RHIC And EIC Physics

Deep Inelastic Scattering: Discovery of Spin Crisis

June 26, 2018 Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics Lecture 1 B



 $Q^2 = -q^2 = -(k_{\mu} - k'_{\mu})^2$

 $Q^2 = 2E_e E'_e (1 - \cos\Theta_{a})$

 $y = \frac{pq}{pk} = 1 - \frac{E'_e}{E_e} \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta'_e}{2}\right)$

Deep Inelastic Scattering



Inclusive events: $e+p/A \rightarrow e'+X$ detect only the scattered lepton in the detector

Semi-inclusive events:

 $e+p/A \rightarrow e'+h(\pi,K,p,jet)+X$ detect the scattered lepton in coincidence with identified hadrons/jets in the detector Stony Brook University

Measure of inelasticity

 $x = \frac{Q^2}{2pq} = \frac{Q^2}{sy}$ Measure of momentum fraction of struck quark

 $z = \frac{E_h}{v}; p_t^{\text{with respect to }\gamma}$

Hadron:

Deep Inelastic Scattering



Special sub-event category <u>rapidity gap events</u> e + (p/A) \rightarrow e' + γ / J/ ψ / ρ / ϕ / jet Don't detect (p'/A') in final state

Stony Brook University

Perspective on x,Q², Center of Mass

Fixed target e-N experiments (center of mass < 30 GeV)



Measurement of Glue at HERA



*Dokshitzer, Gribov, Lipatov, Altarelli, Parisi



Measurement of Gluons at HERA



Method of extraction standard based on the fact that quarks radiate gluons

- Inclusive e-p scattering at 300 GeV center of mass
- Low x gluon distribution measured up to $x = 10^{-4}$
- Evolved to a high value of Q² using the Altarelli Parisi equation
- Gluon distribution keeps on rising: "the Low x singularity"

What this means to our understanding of QCD? Infinite Rise? – Physics for EIC

Some equations...

Assume only γ^* exchange

Lepton Nucleon Cross Section

$$\frac{d^3\sigma}{dxdyd\phi} = \frac{\alpha^2 y}{2Q^4} L_{\mu\nu}(k,q,s,) W^{\mu\nu}(P,q,S)$$
 Lepton spin

- Lepton tensor $L_{\mu\nu}$ affects the kinematics (QED)
- Hadronic tensor $W^{\mu\nu}$ has information about the hadron structure

$$W^{\mu\nu}(P,q,S) = -(g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{q^{\mu}q^{\nu}}{q^2})F_1(x,Q^2) + (p^{\mu} - \frac{P \cdot q}{q^2}q^{\mu})(p^{\nu} - \frac{P \cdot q}{q^2}q^{\nu})\frac{1}{P \cdot q}F_2(x,Q^2)$$
$$-i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}q_{\lambda}\left[\frac{MS_{\sigma}}{P \cdot q}(g_1(x,Q^2) + g_2(x,Q^2)) - \frac{M(S \cdot q)P}{P \cdot q}(g_2(x,Q^2))\right]$$



Lepton-nucleon Cross Section $\sigma = \overline{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}h_1\delta\sigma.$

2

$$\gamma = \frac{2Mx}{\sqrt{Q^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{Q^2}}{\nu}.$$

$$\frac{d^2\overline{\sigma}}{dxdQ^2} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{Q^4x} \left[xy^2 \left(1 - \frac{2m_l^2}{Q^2} \right) F_1(x,Q^2) \right]$$

+
$$\left(1-y-\frac{\gamma^{2}y^{2}}{4}\right)F_{2}(x,Q^{2})$$
],

lepton helicity $h_l = \pm 1$

unpolarized structure functions $F_{1,2}(x,Q^2)$

scaling variable $x = Q^2/2M\nu$

exchanged virtual photon energy = ν



Polarized lepton-nucleon cross section...





Cross section asymmetries....

- $\Delta \sigma_{\parallel} =$ anti-parallel parallel spin cross sections
- $\Delta \sigma_{perp}$ = lepton-nucleon spins orthogonal
- Instead of measuring cross sections, it is prudent to measure the differences: Asymmetries in which many measurement imperfections might cancel:

$$A_{\parallel} = \frac{\Delta \sigma_{\parallel}}{2 \,\overline{\sigma}}, \quad A_{\perp} = \frac{\Delta \sigma_{\perp}}{2 \,\overline{\sigma}},$$

which are related to virtual photon-proton asymmetries A1,A2:

$$A_{\parallel} = D(A_1 + \eta A_2), \quad A_{\perp} = d(A_2 - \xi A_1)$$

$$A_1 = \frac{\sigma_{1/2} - \sigma_{3/2}}{\sigma_{1/2} + \sigma_{3/2}} = \frac{g_1 - \gamma^2 g_2}{F_1}$$

 $A_2 = \frac{2\sigma^{TL}}{\sigma_{1/2} + \sigma_{3/2}} = \gamma \, \frac{g_1 + g_2}{F_1}$



$$d = \frac{\sqrt{1 - y - \gamma^2 y^2 / 4}}{1 - y / 2} D,$$

$$\eta = \frac{\gamma (1 - y - \gamma^2 y^2 / 4)}{(1 - y / 2)(1 + \gamma^2 y / 2)},$$

$$\xi = \frac{\gamma (1 - y / 2)}{1 + \gamma^2 y / 2}.$$

d, η, ξ are kinematic factors

D = Depolarization factor: how much polarization of the incoming electron is taken by the virtual photon, calculable in QED

$$D = \frac{y(2-y)(1+\gamma^2 y/2)}{y^2(1+\gamma^2)(1-2m_l^2/Q^2)+2(1-y-\gamma^2 y^2/4)(1+R)}$$

Stony Brook University

• All could be written down in terms of spin structure function g1, and A2 along with kinematic factors:

$$\frac{A_{\parallel}}{D} = (1 + \gamma^2) \frac{g_1}{F_1} + (\eta - \gamma)A_2$$

Where A₁ is bounded by 1, and A₂ by sqrt(R= σ_T/σ_L), when terms related A₂ can be neglected, and γ is small,

$$A_1 \approx \frac{A_{\parallel}}{D}, \quad \frac{g_1}{F_1} \approx \frac{1}{1+\gamma^2} \frac{A_{\parallel}}{D}$$

• Where: $F_1 = \frac{1+\gamma^2}{2x(1+R)} F_2$ and $A_2 = \frac{1}{1+\eta\xi} \left(\frac{A_{\perp}}{d} + \xi \frac{A_{\parallel}}{D}\right)$



Relation to spin structure function g₁

$$g_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_f} e_i^2 \Delta q_i(x)$$

$$\Delta q_{i}(x) = q_{i}^{+}(x) - q_{i}^{-}(x) + \overline{q}_{i}^{+}(x) - \overline{q_{i}^{-}}(x)$$

$\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac$	Quark and anti-quark with spin orientation along and
q_i (q_i) and q_i (q_i)	against the proton spin.

- In QCD quarks interact with each other through gluons, which gives rise to a Q² dependence of structure functions
- At any given Q² the spin structure function is related to polarized quark & gluon distributions by coefficients C_q and C_g



Composition & Q² or t dependence of Structure Functions

$$g_{1}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{f}} \frac{e_{k}^{2}}{n_{f}} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dy}{y} \left[C_{q}^{S} \left(\frac{x}{y}, \alpha_{s}(t) \right) \Delta \Sigma(y,t) + 2n_{f} C_{g} \left(\frac{x}{y}, \alpha_{s}(t) \right) \Delta g(y,t) + C_{q}^{NS} \left(\frac{x}{y}, \alpha_{s}(t) \right) \Delta g(y,t) \right].$$

In this equation: t = $ln(Q^2/\Lambda^2)$

as = strong interaction constant
S & NS stand for flavor singlet &
flavor non-singlet

$$\Delta \Sigma(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_f} \Delta q_i(x,t),$$

$$\Delta q^{\text{NS}}(x,t) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_f} \left(e_i^2 - \frac{1}{n_f}\sum_{k=1}^{n_f} e_k^2\right) / \frac{1}{n_f}\sum_{k=1}^{n_f} e_k^2\right] \Delta q_i(x,t).$$



