Topological Operators IIn 2D N=(2,2) Lattice SYM

So Matsuura @ Keio University Hiyoshi

based on the work with S. Kamata(Fudan Univ.), T. Misumi(Akita Univ.), K. Ohta(Meiji Gakuin Univ.)

Simple 2D SUSY gauge theory on a square lattice (Sugino model) Continuum theory Sugino 2003

supersymmetry

specific transformation

Transformation by $\xi = (0,0,0,-\epsilon)^T$: $\delta = i\epsilon Q$

$$
\begin{cases}\nQA_{\mu} = \lambda_{\mu}, & Q\lambda_{\mu} = iD_{\mu}\Phi, \\
Q\bar{\Phi} = \eta, & Q\eta = [\Phi, \bar{\Phi}], \\
Q\chi = Y, & QY = [\Phi, \chi], & Q\Phi = 0.\n\end{cases}\n\begin{matrix}\n\text{Fermions in component} \\
\Psi = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \chi, \eta/2) \\
Q^2 = \delta_{\Phi}\n\end{matrix}
$$

Q is nilpotent up to gauge transformation

action in Q-exact form

$$
S = \frac{1}{2g^2} \int d^2x Q Tr\left(\frac{1}{4}\eta[\Phi, \bar{\Phi}] + \chi \left(Y - 2iF_{12}\right) - i\lambda_\mu D_\mu \bar{\Phi}\right)
$$

idea
Manifestly Q-invariant!

Can we put this Q-invariant action on lattice with keeping Q-symmetry? YES!→ Sugino model

Geometrical structure of Sugino model $QU_{\mu}(x) = \Lambda_{\mu}(x), \quad Q\Lambda_{\mu}(x) = \Phi(x)U_{\mu}(x) - U_{\mu}(x)\Phi(x+\hat{\mu})$ $Q\bar{\Phi}(x) = \eta(x), \quad Q\eta(x) = [\Phi(x), \bar{\Phi}(x)],$ $Q\chi(x) = Y(x), QY(x) = [\Phi(x), \chi(x)], Q\Phi(x) = 0$

Can we extend it to a general lattice (simplicial complex)?

2017/9/20 4

N=(2,2) Topological SYM on Discretized Riemann Surface

Misumi-Ohta-S.M. 2014

cf) Ohta-san's talk

Links

$$
L \equiv \{ \langle st \rangle | s, t \in S \},
$$

faces

 $F \equiv \{(s_1, \dots, s_n) | s_1, \dots, s_n \in S, (s_i, s_{i+1}) \in L \text{ or } (s_{i+1}, s_i) \in L\},\$

Fields on the discretized surface

u

$$
\Xi_{\langle st \rangle} \equiv \frac{1}{2g_0^2} \text{Tr} \left\{ -i \lambda_{st} \left(U_{st} \bar{\Phi}_t U_{st}^{-1} - \bar{\Phi}_s \right) \right\},
$$

$$
\Xi_f \equiv \frac{1}{2g_0^2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \chi_f \left(Y_f - i \beta_f \mu(U_f) \right) \right\},
$$

 Φ_s, Φ_s, η_s

$$
U_{st}, \Lambda_{st} \quad (\Lambda_{st} \equiv \lambda_{st} U_{st})
$$
\n
$$
\left\{\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{SUSY transformation} \\
Q\bar{\Phi}_s = \eta_s, \quad Q\eta_s = [\Phi_s, \bar{\Phi}_s], \\
QU_l = \Lambda_l, \quad Q\Lambda_l = \Phi_{org(l)}\Lambda_l - \Lambda_l \Phi_{tip(l)}, \\
QV_f = [\Phi_f, \chi_f], \quad Q\chi_f = Y_f, \quad Q\Phi_s = 0\n\end{array}\n\right\}
$$

 $(f \equiv s : \text{representative point})$

 $Y_{\epsilon, \gamma}$

6

2017/9/20

6

facts

- The action becomes 2D N=(2,2) Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory on a Riemann surface in the continuum limit.
- There remains the $U(1)$ symmetry,

$$
\Phi \to e^{2i\alpha} \Phi, \quad \bar{\Phi} \to e^{-2i\alpha} \bar{\Phi}, \quad A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu}, \eta \to e^{-i\alpha} \eta, \quad \lambda_{\mu} \to e^{i\alpha} \lambda_{\mu}, \quad \chi \to e^{-i\alpha} \chi.
$$

- An appropriate continuum limit reproduces the continuum action.
- Because of the Q-symmetry and the U(1) symmetry, there is no relevant operator which breaks other symmetries in the continuum limit.

One result: Partition function using localization

Misumi-Ohta-S.M. 2014 Kamata-Misumi-Ohta-S.M. 2016

$$
Z = \mathcal{N} \int d\phi_i \prod_{i < j} (\phi_i - \phi_j)^{\chi}
$$

- It reproduces the partition function of the continuum theory.
- It depends only on the topology of the network.

 \mathbf{r}

• Independent of the detail of the discretization.

$U(1)_{R}$ anomaly

Measure in the discretized theory

$$
\mathcal{D}\vec{B} = (\prod_{s=1}^{N_S} \mathcal{D}\Phi_s \mathcal{D}\bar{\Phi}_s)(\prod_{l=1}^{N_L} \mathcal{D}U_l)(\prod_{f=1}^{N_F} \mathcal{D}Y_f) : \mathsf{U(1)}_R \text{ neutral}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{D}\vec{F} = (\prod_{s=1}^{N_S} \mathcal{D}\eta_s)(\prod_{l=1}^{N_L} \mathcal{D}\lambda_l)(\prod_{f=1}^{N_F} \mathcal{D}\chi_f)
$$
\n
$$
\rightarrow (\mathcal{D}\vec{\mathcal{F}}) e^{i(N_S - N_L + N_F)(N^2 - 1)\alpha}
$$
\n
$$
\eta_s
$$

$$
\Phi \to e^{2i\alpha}\Phi, \quad \bar{\Phi} \to e^{-2i\alpha}\bar{\Phi}, \quad A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu}, \eta \to e^{-i\alpha}\eta, \quad \lambda_{\mu} \to e^{i\alpha}\lambda_{\mu}, \quad \chi \to e^{-i\alpha}\chi.
$$

Anomaly-phase-quench method

vev in the continuum theory

$$
\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{Z_q} \int \mathcal{D} \vec{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{D} \vec{\mathcal{F}} \ O \ e^{-S_b - S_f} = \frac{1}{Z_q} \int \mathcal{D} \vec{\mathcal{B}} \ O \overbrace{\mathrm{Pf}(D)}^{Z} e^{-S_b} \qquad \qquad \text{U(1) charge:} \quad (N^2 - 1) \chi_h
$$

naïve phase quench

$$
\langle {\cal O} \rangle^q \, \equiv \, \frac{1}{Z_q} \int {\cal D} \vec{{\cal B}}' \, {\cal O} \overline{ {\rm Pf}(D)} e^{-S_b} \qquad \qquad \Box
$$

U(1) charge: ZERO **NOT A GOOD APPROXIMATION**

philosophy of the phase quench

We can (or could) ignore the artificial phase coming from the discretization

Observation $\text{Pf}(D) = |\text{Pf}(D)|e^{i\theta_A + i\theta}$ 1. $U(1)_{R}$ phase θ_A 2. lattice artifact θ **Ne should ignore only θ**
2017/9/20

Compensator

Kamata-Misumi-Ohta-S.M. 2016

$\mathcal A$: an operator with

- $Q\mathcal{A}=0$
- $[\mathcal{A}] = -(N^2 1)\chi_h$
- $\mathcal{A} \equiv |\mathcal{A}| e^{-i \theta_A}$

anomaly-phase-quench method

$$
\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle^{\hat{q}} \equiv \langle \mathcal{O} e^{i\theta_A} \rangle^q = \frac{1}{Z_q} \int \mathcal{D} \vec{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{O} |P f(\mathcal{D})| e^{i\theta_A}
$$

trace type
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{\text{tr}} = \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_{s=1}^{N_S} \left(\frac{1}{N_c} \text{Tr} \left(\Phi_s \right)^2 \right)^{-\frac{N_c^2 - 1}{4} \chi_h} \qquad \text{determinant type}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{\text{det}} = \frac{1}{N_S}
$$

determinant type

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\text{det}} = \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_{s=1}^{N_S} (\text{Det} \Phi_s)^{-\frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c} \chi_h}
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\text{IZ}} = \frac{1}{N_l} \sum_{l=1}^{N_l} \left(\frac{1}{N_c} \text{Tr} \left(2 \Phi_{\text{org}(l)} U_l \Phi_{\text{tip}(l)} U_l^\dagger + \lambda_l \lambda_l (U_l \Phi_{\text{tip}(l)} U_l^\dagger + \Phi_{\text{org}(l)}) \right) \right)^{-\frac{N_c^2-1}{4} \chi_h}
$$

