Beyond Landau Fermi liquid and BCS superconductivity near quantum criticality

Hilbert v. Löhneysen

Physikalisches Institut and Institut für Festkörperphysik Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Lecture Series at APCTP, Pohang

May 23-27, 2016

Lecture 1. Overview of the lecture series – General introduction to phase transitions

Contents of Lecture 1

Overview over the lecture series

Landau theory of phase transitions

Static critical behavior, universality and scaling – concept of the renormalization group

New universality classes?

Dynamic critical behavior

Classical vs. quantum phase transitions

Different types of quantum phase transitions

Overview over the lecture series

How does matter change its state?

- discontinuous (1st order) e.g. melting
- continuous (2nd order, ...) e.g. vapor-liquid transition at critical point

Typical of 2nd order transitions: continuous growth of ordered regions

 \Rightarrow critical opalescence

Example: demixing transition

How does matter change its state?

Typical of 2nd order transitions: continuous growth of ordered regions

 \Rightarrow critical opalescence

Example: demixing transition

- discontinuous (1st order) e.g. melting
- continuous (2nd order, ...) e.g. vapor-liquid transition at critical point

Magnetic instabilities in metals

Magnetic order magnetic moments "lost"

control parameter

fluctuation energies, hybridization,

....

scenarios:

charge fluctuations, Kondo effect, itinerant magnetism

The Standard Model of phase transitions: Ginzburg-Landau-Wilson theory

G. K. Wilson

L. D.Landau

Universality of finite-*T* second-order transitions

Static critical behavior (exponents α , β , γ , ν , ...) depends only on spatial dimension of the system and symmetry of the order parameter because correlation length diverges at *T*^c , x ~ | *T* - *T*c | -ⁿ

correlation time: $\tau \sim \xi^z$ ("critical slowing down").

Dynamic properties are "less" universal, e, g., difference between FM and AF dynamics

The Standard Model of phase transitions: Ginzburg-Landau-Wilson theory

V. Ginzburg

L. D.Landau

What happens if one can drive a secondorder transition to zero by a non-thermal control parameter?

 $T_c \rightarrow 0$: energy of fluctuations \hbar/τ important: temperature sets the system size in the time direction: $d \rightarrow d + z$ Problem: low-energy fermions

G. K. Wilson

J. A. Hertz

Competing interactions with the possibility of quantum phase transitions

Heavy-fermion metals: Kondo vs. RKKY interaction

onsite – intersite competition

Doniach "phase diagram"

S. Doniach, Physica 91B, 231 (1977)

Competing nn or nn/nnn interactions in insulating magnets

Geometric frustration of nn interactions

Competing nn and nn interactions

S. Sachdev, B. Keimer, Physics Today: 64 (2), 29 (2011)

Conduction electrons in a metal: noninteracting Fermi gas

A. Sommerfeld W. Pauli

 $C = (\pi^2/3) \text{ n } k_B^2 N(E_F)T = \gamma_0 T$ χ_0

Specific heat $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ Pauli spin susceptibility χ_0

= $\mu_{\text{o}}\mu_{\text{B}}^2$ *N*(E_{F}) = const

Wilson ratio $\gamma_{\rm o}$ / $\chi_{\rm 0}$ = const (universal for free-electron metals)

Electron-electron scattering: resistivity $\Delta \rho \sim AT^2$ with $A/\gamma_0 \approx$ const

The Standard Model of metals: Landau Fermi-liquid theory

L. D. Landau

1:1 correspondence between excitations of interacting and noninteracting systems:

"Fermi liquid"

Electron-electron interactions parametrized by few parameters m^* , F_0^a , F_0^s , ...

electron-electron interactions parametrized
\nrameters
$$
m^*
$$
, F_0^a , F_0^s , ...

\n
$$
C = \gamma T = \frac{m^*}{m_0} \gamma_0 T
$$
; $\chi = \frac{m^*}{m_0} \frac{1}{1 + F_0^a} \chi_0$

\n
$$
\Delta \rho \sim T^2
$$

Since ~ 1990: many systems show deviations:

"non-Fermi liquids"

Non-Fermi liquid behavior

Specific heat: often $\gamma = C/T \sim \ln(T_0/T)$ Resistivity: $\rho = \rho_0 + A^T$ ^a with $\alpha < 2$

NFL behavior can arise from distinctly different physical origins:

 Single-ion (impurity) effects Multichannel Kondo effect Distribution of Kondo temperatures Collective effects Quantum phase transitions

Note: "single-ion" effects, such as the Kondo effect, are in reality many-body phenomena.