Composition & Q² or t dependence of Structure Functions

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Delta\Sigma(x,t) = \frac{\alpha_s(t)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left[P_{qq}^{\rm S}\left(\frac{x}{y},\alpha_s(t)\right) \Delta\Sigma(y,t) + 2n_f P_{qg}\left(\frac{x}{y},\alpha_s(t)\right) \Delta g(y,t) \right],$$

 $\frac{d}{dt}\Delta g(x,t) = \frac{\alpha_s(t)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{v} \left| P_{gq}\left(\frac{x}{v},\alpha_s(t)\right) \Delta \Sigma(y,t) \right|$

 $+P_{gg}\left(\frac{x}{y},\alpha_{s}(t)\right)\Delta g(y,t)$,

Singlet quark distribution And its t dependence

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Delta q^{\rm NS}(x,t) = \frac{\alpha_s(t)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} P_{qq}^{\rm NS}\left(\frac{x}{y},\alpha_s(t)\right) \Delta q^{\rm NS}(y,t).$$



At leading order g_1 decouples with ΔG

$$C_q^{0,S}\left(\frac{x}{y},\alpha_s\right) = C_q^{0,NS}\left(\frac{x}{y},\alpha_s\right) = \delta\left(1-\frac{x}{y}\right),$$
$$C_g^0\left(\frac{x}{y},\alpha_s\right) = 0.$$

Whenever you hear Analysis done at "Leading order" --This means quarkgluon interactions are **dropped** from consideration

Beyond the leading order coefficient & splitting functions are not uniquely defined: There are some favorite schemes of theorists, each with distinct calculation advantage.

- Most are now available at $\, lpha_S^2 \,$
- More comments on this in various theory talks

Life was easy in the Quark Parton Model (QPM)

Until first spin experiments were done!



Understanding the proton structure:

Friedman, Kendall, Taylor: 1960's SLAC Experiment 1990 Nobel Prize: "for their pioneering investigations concerning deep inelastic scattering of electrons on protons and bound neutrons, which have been of essential importance for the development of the quark model in particle physics".

Obvious next Question:

Could we understand other properties of proton, e.g. SPIN, in the quark-parton model? Proton Spin = $\frac{1}{2}$, each quark is a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particle...





Structure Functions & PDFs

- The F1 and F2 are unpolarized structure functions or momentum distributions
- The g1 and g2 are polarized structure functions or spin distributions
- In QPM
 - $F_2(x) = 2xF_1$ (Calan Gross relation)
 - g₂ = 0 (Twist 3 quark gluon correlations)

$$F_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_f e_f^2 \{ q_f^+(x) + q_f^-(x) \} = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_f e_f^2 q_f(x)$$
$$g_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_f e_f^2 \{ q_f^+(x) - q_f^-(x) \} = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_f e_f^2 \Delta q_f(x)$$



Nucleon spin & Quark Probabilities

Define

$$\Delta q = q^+ - q^-$$

- With q⁺ and q⁻ probabilities of quark & anti-quark with spin parallel and anti-parallel to the nucleon spin
- Total quark contribution then can be written as:

$$\Delta \Sigma = \Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s$$

The nucleon spin composition

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma$$



New: we know only now

Abhay Deshpande

Nucleon's Spin: Naïve Quark Parton Model (ignoring relativistic effects... my model)

- Protons and Neutrons are spin 1/2 particles
- Quarks that constitute them are also spin 1/2 particles



How was the Quark Spin measured?

Deep Inelastic polarized electron or muon scattering



Measurements of spin structure functions: What issues we need to worry about?

Design of experiments, operational issues Calculations of spin structure functions



Experimental Needs in DIS

Polarized target, polarized beam

- Polarized targets: hydrogen (p), deuteron (pn), helium (³He: 2p+n)
- Polarized beams: electron, muon used in DIS experiments

Determine the kinematics: measure with high accuracy:

- Energy of incoming lepton
- Energy, direction of **scattered lepton**: energy, direction
- Good identification of scattered lepton

Control of false asymmetries:

 Need excellent understanding and control of false asymmetries (time variation of the detector efficiency etc.)



