Nuclear Physics School 2018

Chueng-Ryong Ji North Carolina State University

Third Lecture

Light-Front Quark Model

June 29, 2018



H-atom Spectra

$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} \approx \frac{1}{137.036}$$

$$E_n = -\alpha^2 mc^2 \left(\frac{1}{2n^2}\right)$$
$$\approx -13.6 eV/n^2.$$

$$\Delta E_{fs} = \Delta E_{rel} + \Delta E_{so}$$
$$= -\alpha^4 mc^2 \frac{1}{4n^4} \left(\frac{2n}{j + \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{3}{2} \right)$$
$$\vec{\mu}$$

$$\frac{\text{Relativistic Correction}}{T = (\gamma - 1)mc^{2}} = \frac{1}{2}m\vec{v}^{2} + \frac{3}{8}m\frac{\vec{v}^{4}}{c^{4}} + \cdots = \frac{\vec{p}^{2}}{2m} - \frac{\vec{p}^{4}}{8m^{3}c^{2}} + \cdots, \quad r = \vec{p}^{2} - \frac{\vec{p}^{4}}{8m^{3}c^{2}} + \cdots, \quad r = \vec{\Delta}E_{rel} = -\alpha^{4}mc^{2}\frac{1}{4n^{4}}\left(\frac{2n}{\ell + \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{3}{2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\text{Spin-Orbit Coupling}}{\Delta H_{so}} = \frac{e^{2}}{2m^{2}c^{2}r^{3}}\vec{L}\cdot\vec{s} - \vec{\Delta}E_{so} = \alpha^{4}mc^{2}\frac{\left\{j(j+1) - \ell(\ell+1) - \frac{3}{4}\right\}}{4n^{3}\ell\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)(\ell+1)}$$



The solid line spectra $(E_n = -\alpha^2 mc^2(\frac{1}{2n^2}))$ are without corrections. The masses of electron and proton are denoted by *m* and *M* respectively.

(*e e'*). Т For the positronium, m/M = 1: M the reduced mass effect, i.e. $m_{red} = \frac{m}{2}$, mΜ Т \overline{M} The \overline{M} there is no distinction between the fine structure $2S_{1/2}$ and $2P_{1/2}$ and the hyperfine structure in the positronium system. The hyperfine structure is at the same order a 2^{2} the same $C_{1/2}^{2}$ in the positronium and the degeneracy of $2S_{1/2}^{2}$ and $2P_{1/2}^{2}$ and $2P_{1/2}^{2}$ states is already broken in the level of fine and hyperfinence $\frac{1}{2}$ by drogen 2 G Therefore, the Land shift which breaks the degenerative for and $2P_1$ and $2P_1$ states is less interesting in the positronium system.^{1/2} $2S_{1/2}$ and $2P_{1/2}e^{-}e^{+}$), $2S_{1/2}e^{-}e^{+}$ $(e^{-}e^{+})(Q\bar{Q})$ (QQ)13 60V $(a^{-}a^{+})$

$$\begin{split} E_n^{positronium} &= -\alpha^2 m_{red} c^2 \left(\frac{1}{2n^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} E_n^{hydrogen}, \end{split}$$

i.e.

$$E_1^{\text{positronium}} = \frac{13.6eV}{2} = 6.8eV,$$

and

$$a^{positronium} = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_{red}e^2}$$
$$= 2a^{hydrogen}$$
$$= 1.06x10^{-8} cm.$$



The second one is the electron-positron annihilation effect which modifies the interaction Hamiltonian. In some cases, the positron fum decays into two or three photons depending on the positronjum state. The energy level correction due to the annihilation effect occurs at the same order as the fine. structure, i.e. $\Delta E_{annihilation} \sim \alpha mc^{2}.$ $C = (-1)^{4} mc^{2}.$ 2γ $3\gamma \Delta E_{annihilation}^{\Delta E_{annihilation}} \alpha mc^{2}.$ $\ell = 0.$ ilation $\mathbf{c} = \left(\mathbf{c} \mathbf{1}\right)^{\ell+s} \left(\mathbf{-1}\right)^{\ell+s}$ $\ell = 0.$ and $2\gamma \qquad 3\gamma \qquad \ell = 0. \qquad 2\gamma \\ 2\gamma \qquad 3\gamma \qquad 2\gamma ({}^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow 3\gamma) = 1.45x10^{-7} \text{ sec.} \qquad s=1$ $2\gamma_{2\gamma} \qquad 3\gamma^{2}\gamma \qquad 3\gamma \qquad 3\gamma \qquad s=1.45x10^{-10} \text{ sec.} \qquad s=1$ $\tau ({}^{1}S_{a} \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 1.25x10^{-10} \text{ sec.} \qquad \tau ({}^{1}S_{a} \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 1.25x10^{-10} \text{ sec.} \qquad (\overline{Q})$ $c = (-1)^{\ell+s} \qquad \tau ({}^{1}S_{a} \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 1.25x10^{-10} \text{ sec.} \qquad (\overline{Q})$



