Parametrization and diagoal gauge fixed SDFT is ordinary supergravities.
- After diagonal gauge IIA and IIB exchange is realized.

## Conclusion

Thank you.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

## Semi-covariant formulation of Double Field Theory

#### **Remark: Failure of the Equivalence Principle**

Unlike the Christoffel symbol, the DFT-diffeomorphisms cannot transform our connection to vanish point-wise:

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{CAB} &= 2\left(P\partial_C P\bar{P}\right)_{[AB]} + 2\left(\bar{P}_{[A}{}^D\bar{P}_{B]}{}^E - P_{[A}{}^DP_{B]}{}^E\right)\partial_D P_{EC} \\ &- \frac{4}{D-1}\left(\bar{P}_{C[A}\bar{P}_{B]}{}^D + P_{C[A}P_{B]}{}^D\right)\left(\partial_D d + \left(P\partial^E P\bar{P}\right)_{[ED]}\right) \\ &\neq 0 \,. \end{split}$$

That is to say, there is no normal coordinate in DFT. This can be viewed as the failure of the equivalence principle applied to an extended object, *i.e.* string.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <