Theory of metallic transport in strongly coupled matter

3. Hydrodynamics and conductivity bounds

Andrew Lucas

Stanford Physics

Geometry and Holography for Quantum Criticality; Asia-Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics

August 18-19, 2017

Collisionless-to-Hydrodynamic Crossover

collisionless:





Collisionless-to-Hydrodynamic Crossover

collisionless:





▶ non-universal dynamics

Collisionless-to-Hydrodynamic Crossover

collisionless:





- ▶ non-universal dynamics
- ▶ quantum vs. classical

Collisionless-to-Hydrodynamic Crossover

collisionless:



hydrodynamic:

 $\omega t_{\rm ee} \ll 1$



- ▶ non-universal dynamics
- ▶ quantum vs. classical

Collisionless-to-Hydrodynamic Crossover

collisionless:



hydrodynamic:

 $\omega t_{\rm ee} \ll 1$



- non-universal dynamics
- ▶ quantum vs. classical

 universal *classical* effective field theory

Collisionless-to-Hydrodynamic Crossover

collisionless:



hydrodynamic:

 $\omega t_{\rm ee} \ll 1$

- non-universal dynamics
- ▶ quantum vs. classical

- universal *classical* effective field theory
- slow modes: conserved quantities

Transport in a Smooth Disorder Potential



 ▶ (relatively) universal features of transport in the hydrodynamic regime: smooth potentials on the scale l_{ee}

[Andreev, Kivelson, Spivak; 1011.3068], [Lucas; 1506.02662], [Lucas *et al*; 1510.01738], [Lucas, Hartnoll; 1704.07384]

we now turn to a relativistic fluid with conserved U(1) charge, energy and momentum:

classical equations of motion:

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0, \qquad \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

we now turn to a relativistic fluid with conserved U(1) charge, energy and momentum:

classical equations of motion:

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0, \qquad \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

▶ in thermal equilibrium, in fluid at rest:



we now turn to a relativistic fluid with conserved U(1) charge, energy and momentum:

classical equations of motion:

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0, \qquad \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

▶ in thermal equilibrium, in fluid at rest:



▶ in a moving frame:

$$J^{\mu} = \rho u^{\mu}, \quad T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + P)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + P\eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad u_{\mu}u^{\mu} = -1.$$

we now turn to a relativistic fluid with conserved U(1) charge, energy and momentum:

classical equations of motion:

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0, \qquad \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

▶ in thermal equilibrium, in fluid at rest:



▶ in a moving frame:

 $J^{\mu} = \rho u^{\mu}, \quad T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + P)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + P\eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad u_{\mu}u^{\mu} = -1.$

• thermodynamics controlled by single function P:

$$dP = \rho d\mu + s dT, \quad \epsilon + P = \mu \rho + Ts$$

Can We Compute Transport Yet?

• σ finite only if the fluid *inhomogeneous*: in particular, suppose that $\mu(x)$ not constant

Can We Compute Transport Yet?

- ► σ finite only if the fluid *inhomogeneous*: in particular, suppose that $\mu(x)$ not constant
- external applied E_i and $\zeta_i = -T^{-1}\partial_i T$:

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0, \quad \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu i} = \underbrace{\rho(E^{i} + \partial^{i}\mu_{\text{ext}}) + Ts\zeta^{i}}_{(i,1),\dots,(i,n)}$$

sources/inhomogeneity break conservation

compute average charge/heat current; find linear terms in E_i and ζ_i

Can We Compute Transport Yet?

- ► σ finite only if the fluid *inhomogeneous*: in particular, suppose that $\mu(x)$ not constant
- external applied E_i and $\zeta_i = -T^{-1}\partial_i T$:

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0, \quad \partial_{\mu}T^{\mu i} = \underbrace{\rho(E^{i} + \partial^{i}\mu_{\text{ext}}) + Ts\zeta^{i}}_{(\text{index})}$$

sources/inhomogeneity break conservation

compute average charge/heat current; find linear terms in E_i and ζ_i

▶ are these equations sufficient? no, because they are dissipationless

Can We Compute Transport Yet?

- ► σ finite only if the fluid *inhomogeneous*: in particular, suppose that $\mu(x)$ not constant
- external applied E_i and $\zeta_i = -T^{-1}\partial_i T$:

sources/inhomogeneity break conservation

compute average charge/heat current; find linear terms in E_i and ζ_i

- ▶ are these equations sufficient? no, because they are dissipationless
- ► zeroth order hydrodynamic equations of motion, together with $dP = nd\mu + sdT$, $\epsilon + P = \mu\rho + Ts$:

$$\partial_{\mu} \left(T s u^{\mu} \right) = 0.$$

Dissipative First Order Hydrodynamics

 corrections to hydrodynamics: *dissipative* relaxation to local thermal equilibrium

Dissipative First Order Hydrodynamics

- corrections to hydrodynamics: *dissipative* relaxation to local thermal equilibrium
- ▶ strategy (due to Landau):
 - \blacktriangleright find general $J^{\mu},\,T^{\mu\nu}$ consistent with Lorentz covariance

Dissipative First Order Hydrodynamics

- corrections to hydrodynamics: *dissipative* relaxation to local thermal equilibrium
- ▶ strategy (due to Landau):
 - ▶ find general J^{μ} , $T^{\mu\nu}$ consistent with Lorentz covariance
 - entropy current obeying $\partial_{\mu} J_{s}^{\mu} \geq 0$ exists

Dissipative First Order Hydrodynamics

- corrections to hydrodynamics: *dissipative* relaxation to local thermal equilibrium
- ▶ strategy (due to Landau):
 - find general J^{μ} , $T^{\mu\nu}$ consistent with Lorentz covariance
 - entropy current obeying $\partial_{\mu} J_{\rm s}^{\mu} \ge 0$ exists
 - fix a "frame" $(u^{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon u^{\mu}, J^{\mu}u_{\mu} = -\rho)$

Dissipative First Order Hydrodynamics

- corrections to hydrodynamics: *dissipative* relaxation to local thermal equilibrium
- ▶ strategy (due to Landau):
 - find general J^{μ} , $T^{\mu\nu}$ consistent with Lorentz covariance
 - entropy current obeying $\partial_{\mu} J_{\rm s}^{\mu} \geq 0$ exists
 - ► fix a "frame" $(u^{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon u^{\mu}, J^{\mu}u_{\mu} = -\rho)$
- look for J^{μ} , $T^{\mu\nu}$ as an expansion in *derivatives*:

 $J^{\mu} = \rho u^{\mu} + a_1 (\tau_{ee} \partial^{\mu}) \mu + a_2 (\tau_{ee} \partial^{\mu}) T + \cdots$

Dissipative First Order Hydrodynamics

- corrections to hydrodynamics: *dissipative* relaxation to local thermal equilibrium
- ▶ strategy (due to Landau):
 - find general J^{μ} , $T^{\mu\nu}$ consistent with Lorentz covariance
 - entropy current obeying $\partial_{\mu} J_{\rm s}^{\mu} \geq 0$ exists
 - fix a "frame" $(u^{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon u^{\mu}, J^{\mu}u_{\mu} = -\rho)$

▶ final answer at first order:

$$J^{\mu} = \rho u^{\mu} - T \sigma_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \frac{\mu}{T},$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + P)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + P\eta^{\mu\nu} - \eta \mathcal{P}^{\mu\rho}\mathcal{P}^{\nu\sigma}\partial_{(\rho}u_{\sigma)} - \left(\zeta - \frac{2\eta}{d}\right)\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\rho}u^{\rho}$$

with $\sigma_{\mathbf{Q}}, \eta, \zeta \geq 0$; $\mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu} = u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + \eta^{\mu\nu}$

Dissipative First Order Hydrodynamics

- corrections to hydrodynamics: *dissipative* relaxation to local thermal equilibrium
- ▶ strategy (due to Landau):
 - find general J^{μ} , $T^{\mu\nu}$ consistent with Lorentz covariance
 - entropy current obeying $\partial_{\mu} J_{\rm s}^{\mu} \geq 0$ exists
 - fix a "frame" $(u^{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon u^{\mu}, J^{\mu}u_{\mu} = -\rho)$

▶ final answer at first order:

$$J^{\mu} = \rho u^{\mu} - T \sigma_{Q} \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \frac{\mu}{T},$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + P) u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + P \eta^{\mu\nu} - \eta \mathcal{P}^{\mu\rho} \mathcal{P}^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{(\rho} u_{\sigma)} - \left(\zeta - \frac{2\eta}{d}\right) \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\rho} u^{\rho}$$

with $\sigma_{\mathbf{Q}}, \eta, \zeta \geq 0; \ \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu} = u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + \eta^{\mu\nu}$

▶ the entropy current is the heat current:

$$TJ_{\rm s}^{\mu} = (\epsilon + P)u^{\mu} - \mu J^{\mu}$$

Perturbatively Weak Disorder

▶ suppose that the background is almost constant:

$$\mu_{\text{ext}}(x) = \mu_0 + \varepsilon \mu_{\text{imp}}(x).$$

Perturbatively Weak Disorder

▶ suppose that the background is almost constant:

$$\mu_{\text{ext}}(x) = \mu_0 + \varepsilon \mu_{\text{imp}}(x).$$

• memory matrix formalism \implies

$$\begin{split} \sigma &\approx \frac{\rho^2 \tau}{\epsilon + P} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} &\approx \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{\rm Q}(\epsilon + P)} + \frac{4\eta \mu^2}{\xi^2 (\epsilon + P)^3}\right). \end{split}$$

using hydrodynamic Green's functions

Perturbatively Weak Disorder

▶ suppose that the background is almost constant:

$$\mu_{\text{ext}}(x) = \mu_0 + \varepsilon \mu_{\text{imp}}(x).$$

• memory matrix formalism \implies

$$\begin{split} \sigma &\approx \frac{\rho^2 \tau}{\epsilon + P} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} &\approx \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{\rm Q}(\epsilon + P)} + \frac{4\eta \mu^2}{\xi^2 (\epsilon + P)^3}\right). \end{split}$$

using hydrodynamic Green's functions

▶ or, perturbatively solve *linearized* hydro PDEs: [Lucas *et al*; 1510.01738]

$$\partial_i T, \partial_i \mu, v_i \sim E_i$$

Perturbatively Weak Disorder

▶ suppose that the background is almost constant:

$$\mu_{\text{ext}}(x) = \mu_0 + \varepsilon \mu_{\text{imp}}(x).$$

• memory matrix formalism \implies

$$\begin{split} \sigma &\approx \frac{\rho^2 \tau}{\epsilon + P} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} &\approx \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{\rm Q}(\epsilon + P)} + \frac{4\eta \mu^2}{\xi^2 (\epsilon + P)^3}\right) \,. \end{split}$$

using hydrodynamic Green's functions

▶ or, perturbatively solve *linearized* hydro PDEs: [Lucas *et al*; 1510.01738]

$$\partial_i T, \partial_i \mu, v_i \sim E_i$$

 this formula looks complicated...we will discover an easier derivation later

The Dissipative Coefficients

need to know behavior of η , $\sigma_{\rm Q}$ to make transport predictions:

 $\eta, \sigma_{\rm Q} \sim \tau_{\rm ee}.$

► Fermi liquid (doped graphene):

$$au_{\rm ee} \sim \frac{\mu}{T^2}, \quad \eta \sim \epsilon \tau_{\rm ee} \sim \frac{\mu^{d+2}}{T^2}$$

The Dissipative Coefficients

need to know behavior of $\eta,\,\sigma_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle Q}$ to make transport predictions:

 $\eta, \sigma_{\rm Q} \sim \tau_{\rm ee}.$

► Fermi liquid (doped graphene):

$$\tau_{\rm ee} \sim \frac{\mu}{T^2}, \quad \eta \sim \epsilon \tau_{\rm ee} \sim \frac{\mu^{d+2}}{T^2}$$

▶ conformal plasma (charge neutral graphene)

$$au_{\rm ee} \sim \frac{1}{T}, \quad \eta \sim \epsilon \tau_{\rm ee} \sim T^d$$

The Dissipative Coefficients

need to know behavior of $\eta,\,\sigma_{\text{\tiny Q}}$ to make transport predictions:

 $\eta, \sigma_{\rm Q} \sim \tau_{\rm ee}.$

► Fermi liquid (doped graphene):

$$au_{\rm ee} \sim \frac{\mu}{T^2}, \quad \eta \sim \epsilon \tau_{\rm ee} \sim \frac{\mu^{d+2}}{T^2}$$

▶ conformal plasma (charge neutral graphene)

$$\tau_{\rm ee} \sim \frac{1}{T}, \quad \eta \sim \epsilon \tau_{\rm ee} \sim T^d$$

large l_{ee} : fast momentum diffusion

small $l_{\rm ee}:$ slow momentum diffusion





Experimental Evidence for Viscous Flow

▶ hydrodynamics in simple Fermi liquid:

$$R \sim \eta \sim \frac{1}{T^2}$$

Experimental Evidence for Viscous Flow

▶ hydrodynamics in simple Fermi liquid:

$$R \sim \eta \sim \frac{1}{T^2}$$

▶ non-monotonic $\rho(T)$ observed in graphene Fermi liquid

[Kumar et al; 1703.06672]





Thermal Transport at Charge Neutrality



[Lucas, Crossno, Fong, Kim, Sachdev; 1510.01738]

Scattering with Two Fermi Surfaces

▶ scattering two quasiparticles with two Fermi surfaces?



Scattering with Two Fermi Surfaces

▶ scattering two quasiparticles with two Fermi surfaces?



- quasiparticle 2-body collisions conserve momentum number of quasiparticles on each Fermi surface (approximately) conserved
 - ▶ (weak?) higher order effects spoil this new conservation law...

A Generalized Hydrodynamics

hydrodynamics of conserved 'scalar' quantities n^A (charge, heat, "imbalance", etc.), and momentum:

► charges:

$$0 = \partial_i \left(n^A v_i + \Sigma^{AB} \left(E_i - \partial_i \mu^B \right) \right)$$
A Generalized Hydrodynamics

hydrodynamics of conserved 'scalar' quantities n^A (charge, heat, "imbalance", etc.), and momentum:

► charges:

$$0 = \partial_i \left(n^A v_i + \Sigma^{AB} \left(E_i - \partial_i \mu^B \right) \right)$$

▶ momentum:

$$0 = n^A \left(\partial_i \mu^A - E_i^A \right) + \partial_j \left(\eta_{ijkl} \partial_k v_l \right)$$

 $(n^A \text{ shows up in both places } - \text{ second law})$

A Generalized Hydrodynamics

hydrodynamics of conserved 'scalar' quantities n^A (charge, heat, "imbalance", etc.), and momentum:

► charges:

$$0 = \partial_i \left(n^A v_i + \Sigma^{AB} \left(E_i - \partial_i \mu^B \right) \right)$$

▶ momentum:

$$0 = n^A \left(\partial_i \mu^A - E_i^A \right) + \partial_j \left(\eta_{ijkl} \partial_k v_l \right)$$

 $(n^A \text{ shows up in both places } - \text{ second law})$

► recall that η, Σ ~ τ_{ee}; we are interested in theories with multiple charges with

$$\lim_{T \to 0} n^A > 0$$

(this leads to ≥ 1 diffusive modes with $D(T \to 0) \to \infty$)

Entropy Production

► Joule heating:

$$T\dot{s} = \sigma E^2$$

Entropy Production

► Joule heating:

$$T\dot{s} = \sigma E^2$$

▶ looking for *dissipative* transport coefficients:

$$T\dot{s} \approx \Sigma^{AB} \partial_i \mu^A \partial_i \mu^B + \eta_{ijkl} \partial_i v_j \partial_k v_l$$

= $(\Sigma^{-1})^{AB} (J_i^A - n^A v^i) (J_i^B - n^B v^i) + \eta_{ijkl} \partial_i v_j \partial_k v_l$

Entropy Production

► Joule heating:

$$T\dot{s} = \sigma E^2$$

▶ looking for *dissipative* transport coefficients:

$$T\dot{s} \approx \Sigma^{AB} \partial_i \mu^A \partial_i \mu^B + \eta_{ijkl} \partial_i v_j \partial_k v_l$$

= $(\Sigma^{-1})^{AB} (J_i^A - n^A v^i) (J_i^B - n^B v^i) + \eta_{ijkl} \partial_i v_j \partial_k v_l$