There is no such thing as "the" non-Fermi liquid!

Partially filled *d* and *f* shells of the elements

J. L. Smith and E. A. Kmetko, J. Less-Comm. Met. 90, 83 (1983)

Generally, rather strong correlations between these electrons

different energy scales:

crystalline electric field Δ_{CFF} and intra-atomic repulsion U

Magnetic quantum phase transitions in metals: important issues

Non-Fermi-liquid behavior: What happens to the Fermi surface?

Low energy scales, otherwise masked by magnetic interaction, may become important as $T_c \rightarrow 0$: Possibility of novel phases

Examples

- **Superconductivity** in the vicinity of quantum critical points
- Low-dimensional fluctuations over an extended *x*-range in CeCu_{6-x}Au_x
- Partial order in MnSi

Interplay of superconductivity and magnetism near quantum critical points

Outline of the lectures

1. Overview of the lecture series and General introduction to phase transitions (4h)

Overview over the lectures – Landau theory of phase transitions – Static critical behavior, universality and scaling; concept of the renormaization group – New universality classes? – Dynamic critical behavior – Classical vs. quantum phase transitions – Different types of quantum phase transitions

2. Fermi liquids and heavy-fermion systems (2h)

Landau Fermi liquids – Kondo effect: Oncept of a local Fermi liquid – Heavy-fermion systems – Quantum phase transitions in heavy-fermion systems

3. Ce-Cu-Au: a case study for heavy fermion quantum criticality (2h)

Introduction to the $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x$ system – Non-Fermi liquid thermodynamic and transport properties near the quantum critical point at *x* = 0.1 – Measurement of quantum-critical fluctuations by inelastic neutron scattering – Fate of the Kondo energy scale at the concentration-tuned QCP in CeCu_{6-x}Au_x? – Role of the tuning parameter: composition, hydrostatic pressure, magnetic field – Thermal expansion: a sensitive thermodynamic probe of quantum criticality – Determining the entropy landscape near quantum criticality

4. Further xamples of quantum critical *d-* **and** *f-***electron systems** (2h)

CePdAl, a partially frustrated heavy-fermion system n – Approaching quantum criticality of CePdAl with Ni substitution – Frustrated Ce moments in CePdAl: a two-dimensional spin liquid? – Classical and quantum phase transitions in the itinerant ferromagnet $Sr_{1-x}Ca_xRuO_3$ – Evolution of critical with *x –* Unusual slow quqntum critical dynamics

Landau theory of phase transitions Blackboard script not reproduced here

See standard textbooks on Statistical Mechanics,

Also: P. M. Chaikin, T. C. Lubensky, *Principles of Condensed Matter Physics*, Cambridge Universlty Press, 1995, ch. 4

"Mexican hat" potential

L.Álvarez-Gaumé and J. Ellis, Nature Phys. **7**, *2 (2011)*

Static critical behavior, universality and scaling – Concept of the Renormalization Group

Blackboard script not reproduced here

See standard textbooks on Statistical Mechanics

Also: P. M. Chaikin, T. C. Lubensky, *Principles of Condensed Matter Physics*, Cambridge Universlty Press, 1995, ch. 5

Static critical exponents

from P. M. Chaikin and T. C. Lubensky-

Exponent	α	β	γ	ν	η ⁻
Property	specific heat	order parameter	susceptibility	coherence length	correlation function
Definition		$C \sim t^{-\alpha}$ $\langle \phi \rangle \sim t^{\beta}$	$\chi \sim t^{-\gamma}$	$\xi \sim t^{-\nu}$	$G(q)\sim q^{-2+\eta}$
Mean-field	$\mathbf{0}$	0.5	$\mathbf{1}$	0.5	$\bf{0}$
3D theory					
$n = 0$ (SAW)	0.24	0.30	1.16	0.59	
$n = 1$ (Ising)	0.11	0.32	1.24	0.63	0.04
$n=2$ (xy)	-0.01	0.35	1.32	0.67	0.04
$n = 3$ (Heisenberg)	-0.12	0.36	1.39	0.71	0.04
Experiment					
$3D n=1$	$0.11^{+.01}_{-.03}$	$0.32^{+.16}_{-.04}$	$1.24^{.16}_{-.04}$	$0.63^{+.04}_{-.04}$	$0.03 - 0.06$
$3D n = 3$	$0.1^{+.05}_{-.04}$	$0.34^{+.04}_{-.04}$	$1.4^{+.07}_{-.07}$	$0.7^{+.03}_{-.03}$	
$2D n=1$	$0.0^{+.01}_{-.003}$	$0.3^{+.04}_{-.04}$	$1.82^{+0.7}_{-.07}$	$1.02^{+.07}_{-.07}$	