An Ideal Situation

$$A_{measured} = \frac{N^{\rightarrow \leftarrow} - N^{\rightarrow \rightarrow}}{N^{\rightarrow \leftarrow} + N^{\rightarrow \rightarrow}}$$

$$N^{\leftarrow \rightarrow} = N_b \cdot N_t \cdot \sigma^{\leftarrow \rightarrow} \cdot D_{acc} \cdot D_{eff}$$

$$N^{\rightarrow \rightarrow} = N_b \cdot N_t \cdot \sigma^{\rightarrow \rightarrow} \cdot D_{acc} \cdot D_{eff}$$

If all other things are equal, they cancel in the ratio and....

$$A_{measured} = \frac{\sigma^{\rightarrow \leftarrow} - \sigma^{\rightarrow \rightarrow}}{\sigma^{\rightarrow \leftarrow} + \sigma^{\rightarrow \rightarrow}}$$

Stony Brook University

A Typical Setup



- Target polarization direction reversed every 6-8 hrs
- Typically experiments try to limit false asymmetries to be about 10 times smaller than the physics asymmetry of interest

Stony Brook University

Asymmetry Measurement

$$\frac{N^{\uparrow\downarrow} - N^{\uparrow\uparrow}}{N^{\uparrow\downarrow} + N^{\uparrow\uparrow}} = A_{measured} = P_{beam} \cdot P_{target} \cdot f \cdot A_{\parallel}$$

 f = dilution factor proportional to the polarizable nucleons of interest in the target "material" used, for example for NH₃, f=3/17

$$g_1 \approx \frac{A_{||}}{D} \cdot F_1 \approx \frac{A_{||}}{D} \frac{F_2}{2 \cdot x} \qquad \int_0^1 g_1^p(x, Q_0^2) dx = \Gamma_1^p(Q_0^2)$$

- D is the depolarization factor, kinematics, polarization transfer from polarized lepton to photon, D ~ y^2



First Moments of SPIN SFs

• With
$$\Delta q = \int_{0}^{1} \Delta q(x) dx$$
$$g_{1}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{f} e_{f}^{2} \{q_{f}^{+}(x) - q_{f}^{-}(x)\} = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{f} e_{f}^{2} \Delta q_{f}(x)$$
$$\Gamma_{1}^{p} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{4}{9} \Delta u + \frac{1}{9} \Delta d + \frac{1}{9} \Delta s \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{12} (\Delta u - \Delta d) + \frac{1}{36} (\Delta u + \Delta d - 2\Delta s) + \frac{1}{9} (\Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s)$$
$$A_{a_{3}=g_{a}} = \frac{1}{12} \left[\Delta u - \Delta d + \frac{1}{36} (\Delta u + \Delta d - 2\Delta s) + \frac{1}{9} (\Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s) \right]$$
Neutron decay
$$(3F-D)/3$$
Hyperon Decay
$$\Gamma_{1}^{p,n} = \frac{1}{12} \left[\pm a_{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} a_{8} \right] + \frac{1}{9} a_{0}$$
Story Brock University (Abbay Deshpande

First moment of $g_1^p(x)$: Ellis-Jaffe SR

$$\Gamma_1^{p,n} = \frac{1}{12} \left[\pm a_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} a_8 \right] + \frac{1}{9} a_0$$

$$a_3 = \frac{g_A}{g_V} = F + D = 1.2601 \pm 0.0025$$

$$a_8 = 3F - D \Longrightarrow F/D = 0.575 \pm 0.016$$

Assuming SU(3)f & ${\rm \Delta s}$ = 0 , Ellis & Jaffe: $~\Gamma_1^p=0.170\pm 0.004$

Measurements were done at SLAC (E80, E130) Experiments: Low 8-20 GeV electron beam on fixed target Did not reach low enough $x \rightarrow x_{min} \sim 10^{-2}$ Found consistency of data and E-J sum rule above

Stony Brook University

European Muon Collaboration at CERN

- 160 GeV muon beam (lower intensity), but significantly higher energy
- Significantly LOWER X reach $\rightarrow x_{min} \sim 10^{-3}$
- Polarized target
- Repeated experiment for A1 and measured g1 of the proton!



Proton Spin Crisis (1989)!