▶ theorem: [Lucas; 1506.02662], [Lucas, Hartnoll; 1704.07384]

$$\mathcal{R}[J_i, Q_i] \equiv \frac{1}{V_d} \int \mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x} \ T \dot{s}[J_i^A, v_i]$$

obeys

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}[J_i^A, v_i]}{(V_d^{-1} \int J_x^{\text{charge}})^2} \ge \frac{1}{\sigma}, \quad \text{for arbitrary } J_i^A \text{ if } \partial_i J_i^A = 0.$$

Conductivity Bounds: Proof

▶ first step: show that

$$\min \mathcal{R}[J_i^A, v_i] = J_i^A \left(\sigma^{-1}\right)_{ij}^{AB} J_j^B, \quad \text{if} \quad \int \mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x} J_i^A = \text{fixed}.$$

Conductivity Bounds: Proof

▶ first step: show that

$$\min \mathcal{R}[J_i^A, v_i] = J_i^A \left(\sigma^{-1}\right)_{ij}^{AB} J_j^B, \quad \text{if} \quad \int \mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x} J_i^A = \text{fixed}.$$

• consider
$$J_i^A = \overline{J}_i^A + \hat{J}_i^A$$
, $v_i = \overline{v}_i + \hat{v}_i \ (\overline{J}_i^A, \overline{v}_i \text{ solve EOM})$:
 $\mathcal{R}[J_i^A, v_i] = \mathcal{R}[\overline{J}_i^A, \overline{v}_i] + \mathcal{R}[\hat{J}_i^A, \hat{v}_i]$
 $+ \underbrace{\frac{2}{V_d} \int d^d \mathbf{x} \left((E_i^A - \partial_i \overline{\mu}^A) (\hat{J}_i^A - n^A \hat{v}_i) - \hat{v}_j \partial_i (\eta_{ijkl} \partial_k \overline{v}_l) \right)}_{\mathcal{R}[\hat{J}_i^A, \hat{v}_i]}$

=0 using momentum equation, current conservation

Conductivity Bounds: Proof

▶ first step: show that

$$\min \mathcal{R}[J_i^A, v_i] = J_i^A \left(\sigma^{-1}\right)_{ij}^{AB} J_j^B, \quad \text{if} \quad \int \mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x} J_i^A = \text{fixed}.$$

• consider
$$J_i^A = \overline{J}_i^A + \hat{J}_i^A$$
, $v_i = \overline{v}_i + \hat{v}_i \ (\overline{J}_i^A, \overline{v}_i \text{ solve EOM})$:
 $\mathcal{R}[J_i^A, v_i] = \mathcal{R}[\overline{J}_i^A, \overline{v}_i] + \mathcal{R}[\hat{J}_i^A, \hat{v}_i]$
 $+ \underbrace{\frac{2}{V_d} \int d^d \mathbf{x} \left((E_i^A - \partial_i \overline{\mu}^A) (\hat{J}_i^A - n^A \hat{v}_i) - \hat{v}_j \partial_i (\eta_{ijkl} \partial_k \overline{v}_l) \right)}_{\mathcal{R}[\hat{J}_i^A, \hat{v}_i]}$

=0 using momentum equation, current conservation

▶ similarly, observe that

$$\mathcal{R}[\overline{J}_i^A, \overline{v}_i] = \frac{1}{V_d} \int \mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x} \ E_i^A \overline{J}_i^A.$$

Conductivity Bounds: Proof

▶ first step: show that

$$\min \mathcal{R}[J_i^A, v_i] = J_i^A \left(\sigma^{-1}\right)_{ij}^{AB} J_j^B, \quad \text{if} \quad \int d^d \mathbf{x} J_i^A = \text{fixed}.$$

• consider
$$J_i^A = \overline{J}_i^A + \hat{J}_i^A$$
, $v_i = \overline{v}_i + \hat{v}_i \ (\overline{J}_i^A, \overline{v}_i \text{ solve EOM})$:
 $\mathcal{R}[J_i^A, v_i] = \mathcal{R}[\overline{J}_i^A, \overline{v}_i] + \mathcal{R}[\hat{J}_i^A, \hat{v}_i]$
 $+ \underbrace{\frac{2}{V_d} \int d^d \mathbf{x} \left((E_i^A - \partial_i \overline{\mu}^A) (\hat{J}_i^A - n^A \hat{v}_i) - \hat{v}_j \partial_i (\eta_{ijkl} \partial_k \overline{v}_l) \right)}_{\mathcal{R}[\hat{J}_i^A, \hat{v}_i]}$

=0 using momentum equation, current conservation

0

▶ similarly, observe that

$$\mathcal{R}[\overline{J}_i^A, \overline{v}_i] = \frac{1}{V_d} \int \mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x} \ E_i^A \overline{J}_i^A.$$

• \mathcal{R} is positive definite $\implies \mathcal{R}[J_i^A, v_i] \ge \mathcal{R}[\overline{J}_i^A, \overline{v}_i]$

Conductivity Bounds: Proof

▶ remains to minimize over the $\int J_i^A$, but this follows from basic linear algebra:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \frac{M_{ij} x_i x_j}{(x_l a_l)^2} = \frac{2}{(x_l a_l)^2} \left[M_{ki} x_i - \frac{x_i M_{ij} x_j}{x_l a_l} a_k \right]$$

and so extremum at $x_i \propto M_{ij}^{-1} a_j$

Conductivity Bounds: Proof

▶ remains to minimize over the $\int J_i^A$, but this follows from basic linear algebra:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \frac{M_{ij} x_i x_j}{(x_l a_l)^2} = \frac{2}{(x_l a_l)^2} \left[M_{ki} x_i - \frac{x_i M_{ij} x_j}{x_l a_l} a_k \right]$$

and so extremum at $x_i \propto M_{ij}^{-1} a_j$

▶ straightforward to show this is a *minimum*, and that for the transport problem of interest:

$$\min \frac{\mathcal{R}[J_i^A, v_i]}{(V_d^{-1} \int J_x^{\text{charge}})^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{xx}^{\text{charge}}}$$

