Toward solving the sign problem in dense QD matter via the path optimization method

Coll abor at or

Yuto Mori (Kyoto U.) Akira Onnishi (MTP)

Y. Mori, K.K. and A. Onnishi, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 111501 Y. Mori, KK and A Onnishi, PTEP 2018 (2018) 023B04 KK Y. Mori and A. Onnishi, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 014033 **KK** Y. Mori and A. Onnishi, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 114005 Y. Mori, KK and A Onnishi, arXiv:1904.11140, to be published in PTEP

Kouj i Kashiwa FIT 福岡工業大学



Fukuoka Institute of technology

Toward solving the sign problem in dense QD matter via the path optimization method

Collaborator Yuto Mari (Kyoto U.)

Goal: Understanding the QCD phase diagram



It shows which phase is realized at certain energy scale

Purpose of my (recent) works



T. M Doi and <u>K K</u>, in progress

Purpose of my (recent) works



Sign problem

Strong cancellation in the numerical integration process







At finite real chemical potential, QCD Boltzmann weight becomes complex

Phase reweighting $\langle 0 \rangle = \frac{\int 0e^{-S}dx}{\int e^{-S}dx} = \frac{\int 0\frac{e^{-S}}{|e^{-S}|}|e^{-S}|dx}{\int \frac{e^{-S}}{|e^{-S}|}|e^{-S}|dx} = \frac{\langle 0e^{i\theta} \rangle_{pq}}{\langle e^{i\theta} \rangle_{pq}}$

If $\langle e^{i\theta} \rangle_{pq}$ becomes smaller and smaller,

it becomes difficult to extract the expectation value with small error bar!

Therefore, the simple phase reweighting can not help us at high density

Recent progress

- Complex Langevin method
- Lefschetz thimble method

G. Parisi and Yong-shi Wu, Sci. Sin., 24, 483 (1981)
G. Parisi, Phys. Lett., B131, 393 395 (1983)

E. Witten, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. 50, 347 446 (2011) M Cristoforetti, et al. Phys. Rev. D86, 074506 (2012) H Fujii, et al., JHEP, 1310, 147 (2013)

Point : Complexification of variables of integration

$x_i \longrightarrow z_i \in \mathbb{C}$

Recent progress

- Complex Langevin method
- Lefschetz thimble method

There is one more method with complexification!

Path optimization method

Our method

Y. Mori, <u>K.K.</u> and A. Onnishi, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 111501

Strategy of the path optimization method

1. Prepare suitable cost function

It reflects the seriousness of the sign problem



2. Modify the integral path in the complex domain



3. Select the better integral-path

Sign problem

Y. Mori, <u>K.K.</u> and A. Onnishi, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 111501

Strategy of the path optimization method

1. Prepare suitable cost function

It reflects the seriousness of the sign problem

Optimization problem



2. Modify the integral path in the complex domain



3. Select the better integral-path

Y. Mori, <u>K K</u> and A. Ohnishi, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 111501
Y. Mori, <u>K K</u> and A. Ohnishi, PTEP 2018 (2018) 023B04

Cost function

It reflects the seriousness of the sign problem

We use following form;

$$\mathcal{F}[z(t)] = \frac{1}{2} \int dt |e^{i\theta(t)} - e^{i\theta_0}|^2 \times |J(t)e^{-S(z(t))}|$$

It aligns the phase Weight
at each t Weight

If the cost function becomes small, the average phase factor becomes large! Y. Mori, <u>K K</u> and A. Ohnishi, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 111501
Y. Mori, <u>K K</u> and A. Ohnishi, PTEP 2018 (2018) 023B04

Our task

To find a good integral path via minimization of the cost function

Optimization of the integral path is usually very difficult...

(We have so many degree of freedom in quantum field theory)





The real part of the integral path is input and then the imaginary part becomes output





Learning process in POM: 2-dimensional complex scalar theory

Y. Mori, <u>K.K.</u> and A. Ohnishi, PTEP 2018 (2018) 023B04

• Two-dimensional complex $\lambda \phi^4$ Theory

Lattice action

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 + i\varphi_2, \ \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$S = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{L}^2} \left\{ \left(\varphi^{\dagger}(x+\hat{0}) \mathrm{e}^{+\mu} - \varphi^{\dagger}(x) \right) \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mu} \varphi(x+\hat{0}) - \varphi(x) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=1}^2 |\varphi(x+\hat{k}) - \varphi(x)|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} \varphi^{\dagger}(x) \varphi(x) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(\varphi^{\dagger}(x) \varphi(x) \right)^2 \right\}$$



• QCD effective model <u>KK</u> Y. Mori and A. Chnishi, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 114005 Polyakov-loop extended Nambu—Jona-Lasinio (PNJL) model (2 flavor) $\mathcal{L} = \bar{q}(\mathcal{D} + m_0)q - G[(\bar{q}q)^2 + (\bar{q}i\gamma_5\vec{\tau}q)^2] + G_v(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)^2 + \mathcal{V}_g(\Phi, \bar{\Phi})$ K. Fukushima, Phys. Lett. B591 (2004) 277

We assume inhomogeneous $(\sigma, \vec{\pi}, \omega_4)$ and $(A_3, A_8) \rightarrow It$ is corresponds to the mean-field result in infinite volume limit The sing problem is induced via the Polyakov-loop and also the repulsive vector-type interaction

(See also Y. Mori, $\underline{\textbf{K}~\textbf{K}}$ and A. Chnishi, Phys. Lett. B781 (2018) 688)

KK Y. Mori and A. Chnishi, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 014033



KK Y. Mori and A Onnishi, arXiv:1904.11140, to be published in PTEP

0+1 dim. lattice QCD



We apply the path optimization method to the sign problem

Path optimization method

Cost function which reflects the seriousness of the sign problem

is minimized via the path modification (with machine learning)

In simple models and theories, we have checked effectiveness of the method

Simple oscillating integral Complex $\lambda \phi^4$ theory PNJL model with and without the repulsive vector-type interaction 0+1 dimensional lattice QCD

We now take aim at 3+1 dim. lattice QCD! (but, the 1+1 dim. QCD is already not easy...)