 $\Delta \Sigma = (0.12) + / - (0.17) (EMC, 1989)$ $\Delta \Sigma = 0.58$ expected from E-J sum rule....

Stony Brook University

Extrapolations!

The most simplistic but intuitive theoretical predictions for the polarized deep inelastic scattering are the **sum rules** for the nucleon structure function g1.

$$\Gamma_1(Q^2) = \int_0^1 g_1(x,Q^2) dx$$

Due to experimental limitations, accessibility of x range is limited, and extrapolations to x = 0 and x = 1 are **unavoidable**.

Extrapolations to x = 1, are *somewhat* less problematic: Small contribution to the integral Future precisions studies at JLab 12GeV of great interest

Low x behavior of g1(x) is theoretically not well established hence of significant debate and excitement in the community

Low x behavior of g_1

Regge models (mostly used until mid 1990s):

 $-0.5 < \alpha < 0.5$ $Q^2 << 2M\nu$, i.e., $x \to 0, \ g_1^p \pm g_1^n \to x^{-\alpha}$

Where α is the intercept of the lowest contributing Regge trajectories

Other model dependent expectations (non-QCD based):

$$g_1(x) \propto [2 \ln(1/x) - 1]$$

$$g_1(x) \propto (x \ln^2 x)^{-1}$$

QCD based calculations:

Resummation of AP:

 $g_1(x,Q^2) \sim \exp A \sqrt{\ln[\alpha_x(Q_0^2)/\alpha_s(Q^2)]} \ln(1/x)$ Resum of leading power of ln(1/x) gives:

$$g_1^{\rm NS}(x,Q^2) \sim x^{-w_{\rm NS}}, \quad w_{\rm NS} \sim 0.4$$

$$g_1^{\rm S}(x,Q^2) \sim x^{-w_{\rm S}}, \quad w_{\rm S} \sim 3w_{\rm NS}$$



A collection of low x behaviors:



1996-1999 Serious of Future HERA Physics Workshop Deshpande, Hughes, Lichtenstadt, HERA low x WS (1999) Simulated data for polarized e-p scattering shown in the figure. Polarized HERA was not realize!

Stony Brook University

- Low x behavior all over the place
- No theoretical guidance for which one is correct
- Only logical path is though measurements.
 - Not easy
 - But planned in future
 - See lectures on EIC later in the week.

RHIC and EIC Physics Lecture 1(B) of 3

Evolution: Our Understanding of Nucleon Spin



We have come a long way, but do we understand nucleon spin?



Deshpande

40

How significant is this?



"It could the discovery of the century. Depending, of course on how far below it goes..."

of course, on now far about a goes.

RHIC and EC Physics

From Fixed Target Deep Inelastic Scattering to the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider

June 26, 2018 Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Pohang Lecture 1 C



Proton Spin Crisis (1989)!



 $\Delta \Sigma = (0.12) + / - (0.17) (EMC, 1989)$ $\Delta \Sigma = 0.58$ expected from E-J sum rule....

Stony Brook University

Aftermath of the EMC Spin "Crisis"

Naïve quark model yields: $\Delta u = 4/3$ and $\Delta d = -1/3 \Longrightarrow \Delta \Sigma = 1$ Relativistic effects included quark model: $\Delta \Sigma = 0.6$

After much discussions, arguments an idea that became emergent, although not without controversy: "gluon anomaly"

 True quark spin is screened by large gluon spin: Altarelli, Ross

$$\Delta\Sigma(Q^2) = \Delta\Sigma' - N_f \frac{\alpha_S(Q^2)}{2\pi} \Delta g(Q^2)$$

Carlitz, Collins Mueller et al.

- But there were strong alternative scenarios proposed that blamed the remaining spin of the proton on:
 - Gluon spin (same as above)

Stony Brook University

Orbital motion of quarks and gluons (OAM)

Jaffe, Manohar Ji et al

It became clear that precision measurements of nucleon spin constitution was needed!