Conductivity Bounds: Proof

▶ remains to minimize over the $\int J_i^A$, but this follows from basic linear algebra:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \frac{M_{ij} x_i x_j}{(x_l a_l)^2} = \frac{2}{(x_l a_l)^2} \left[M_{ki} x_i - \frac{x_i M_{ij} x_j}{x_l a_l} a_k \right]$$

and so extremum at $x_i \propto M_{ij}^{-1} a_j$

▶ straightforward to show this is a *minimum*, and that for the transport problem of interest:

$$\min \frac{\mathcal{R}[J_i^A, v_i]}{(V_d^{-1} \int J_x^{\text{charge}})^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{xx}^{\text{charge}}}$$

▶ simple derivation of perturbative result:

• plug in x-independent J_i^A , v_i , and minimize

Viscous Transport Revisited

• consider the case with one conserved current:

$$T\dot{s} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x}}{V_d} \left[\frac{(J_i - nv_i)^2}{\Sigma} + \eta_{ijkl} \partial_i v_j \partial_k v_l \right]$$

and disorder on length scale $\xi \gg \ell_{\rm ee}$

Viscous Transport Revisited

• consider the case with one conserved current:

$$T\dot{s} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d}\mathbf{x}}{V_{d}} \left[\frac{(J_{i} - nv_{i})^{2}}{\Sigma} + \eta_{ijkl}\partial_{i}v_{j}\partial_{k}v_{l} \right]$$

and disorder on length scale $\xi \gg \ell_{\rm ee}$

• choose the ansatz $J_i = nv_i$:

$$\rho \sim \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x}}{V_d} \eta \left(\partial_i \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \sim \frac{\ell_{\mathrm{ee}}}{\xi^2}$$

Viscous Transport Revisited

• consider the case with one conserved current:

$$T\dot{s} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x}}{V_d} \left[\frac{(J_i - nv_i)^2}{\Sigma} + \eta_{ijkl} \partial_i v_j \partial_k v_l \right]$$

and disorder on length scale $\xi \gg \ell_{\rm ee}$

• choose the ansatz $J_i = nv_i$:

$$\rho \sim \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x}}{V_d} \eta \left(\partial_i \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \sim \frac{\ell_{\mathrm{ee}}}{\xi^2}$$

▶ the theory is in local thermal equilibrium

Viscous Transport Revisited

• consider the case with one conserved current:

$$T\dot{s} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d}\mathbf{x}}{V_{d}} \left[\frac{(J_{i} - nv_{i})^{2}}{\Sigma} + \eta_{ijkl}\partial_{i}v_{j}\partial_{k}v_{l} \right]$$

and disorder on length scale $\xi \gg \ell_{\rm ee}$

• choose the ansatz $J_i = nv_i$:

$$\rho \sim \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x}}{V_d} \eta \left(\partial_i \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \sim \frac{\ell_{\mathrm{ee}}}{\xi^2}$$

- ▶ the theory is in local thermal equilibrium
- stronger interactions enhance transport

Imbalance-Diffusive Transport

▶ but suppose there are two conserved currents:

$$T\dot{s} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x}}{V_d} \left[\frac{(J_i^1 - n_1 v_i)^2}{\Sigma_1} + \frac{(J_i^2 - n_2 v_i)^2}{\Sigma_2} + \eta_{ijkl} \partial_i v_j \partial_k v_l \right]$$

with $n_1(x)/n_2(x) \neq \text{constant}$.

Imbalance-Diffusive Transport

▶ but suppose there are two conserved currents:

$$T\dot{s} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x}}{V_d} \left[\frac{(J_i^1 - n_1 v_i)^2}{\Sigma_1} + \frac{(J_i^2 - n_2 v_i)^2}{\Sigma_2} + \eta_{ijkl} \partial_i v_j \partial_k v_l \right]$$

with $n_1(x)/n_2(x) \neq \text{constant}$.

• let's try ansatz $v_i = J_i^1/n_1$?

$$\rho_1 \sim \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{V_d} \int \mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x} J_1\right)^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x}}{V_d} \frac{1}{\Sigma_2} \left(J_i^2 - \frac{n_2}{n_1} J_i^1\right)^2 \sim \frac{1}{\ell_{\mathrm{ee}}}$$

Imbalance-Diffusive Transport

▶ but suppose there are two conserved currents:

$$T\dot{s} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x}}{V_d} \left[\frac{(J_i^1 - n_1 v_i)^2}{\Sigma_1} + \frac{(J_i^2 - n_2 v_i)^2}{\Sigma_2} + \eta_{ijkl} \partial_i v_j \partial_k v_l \right]$$

with $n_1(x)/n_2(x) \neq \text{constant}$.

• let's try ansatz $v_i = J_i^1/n_1$?

$$\rho_1 \sim \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{V_d} \int \mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x} J_1\right)^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x}}{V_d} \frac{1}{\Sigma_2} \left(J_i^2 - \frac{n_2}{n_1} J_i^1\right)^2 \sim \frac{1}{\ell_{\mathrm{ee}}}$$

▶ *not* in local thermal equilibrium:

$$\nabla \mu_2 \sim \frac{E_1}{\Sigma_2} \sim \frac{E_1}{\ell_{\rm ee}}$$

("one (quasi)particle out of equilibrium per ℓ_{ee} ")

Imbalance-Diffusive Transport

▶ but suppose there are two conserved currents:

$$T\dot{s} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x}}{V_d} \left[\frac{(J_i^1 - n_1 v_i)^2}{\Sigma_1} + \frac{(J_i^2 - n_2 v_i)^2}{\Sigma_2} + \eta_{ijkl} \partial_i v_j \partial_k v_l \right]$$

with $n_1(x)/n_2(x) \neq \text{constant}$.