 D^0 $\overline{D}{}^0$

$$\left\langle \Delta H_{rel} \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{2mc^2} \left(E_n^2 - 2E_n \left\langle V \right\rangle + \left\langle V^2 \right\rangle \right),$$

$$\stackrel{1^3 S_1}{\underbrace{D^0}} \frac{e^2}{r} \qquad \underbrace{\psi} \qquad (\Delta E)_{positronium} \sim eV$$



$$(\Delta E)_{quarkonium} \sim 100 MeV$$

57

Schrödinger

$$\frac{1}{s_{1}} \frac{\log 3}{\log s_{2}}^{\text{and } 1 \times S_{1}}$$
splitting of the energy level for $\underline{m} = 1$ state, i.e. $1^{1}S_{0}$ and $\binom{3}{(3S_{0})}$
 $\binom{3}{s_{1}}^{(3S_{1})} \frac{(^{3}S_{1})}{(^{3}S_{1})}^{\text{singlet } (^{1}S_{0})}$
pseudoscalar meson octet
 $\binom{3}{vector} \text{ meson octet } \binom{1}{s_{0}}^{(1S_{0})}$
vector meson octet $\binom{1}{s_{0}}^{(1S_{0})}$
 $\binom{3}{vector} \frac{(^{3}S_{1})}{(^{3}S_{1})}^{(1S_{0})}$
splitting $\binom{3}{vector} \frac{1}{s_{1}}^{(1S_{0})}$
splitting \binom

$$M = m_1 M m_2 m_1 A + \frac{\vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2}{m_1 m_2} A + \frac{\vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2}{m_1 m_2} A \frac{\vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2}{m_1 m_2}$$

$$Eq. (3.101) Experiment \vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2$$

$$M = m_1 + m_2 + A = 0$$

 \vec{s}_1 and $\vec{s}_{\vec{x}_1}$ and \vec{s}_2

	Eq. (3.101)	Experiment \vec{s}_1	$\cdot \vec{s}_2$
π	140	$M = m_1 + m_2 + A - \frac{1}{138} m$	$m_{1}m_{2}$,
K	484	496	
η	\vec{s}_1 says \vec{s}_2	$-$ and $\frac{549}{-}$ and $-$	1
ρ	780	$n_1 + m_1 + m_1$	$n_2 \frac{1}{2} \text{and} \frac{1}{2}$
ω	780	783	$m_1 m_2$
K *	896	892	
ϕ	1032	1020	

$$m_{u} = m_{dm_{u}} = \frac{310 MeV_{3}}{m_{d}} = \frac{310 MeV_{3}}{c^{2}} \frac{c^{2}}{m_{d}} = \frac{310 MeV_{3}}{m_{s}} = \frac{310 MeV_{3}}{m_{s}} = \frac{310 MeV_{3}}{c^{2}} \frac{c^{2}}{m_{s}} = \frac{310 MeV_{3}}{m_{s}} = \frac{310 MeV_{3}}{c^{2}} \frac{c^{2}}{m_{s}} = \frac{310 MeV_{3}}{m_{s}} = \frac{310 M$$

Meson spectroscopy in QCD_{1+1}



Dynamical quark/gluon mass generation and color confinement in QCD should be understood further.

Effective Constituent Quark Model for Low Q²

$$\begin{split} |Meson\rangle &= \psi_{q\bar{q}} \left| q\bar{q} \right\rangle + \psi_{q\bar{q}g} \left| q\bar{q}g \right\rangle + \dots \\ &\approx \Psi_{Q\bar{Q}} \left| Q\bar{Q} \right\rangle, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |Q\rangle &= \psi_{q}^{Q} |q\rangle + \psi_{qg}^{Q} |qg\rangle + \dots \\ |\overline{Q}\rangle &= \psi_{\overline{q}}^{\overline{Q}} |\overline{q}\rangle + \psi_{\overline{qg}}^{\overline{Q}} |\overline{qg}\rangle + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$x_1 p^+, \vec{k}_{\perp 1}, \lambda_1$$

$$p^+, \vec{0}_{\perp}$$

$$x_2 p^+, \vec{k}_{\perp 2}, \lambda_2$$

$$\Psi_{Q\overline{Q}}(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i) = \Phi(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}) \chi(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i)$$

Radial (Dependent on the model potential)

H = T + VV includes Coulomb, Confinement, Spin-Spin,Spin-Orbit interactions. Spin-Orbit (Interaction independent Melosh transformation) $J^{PC} = 0^{++} (f_0, a_0, ...)$ $0^{-+} (\pi, K, \eta, \eta', ...)$ $1^{--} (\rho, K^*, \omega, \phi, ...)$

...



Energy-Momentum Dispersion Relations





PHYSICAL REVIEW C **92**, 055203 (2015) Variational analysis of mass spectra and decay constants ····

Ho-Meoyng Choi,¹ Chueng-Ryong Ji,² Ziyue Li,² and Hui-Young Ryu¹

¹Department of Physics, Teachers College, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea 702-701 ²Department of Physics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695-8202, USA

(Received 15 September 2015; published 13 November 2015)





Calculation of Form Factors in Equal-Time Theory Instant Form



Need vacuum-induced currents

Calculation of Form Factors in Light-Front Theory









• Vacuum fluctuations are suppressed in LFD and clean hadron phenomenology is possible.