45

Other spin rule(s) and tests of QCD:

Bjorken spin sum rule (1966): Strong test of QCD

$$\Gamma_1^p - \Gamma_1^n = \frac{1}{6} \left| \frac{g_A}{g_V} \right| C_1^{\rm NS}$$

Where

$$C_{1}^{\text{NS}} = 1 - c_{1}^{\text{NS}} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{\pi} \right) - c_{2}^{\text{NS}} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{\pi} \right)^{2} - c_{3}^{\text{NS}} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{\pi} \right)^{3} - O(c_{4}^{\text{NS}}) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{\pi} \right)^{4}$$

• Efremov, Leader, Ieryaev sum rule:

$$\int_{0}^{1} dx \ x \left[g_{1}^{\text{valence}}(x) + 2g_{2}^{\text{valence}}(x) \right] = 0$$



Burkhardt Cottingham Sum rule

$$\int_0^1 dx \ g_2(x) = 0$$

• Understanding higher twist corrections at low Q².....

See review by S. E. Kuhn, J.-P Chen, E. Leader, arXiv:0812.3535v2 [hep-ph] 11 Feb 2009



Improved precision on $\Delta\Sigma$ and flavor separation:

SMC and COMPASS experiments at CERN E142-E155 experiments at SLAC HERMES experiment at DESY Hall A, B, C at Jefferson Laboratory

Mostly tried to reach pQCD region, Inclusive, no particle ID Mostly Semi-Inclusive, with good particle ID Mostly lower beam energies, precision mostly in the non-pQCD regime



Comparison: fixed target experiments

exp	E_b (GeV)	x	Q^2 (GeV 2)	P_b
HERMES	27.6 e [±]	0.02-0.6	1 - 15	± 0.55
COMPASS	160 μ	0.003 - 0.6	1 - 100	-0.76
JLAB	<6 <i>e</i> ⁻	0.1 - 0.7	1 - 4.5	±0.7

exp	P_t	target	\mathcal{L} (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)
HERMES	0.85	Ĥ, Ď	10^{31}
COMPASS	0.50	ĹĪĎ	$5 \cdot 10^{32}$
Hall A	0.35	³ He	10^{36}
CLAS	0.8 (0.3)	NH_3 (ND_3)	10^{34}



Stony Brook University

Measurements:

Proton Target

Deuteron Target



Some fundamental tests of QCD?

- So far we have focused only about:
 - Low x behavior of spin structure function
 - Its Q² evolution
 - Because those were needed to check various high energy sum rules

What about high x?

- A region were we know gluons do NOT play a dominant role
- Should we not test the predictions of structure functions and their behavior in this region?
- Motivation for Jefferson Laboratory Physics

RHIC and EIC Physics Lecture 1(B) of 3

High x measurements

- Jlab focused on high x measurements: *Luminosity Crucial!*
- A1 of proton, neutron and deuteron
- pQCD predicts A1=1 when x=1

GET POLARIZED PARTON DISTRIBUTIONS

Next-to-Leading Order Perturbative QCD with DGLAP equation

SIMILAR IN SPIRIT TO WHAT IS DONE IN UNPOALRIZED PDFS.... CTEQ, MRST...

Similar to extraction of PDFs at HERA (RECALL)

NLO pQCD analyses: fits with **linear** DGLAP* equations

Global analysis of Spin SF ABFR analysis method by SMC PRD 58 112002 (1998)

- World's all available g1 data
- Coefficient and splitting functions in QCD at NLO
- Evolution equations: DGLAP $f(x) = x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}(1+ax+bx^2)$
 - Quark distributions fairly well determined, with small uncertainty

 $\Delta\Sigma = 0.23 + - 0.04$

Polarized Gluon distribution has largest uncertainties △G = 1 +/- 1.5

Consequence:

 Quark + Anti-Quark contribution to nucleon spin is definitely small: Ellis-Jaffe sum violation confirmed

 $\Delta \Sigma = 0.23 \pm 0.03$

- Is this small ness due to some cancellation between quark+anti-quark polarization: Semi-Inclusive data could address this.
- The gluon's contribution seemed to be large! $\Delta G = 1 \pm 1.5$
- While I am only presenting one global analysis result: Most NLO analyses by theoretical and experimental collaboration consistent with HIGH gluon contribution
 - Anomaly scenario gained weight
 - Direct measurement of gluon spin with other probes warranted.
 Seeded the RHIC Spin program

Large amount of polarized data since 1998... but not in NEW kinematic region! Large uncertainty in gluon polarization (+/-1.5) results from lack of wide Q^2 arm

Natural questions about Nucleon's Spin

Do the quarks & anti-quarks really carry so little a spin of the proton?: \rightarrow A better precision on $\Delta\Sigma$ measurement highly needed.