• let's try ansatz $v_i = J_i^1/n_1$?

$$\rho_1 \sim \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{V_d} \int \mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x} J_1\right)^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \mathbf{x}}{V_d} \frac{1}{\Sigma_2} \left(J_i^2 - \frac{n_2}{n_1} J_i^1\right)^2 \sim \frac{1}{\ell_{\mathrm{ee}}}$$

▶ *not* in local thermal equilibrium:

$$\nabla \mu_2 \sim \frac{E_1}{\Sigma_2} \sim \frac{E_1}{\ell_{\rm ee}}$$

("one (quasi)particle out of equilibrium per lee")
▶ stronger interactions suppress transport

Phenomenology: Imbalance Modes in Strange Metals?

imbalance modes from pockets/bands?



Phenomenology: Imbalance Modes in Strange Metals?

imbalance modes from pockets/bands?



► Pomeranchuk criticality?



Phenomenology: Imbalance Modes in Strange Metals?

imbalance modes from pockets/bands?



▶ Pomeranchuk criticality?



▶ spin imbalance?



Phenomenology: Enhanced Resistivity near Criticality

▶ sample phase diagram:



Phenomenology: Enhanced Resistivity near Criticality

sample phase diagram:



▶ our theory: imbalance diffusion causes

$$\rho \sim \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm ee}} \sim \begin{cases} T & \text{strange metal} \\ T^2 & \text{Fermi liquid} \end{cases}$$

.

Phenomenology: Enhanced Resistivity near Criticality

sample phase diagram:



▶ our theory: imbalance diffusion causes

$$\rho \sim \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm ee}} \sim \begin{cases} T & \text{strange metal} \\ T^2 & \text{Fermi liquid} \end{cases}$$

 $\triangleright \rho \text{ increases near quantum critical points?}$

Phenomenology: Disorder "Independence"?

▶ out of plane impurities for quasi-2d metal?



(impurity-rich dopant layers make qualitatively large amplitude, large ξ puddles?)

Phenomenology: Disorder "Independence"?

▶ out of plane impurities for quasi-2d metal?



(impurity-rich dopant layers make qualitatively large amplitude, large ξ puddles?)

- ▶ failure of Mattheisen's rule at weak disorder?
 - ▶ observed in some heavy fermions? [Kadowaki, Woods (1986)]

- ▶ what happens if we have
 - non-perturbatively large amplitude inhomogeneity (no memory matrix)

- ▶ what happens if we have
 - non-perturbatively large amplitude inhomogeneity (no memory matrix)
 - disorder on length scales $\leq \ell_{ee}$?

- ▶ what happens if we have
 - non-perturbatively large amplitude inhomogeneity (no memory matrix)
 - disorder on length scales $\leq \ell_{ee}$?
- ▶ one simple technique from AdS/CFT: holographic "linear axion" model

$$S = \int d^{d+2}x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - Z(\Phi) \frac{F^2}{4} - V(\Phi) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \Phi)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi_i)^2 \right]$$

"Homogeneous Disorder"

- ▶ what happens if we have
 - non-perturbatively large amplitude inhomogeneity (no memory matrix)
 - disorder on length scales $\leq \ell_{ee}$?
- ▶ one simple technique from AdS/CFT: holographic "linear axion" model

$$S = \int d^{d+2}x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - Z(\Phi) \frac{F^2}{4} - V(\Phi) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \Phi)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi_i)^2 \right]$$

► choose the ansatz $\chi_i = kx_i$ to break translation invariance

- ▶ what happens if we have
 - non-perturbatively large amplitude inhomogeneity (no memory matrix)
 - disorder on length scales $\leq \ell_{ee}$?
- ▶ one simple technique from AdS/CFT: holographic "linear axion" model

$$S = \int d^{d+2}x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - Z(\Phi) \frac{F^2}{4} - V(\Phi) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \Phi)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi_i)^2 \right]$$

- ► choose the ansatz $\chi_i = kx_i$ to break translation invariance
- \blacktriangleright homogeneous black hole without momentum conservation

- ▶ what happens if we have
 - non-perturbatively large amplitude inhomogeneity (no memory matrix)
 - disorder on length scales $\leq \ell_{ee}$?
- ▶ one simple technique from AdS/CFT: holographic "linear axion" model

$$S = \int d^{d+2}x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - Z(\Phi) \frac{F^2}{4} - V(\Phi) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \Phi)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi_i)^2 \right]$$

- ► choose the ansatz $\chi_i = kx_i$ to break translation invariance
- \blacktriangleright homogeneous black hole without momentum conservation
- ▶ one finds that

$$\sigma_{\rm dc} = C_1(T) + \frac{C_2(T)\rho^2}{k^2}$$

"Homogeneous Disorder"

- ▶ what happens if we have
 - non-perturbatively large amplitude inhomogeneity (no memory matrix)
 - disorder on length scales $\leq \ell_{ee}$?
- ▶ one simple technique from AdS/CFT: holographic "linear axion" model

$$S = \int d^{d+2}x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - Z(\Phi) \frac{F^2}{4} - V(\Phi) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \Phi)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi_i)^2 \right]$$

- ► choose the ansatz $\chi_i = kx_i$ to break translation invariance
- \blacktriangleright homogeneous black hole without momentum conservation
- ▶ one finds that

$$\sigma_{
m dc} = C_1(T) + rac{C_2(T)
ho^2}{k^2}$$

• $\sigma_{\rm dc} > 0$ at $k = \infty$ ('infinite' disorder)

Is This Relativistic Drude Physics?