• Vacuum fluctuations are suppressed in LFD and clean hadron phenomenology is possible.

Dirac's Proposition



Traditional approach evolved from NR dynamics

Innovative approach for relativistic dynamics

Close contact with Euclidean space

T-dept QFT, LQCD, IMF, etc.

Strictly in Minkowski space

DIS, PDFs, DVCS, GPDs, etc.

Interpolation between Instant and Front Forms





Feynman Diagram: Invariant under all Poincaré generators





(a)

(b)

Individual Time-Ordered Diagrams: Invariant under stability group Kinematic vs. Dynamic Generators







Note however this is still in the instant form.













(a)

Σ(a)+Σ(b)=1/(s-m²) ; s=2 GeV², m=1GeV

(b)

J-shape peak & valley : $P_z = -\sqrt{\frac{s(1-C)}{2C}}$; $C = \cos(2\delta)$ As $C \rightarrow 0$, $P^+ = P^0 + P_z \rightarrow 0$ leads to LF Zero-modes.





Nontrivial Vacuum State

$$|0 \rangle \rightarrow |\Omega \rangle$$

Translation in scalar field: $\phi \rightarrow \phi' = \phi + v$

$$|\Omega\rangle = \exp\left(i\int_{-\ell}^{+\ell} dx \,\hat{}\, v \,\pi(x \,\hat{}\,)\right)|0\rangle$$

$$\pi(x^{\hat{+}}=0,x^{\hat{-}})=-i\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\pi}{\ell}\right)\sqrt{\frac{\omega_n}{4\pi}}\left[a_ne^{-i\left(\frac{n\pi}{\ell}\right)x^{\hat{-}}}-a_n^{\hat{+}}e^{i\left(\frac{n\pi}{\ell}\right)x^{\hat{-}}}\right]$$

$$|\Omega\rangle = \exp\left[-(C^{1/2}m\ell)\frac{v^2}{2}\right]\exp\left[-(C^{1/2}m\ell)^{1/2}va_0^+\right]|0\rangle$$

Condensation of Zero-Modes

Vacuum Energy $P_{\hat{+}} | \Omega \rangle = E_{\Omega} | \Omega \rangle$

$$a e^{\alpha a^+} \mid 0 >= \alpha e^{\alpha a^+} \mid 0 >$$

$$P_{\hat{+}} |\Omega\rangle \rightarrow \left[\frac{m\nu}{C^{1/2}}a_{0}^{+}a_{0} + \frac{(m^{3}\ell)^{1/2}\nu}{C^{1/4}}(a_{0} + a_{0}^{+})\right] \exp\left[-(C^{1/2}m\ell)^{1/2}\nu a_{0}^{+}\right] |0\rangle$$
$$= (-m^{2}\nu^{2}\ell) \exp\left[-(C^{1/2}m\ell)^{1/2}\nu a_{0}^{+}\right] |0\rangle$$
$$E_{\Omega} = -m^{2}\nu^{2}\ell = \int_{-\ell}^{+\ell} (-\frac{1}{2}m^{2}\nu^{2}) dx^{\hat{-}}$$

Independent of interpolation angle!

Recovery of Trivial Vacuum in LFD?

$$|\Omega\rangle = \exp\left[-(C^{1/2}m\ell)\frac{v^2}{2}\right]\exp\left[-(C^{1/2}m\ell)^{1/2}va_0^+\right]|0\rangle$$

$$|\Omega \rangle \rightarrow |0 \rangle$$
 as $C \rightarrow 0$

However,
$$E_{\Omega}$$
 and $<\Omega \mid \phi(x) \mid \Omega > = -\nu$

are still independent of interpolation angle!

What is going on?



 $<\Omega |\phi(x)|\Omega> = <0 |\exp[(C^{1/2}m\ell)^{1/2}\nu(a_0^+ - a_0)] \left(\frac{a_0 + a_0^+}{2(C^{1/2}m\ell)^{1/2}}\right) \exp[-(C^{1/2}m\ell)^{1/2}\nu(a_0^+ - a_0)]|0> = -\nu$

Complication is transferred from vacuum to operator.





Coulomb Gauge

Light-front Gauge

ч







where $t_m \equiv t - m^2$, $u_m \equiv u - m^2$, and $s_m \equiv s - 4m^2$.



$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = 2e^4 \left(\frac{u}{t} + \frac{t}{u}\right)$$

Scattering Angle Dependence of the Annihilation Amplitudes: Chirality



When $m_e=0$, chirality is conserved.



Scattering Angle Dependence of the Annihilation Amplitudes: Chirality



Outlook

- "A method is more important than a discovery, since the right method will lead to new and even more important discoveries." - Lev Landau
- LFQM saves a lot of dynamical effort in the hadron spectroscopy and structure study.
- Correspondence between IFD and LFD may shed more light on bridging the LFQM and the QCD.