A result of numerical simulation in anomaly-phase-quench method

Kamata-Misumi-Ohta-S.M. 2016

Interesting topological observable in the continuum theory:

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\text{cont.}} = \int d^2x \text{tr } \{ \phi(x) F_{12}(x) + \lambda_1(x) \lambda_2(x) \}
$$

0.23.21.21.31

$$
Q\mathcal{O}_{\text{cont.}} = 0
$$
, $\mathcal{O} \neq Q[\text{gauge invariant op.}]$

$$
\langle e^{t\mathcal{O}_{\text{cont.}}+t\int d^{2}x \text{tr}\phi(x)^{2}} \rangle_{\text{SYM}} = \int d\mu e^{-S_{\text{SYM}}+t\int d^{2}x \text{tr}\{\phi(x)F_{12}(x)+\phi(x)^{2}+\lambda_{1}(x)\lambda_{2}(x)\}} \n\simeq \int dA e^{-\int d^{2}x \text{tr}(\frac{1}{2}F_{12}^{2})} \n= \sum_{R} (\dim R)^{\chi_{h}} e^{-AC_{2}(R)} = Z_{2DYM} \prod_{R} \square_{\text{DM}}.
$$

Is there a corresponding operator on the lattice?

2017/9/20 13

No-Go Theorem

There is no Q-closed operator whose continuum limit is

$$
\mathrm{tr}\left(\phi F_{12} + \lambda_1 \lambda_2\right)
$$

under the conditions

$$
1. \quad Q^2 = \delta_{\Phi}
$$

2. The fermion part is made of the link variables U_1 and a bilinear of the link fermions Λ_1

essence of the proof

• The fermion part is expressed as

$$
\mathcal{O}_f = \sum_{k=2} \alpha_k \text{tr}\left(\Lambda_1 U_2 \cdots \Lambda_k \cdots U_n\right)
$$

• In order that the $O(\Lambda^3)$ -terms in QO_f cancel with each other, O_f must takes the form,

$$
\mathcal{O}_f = \text{tr}(\Lambda_1 Q(U_2 \cdots U_n)) = \text{tr}\left\{Q(U_1) Q(U_2 \cdots U_n)\right\}
$$

• The corresponding Q-vanishing operator is uniquely determined as

$$
\mathcal{O} = Q \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left\{ U_1 Q (U_2 \cdots U_n) - Q(U_1) U_2 \cdots U_n \right\}
$$
 which is Q-exact.

(may-be incorrect) idea

observation

The operator of the continuum theory can be expressed in Q-exact form:

$$
\int d^2x {\rm tr}\,(\phi F_{12}+\lambda_1\lambda_2)\simeq \frac{1}{2}\int d^2x Q{\rm tr}\,(\epsilon^{\mu\nu}A_\mu\mathcal{D}_\nu\phi)
$$

NOT gauge invariant

In lattice theory, it is easy to make Q-exact operators but it is hard to make non-trivial gauge NON-invariant operator.

If there is a lattice counterpart, it will be Q-exact but very non-trivial.

Idea

$$
V_{\mu}(x) : \text{non-dynamical link variable}
$$
\n
$$
O_{b} = \text{tr}\left(Q^{2}(U_{1}(x)V_{2}(x+1))V_{1}(x+2)^{\dagger}U_{2}(x)^{\dagger} + U_{1}(x)V_{2}(x+1)Q^{2}(V_{1}(x+2)^{\dagger}U_{2}(x)^{\dagger})\right)
$$
\n
$$
\rightarrow \text{tr}\left(\epsilon^{\mu\nu}A_{\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\nu}\phi + \partial_{1}A_{1} - \partial_{2}A_{2}\right) \text{ under } V_{\mu} = e^{iaB_{\mu}}, B_{\mu} = 0
$$

Future works

- There would be a possibility to avoid the No-Go theorem.
- Reformulation in terms of graph theory
- Including matter multiplets
- Embed into matrix?
- Quantum gravity?