▶ using "Drude" momentum relaxation time approximation:

$$0 = \rho E_i - \frac{\epsilon + P}{\tau} v_i,$$

but using that

$$J_i = \sigma_{\rm Q} E_i + \rho v_i,$$

we find

$$\sigma_{\rm dc} = \sigma_{\rm Q} + \frac{\rho^2 \tau}{\epsilon + P}.$$
Is This Relativistic Drude Physics?

▶ using "Drude" momentum relaxation time approximation:

$$0 = \rho E_i - \frac{\epsilon + P}{\tau} v_i,$$

but using that

$$J_i = \sigma_{\rm Q} E_i + \rho v_i,$$

we find

$$\sigma_{\rm dc} = \sigma_{\rm Q} + \frac{\rho^2 \tau}{\epsilon + P}.$$

▶ this is *not* really describing holographic result...

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{1}{1 - i\omega\tau} \left(C_1 - \sigma_Q + \frac{C_2 \rho^2}{k^2} \right) + \sigma_Q + O(\omega, \tau^{-1})$$

with $\tau^{-1} \sim a_2 k^2 + a_4 k^4 + \cdots$

[Davison, Goutéraux; 1505.05092], [Blake; 1505.06992]

Dirty Black Holes

a more sophisticated holographic approach:





Dirty Black Holes

a more sophisticated holographic approach:



▶ we consider holographic black holes with inhomogeneous 'hair', but connected black hole horizon at finite temperature T

Dirty Black Holes

a more sophisticated holographic approach:



- ▶ we consider holographic black holes with inhomogeneous 'hair', but connected black hole horizon at finite temperature T
- but the possibility of "floating" black holes is also interesting...and may describe non-metallic physics [Horowitz, Iqbal, Santos, Way; 1412.1830]

▶ compute conductivity of uncharged black hole by solving:

$$\partial_M \left(\sqrt{-g} Z F^{MN} \right) = 0.$$

▶ compute conductivity of uncharged black hole by solving:

$$\partial_M \left(\sqrt{-g} Z F^{MN} \right) = 0.$$

▶ the boundary current is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[J^{i}\right] = \frac{1}{V_{2}} \int \mathrm{d}^{2}x J^{i} = \mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{-g}ZF^{ir}\right]$$

and (setting N=i above): $\mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{-g}ZF^{ir}\right]=\text{constant}$

▶ compute conductivity of uncharged black hole by solving:

$$\partial_M \left(\sqrt{-g} Z F^{MN} \right) = 0.$$

▶ the boundary current is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[J^{i}\right] = \frac{1}{V_{2}} \int \mathrm{d}^{2}x J^{i} = \mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{-g}ZF^{ir}\right]$$

and (setting N = i above): $\mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{-g}ZF^{ir}\right] = \text{constant}$

• near-horizon expansion, and setting N = r above:

$$\sqrt{-g}ZF^{ir} \to \mathcal{I}^i \equiv \gamma^{ij}Z(E_j - \partial_j\tilde{\mu}), \quad \nabla_i\mathcal{I}^i = 0.$$

where γ is induced horizon metric

▶ compute conductivity of uncharged black hole by solving:

$$\partial_M \left(\sqrt{-g} Z F^{MN} \right) = 0.$$

▶ the boundary current is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[J^{i}\right] = \frac{1}{V_{2}} \int \mathrm{d}^{2}x J^{i} = \mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{-g}ZF^{ir}\right]$$

and (setting N = i above): $\mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{-g}ZF^{ir}\right] = \text{constant}$

• near-horizon expansion, and setting N = r above:

$$\sqrt{-g}ZF^{ir} \to \mathcal{I}^i \equiv \gamma^{ij}Z(E_j - \partial_j\tilde{\mu}), \quad \nabla_i\mathcal{I}^i = 0.$$

where γ is induced horizon metric

▶ diffusion in emergent horizon fluid governs transport!

[Donos, Gauntlett; 1506.01360]

Emergent Horizon Fluid

▶ even more generally, using near horizon solution

$$ds^{2} = dr^{2} - (2\pi Tr)^{2} dt^{2} + \gamma_{ij} dx^{i} dx^{j} + \cdots,$$
$$A = \pi Tr^{2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}) dt + \cdots,$$

emergent linearized hydro governs dc transport! [Donos, Gauntlett; 1506.01360]

Emergent Horizon Fluid

▶ even more generally, using near horizon solution

$$ds^{2} = dr^{2} - (2\pi Tr)^{2} dt^{2} + \gamma_{ij} dx^{i} dx^{j} + \cdots ,$$
$$A = \pi Tr^{2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{x}) dt + \cdots ,$$

emergent linearized hydro governs dc transport! [Donos, Gauntlett; 1506.01360]