 $\Delta\Sigma$ contains quark as well as anti-Quark spin \rightarrow Photons do not distinguish between them! Do the quarks and anti-Quarks cancel each others spin? i.e. are they anti-aligned for some reason?

Is the gluon's contribution to nucleon spin large? → Is the "anomaly" scenario true? How would we do a direct determination of gluon's spin?

Is there an orbital motion of the quarks and gluons contributing to the nucleon spin?

Flavor tagging: semi-inclusive DIS

Method led By HERMES Now COMPASS Jlab Experiment

- Inclusive DIS + detect additional beam/target fragments
- Selectively tagging *pions, kaons* separates the flavors involved in interactions, needs Particle ID
- Purity and efficiency of tagging studied extensively using MC simulations to overcome our ignorance in fragmentation process.

Stony Brook University

Significance of SIDIS

- In addition to scattered lepton, a hadron is observed and tagged, some times even identified.
- Probability to find a hadron H with fractional momentum z of the parton which evolved in to that hadron H: $D_f^H(z,Q^2)$
- pQCD predicts the Q² dependence identical to that of PDFs
- We get frag. functions from e+e- collisions (LEP, NOW BELLE)
- The reason we learn from the SIDIS data:

at LO:
$$\int_{f}^{T^{*}} \int_{H} d\Delta \sigma \sim \sum_{q=u,\bar{u},...,\bar{s}} \Delta q(x,Q^{2}) \frac{D_{q}^{H}(z,Q^{2})}{extra weight}$$

breaks the $\Delta q + \Delta \overline{q}$ deadlock of DIS plus better flavor separation

Stony Brook University

Abhay Deshpande

71

Semi-Inclusive DIS Data & NLO pQCD

~2007, R. Sassot et al

Sassot et al. NLO calculations/fits for inclusive+semi-inclusive data

Largest uncertainties in polarized gluon & flavor separated anti-quark

Stony Brook University

Abhay Deshpande

Transverse Spin Puzzle

Had been observed but *ignored* for almost 3 decades...

Transverse spin introduction

 $A_N = \frac{N_L - N_R}{N_L + N_R}$

$$A_N \sim \frac{m_q}{p_T} \alpha_S$$

Kane, Pumplin, Repko 1978

- Since people starved to measure effects at high p⊤ to interpret them in pQCD frameworks, this was "neglected" as it was expected to be small..... However....
- Pion production in single transverse spin collisions showed us something different....

Pion: single transverse spin asymmetries! $x_F = P_L/P_L^{\text{max}} = 2P_L/\sqrt{s}$

Stony Brook University

Results/Conclusions

By the time the fixed target polarized DIS experiments were over we learnt conclusively that:

• The quark+anti-quarks, $\Delta\Sigma$, indeed contribute very little $\Delta\Sigma = 0.3 + - 0.03$ (recall: 0.12 + -0.17 of EMC) The new results were calculated at Next-to-Leading Order pQCD. Low x extrapolations were consistent with pQCD

Ellis Jaffe spin sum rule was still violated Bjorken Spin rule was found to be correct, other rules are continuously being updated, none have been found to be violated.

Polarized **gluon** distribution was found to be LARGE, but with large uncertainties

Dependence of results on Anti-Quark/Quark separation on nonperturbative objects such as *Fragmentation functions was a reason for concern....*

Seeds for RHIC Spin program:

Hadrons are almost full of gluons.... 95% of the mass of the hadrons comes from self interaction of gluons!

So if one wants to study gluons and their spin contribution to proton's spin, why not directly explore the gluon spin with polarized proton collisions?

A very nice measurement of anti-quark polarization was suggested, which did not require fragmentation functions

Curious and bothersome transverse spin asymmetries in p-p scattering persistent in every experiment performed.... US physicists heavily involved... decided to investigate further

Technical know-how of polarizing proton beams at high energy became available!