Experiments on $3D$ $n = 1$ compiled from liquid-gas, binary fluid, ferromagnetic, and antiferromagnetic transitions.

Experiments on $3D_n = 3$ transitions compiled from some ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic transitions.

Experiments on 2D $n = 1$ complied from some antiferromagnetic transitions.

New universality classes?

Quasi-onedimensional Ising antiferromagnet: CsNiCl₃

Quasi-onedimensional Ising antiferromagnet: $CSNiCl₃$

D. Beckmann et al., PRL 78*, 2129 (1993)*

B-T Phase diagram

Magnetic ordering of $CSNiCl₃$ at low temperature

D. Beckmann et al., PRL 78*, 2129 (1993)*

 $B = 2.3$ T: $\alpha = 0.25$ *B* = 6 T: $\alpha = 0.37$

Quasi-onedimensional Ising antiferromagnet: CsMnBr₃

Easy-plane system

Large critical exponents α suggest the possibility of new universality classes due to the discrete Z_2 chiral symmetry being broken at the magnetic transition

Best fit for the specific-heat exponent yields α = 0.4, Gauss broadening $\Delta\mathcal{T}_c/\mathcal{T}_C$ = 5 \cdot 10⁻⁵

R. Deutschmann et al., EPL 17*, 637 (1992)*

Dynamic critical behavior

Blackboard script not reproduced here

See standard textbooks on Statistical Mechanics,

Specifically: P. C. Hohenberg and B. I. Halperin, *Theory of dvnamic critical phenomena,* Rev. Mod. Phys. **49**, 435 (1977)

Dynamical models studied by RG models

Halperin and Hohenberg, RMP 435 (1977)

Classical vs. quantum phase transitions

Spin-fluctuation scenario of a quantum critical point

Hertz, Millis, Moriya, Lonzarich, Rosch

 $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x:$: quasi-twodimensional fluctuations? \Rightarrow inelastic neutron scattering

Blackboard script not reproduced here

See: P. C. Hohenberg and B. I. Halperin, *Theory of dvnamic critical phenomena,* Rev. Mod. Phys. **49**, 435 (1977), in particular p. 472-573

H. v. Löhneysen, A. Rosch, M. Vojta, and P. Wölfle, *Fermi liquid instabilities at magnetic quantum phase transitions*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 1015 (2007) , In particular p.1027-1035

Different types of quantum critical points

Scenarios for quantum phase transitions

Transition at finite temperature driven to absolute zero by control parameter δ

No finite-temperature transition, critical line of zero-temperature transitions terminating in QCP

Ginzburg-Landau theory for (magnetic) quantum phase transition in metals: but experiments differ strongly!

Metal-insulator transition in the presence of disorder and interactions: what is the order parameter?

QCP

ordered

phase (?)

 δ

Stress-tuning of the metal-insulator transition in Si:P

S. Waffenschmidt et al., PRL 83, 3005 (1999)

S II [100]

Dynamic scaling of the conductivity at the metal-insulator transition of P-doped silicon

S. Waffenschmidt et al., PRL 83, 3005 (1999)

Simple activated conductance below N_0 in uncompensated Si:P only

HvL, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. (London) A356, 139 (1998); Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 523, 599 (2011)

Interplay of disorder and on-site interactions

Solution of Anderson-Hubbard model (TMF-DMFT), on-site interaction favors delocalization

Aguiar et al., PRL 102, 156402 (2009)

ε

Role of long-range Coulomb interaction?

Coulomb interaction between carriers

Harashima and Slevin, Phys. Rev. B 89, 205108 (2014)

 $v \approx 1.3$

Critical endpoint driven to $T = 0$ by a nonthermal parameter