 Thomson's principle for horizon fluid: [Grozdanov, Lucas, Sachdev, Schalm; 1507.00003]

$$\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{Z}\left(\mathcal{I} - \frac{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{J}}{4\pi T}\right)^2 + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma}}{(4\pi T)^2}\nabla^{(i}\mathcal{J}^{j)}\nabla_{(i}\mathcal{J}_{j)} + \cdots\right]$$

$$\underbrace{\nabla_i \mathcal{I}^i = 0}_{\text{charge conservation}} \underbrace{\nabla_i \mathcal{J}^i = 0}_{\text{heat conservation}}$$

consider the Einstein-Maxwell-AdS $_4$ theory (M2 branes). if

 $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma \delta_{ij}$, [Grozdanov, Lucas, Sachdev, Schalm; 1507.00003]

$$\sigma \ge 1$$

consider the Einstein-Maxwell-AdS₄ theory (M2 branes). if $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma \delta_{ij}$, [Grozdanov, Lucas, Sachdev, Schalm; 1507.00003]

$$\sigma \geq 1$$

▶ use diff symmetry to fix

$$\mathrm{d}s_{\mathrm{hor}}^2 = \mathrm{e}^{\omega} \left(\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2 \right)$$

consider the Einstein-Maxwell-AdS₄ theory (M2 branes). if $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma \delta_{ij}$, [Grozdanov, Lucas, Sachdev, Schalm; 1507.00003]

$$\sigma \geq 1$$

▶ use diff symmetry to fix

$$\mathrm{d}s_{\mathrm{hor}}^2 = \mathrm{e}^{\omega} \left(\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2\right)$$

▶ guess trial currents

$$\mathcal{I}^i = \mathrm{e}^{-\omega} \delta^i_x, \quad \mathcal{J}^i = 0$$

consider the Einstein-Maxwell-AdS₄ theory (M2 branes). if $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma \delta_{ij}$, [Grozdanov, Lucas, Sachdev, Schalm; 1507.00003]

$$\sigma \geq 1$$

▶ use diff symmetry to fix

$$\mathrm{d}s_{\mathrm{hor}}^2 = \mathrm{e}^{\omega} \left(\mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}y^2 \right)$$

▶ guess trial currents

$$\mathcal{I}^i = \mathrm{e}^{-\omega} \delta^i_x, \quad \mathcal{J}^i = 0$$

mean field approaches:
 [Blake, Tong; 1308.4970]

$$\sigma = 1 + \frac{4\pi \mathcal{Q}^2}{\mathcal{S}m^2} \ge 1.$$

Thermal Conductivity Bounds

in M2 brane theory, if $\bar{\kappa}_{ij} = \bar{\kappa} \delta_{ij}$,

 $[{\rm Grozdanov},\,{\rm Lucas},\,{\rm Schalm};\,1511.05970]$

$$\bar{\kappa} \geq \frac{4\pi^2 T}{3}$$

Thermal Conductivity Bounds

in M2 brane theory, if $\bar{\kappa}_{ij} = \bar{\kappa} \delta_{ij}$,

 $[{\rm Grozdanov},\,{\rm Lucas},\,{\rm Schalm};\,1511.05970]$

$$\bar{\kappa} \geq \frac{4\pi^2 T}{3}$$

▶ guess trial currents

$$\mathcal{J}^i = \mathrm{e}^{-\omega} \delta^i_x, \quad \mathcal{I}^i = 0$$

Thermal Conductivity Bounds

in M2 brane theory, if $\bar{\kappa}_{ij} = \bar{\kappa} \delta_{ij}$,

 $[{\rm Grozdanov},\,{\rm Lucas},\,{\rm Schalm};\,1511.05970]$

$$\bar{\kappa} \geq \frac{4\pi^2 T}{3}$$

▶ guess trial currents

$$\mathcal{J}^i = \mathrm{e}^{-\omega} \delta^i_x, \quad \mathcal{I}^i = 0$$

 Taylor expand Einstein's equation, require horizon is minimal area surface

Thermal Conductivity Bounds

in M2 brane theory, if $\bar{\kappa}_{ij} = \bar{\kappa} \delta_{ij}$,

 $[{\rm Grozdanov},\,{\rm Lucas},\,{\rm Schalm};\,1511.05970]$

$$\bar{\kappa} \geq \frac{4\pi^2 T}{3}$$

▶ guess trial currents

$$\mathcal{J}^i = \mathrm{e}^{-\omega} \delta^i_x, \quad \mathcal{I}^i = 0$$

- Taylor expand Einstein's equation, require horizon is minimal area surface
- ▶ mean field approaches: [Donos, Gauntlett; 1406.4742]

$$\bar{\kappa} = \frac{4\pi ST}{m^2}, \quad S \ge \frac{\pi m^2}{3}$$

Extensions

▶ EMD theory: [Grozdanov, Lucas, Schalm; 1511.05970]

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - 2(\partial \Phi)^2 - V(\Phi) - \frac{Z(\Phi)}{4} F^2 \right),$$

$$\sigma \ge \min(Z(\Phi)), \quad \bar{\kappa} \ge \frac{8\pi^2 T}{\max(-V(\Phi))}$$

Extensions

▶ EMD theory: [Grozdanov, Lucas, Schalm; 1511.05970]

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - 2(\partial \Phi)^2 - V(\Phi) - \frac{Z(\Phi)}{4} F^2 \right),$$

$$\sigma \ge \min(Z(\Phi)), \quad \bar{\kappa} \ge \frac{8\pi^2 T}{\max(-V(\Phi))}$$

▶ DBI action (d = 2): [Ikeda, Lucas, Nakai; 1601.07882]

$$\sigma \ge 1$$

Extensions

▶ EMD theory: [Grozdanov, Lucas, Schalm; 1511.05970]

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - 2(\partial \Phi)^2 - V(\Phi) - \frac{Z(\Phi)}{4} F^2 \right),$$

$$\sigma \ge \min(Z(\Phi)), \quad \bar{\kappa} \ge \frac{8\pi^2 T}{\max(-V(\Phi))}$$

▶ DBI action (d = 2): [Ikeda, Lucas, Nakai; 1601.07882]

$$\sigma \ge 1$$

 these bounds are not 'universal' to all QFT as they depend on V and Z, but can be universal for certain QFTs (changing V, Z changes dual QFT)