Symmetry Energy, Its Components and Nuclear Structure Properties at Finite Temperatures

> ¹A.N. Antonov, ¹M.K. Gaidarov, ¹D.N. Kadrev, ²P. Sarriguren, ³E. Moya de Guerra

¹Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia 1784, Bulgaria ²Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, Serrano 123, E-28006 Madrid, Spain
³Departamento de Fisica Atomica, Molecular y Nuclear, Facultad de Ciencias Fisicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, E-28040 Madrid, Spain

APCTP Focus Program in Nuclear Physics 2019, Pohang, Republic of Korea



Contents:

- I. The Coherent Density Fluctuation Model (CDFM) calculations of the temperature-dependent nuclear symmetry energy (NSE) and its volume and surface components
- II. Study of the temperature dependence of the symmetry energy coefficient in finite nuclei and other properties (nucleon densities, rms radii, neutron skins) in the Local Density Approximation (LDA)
- A.N. Antonov, M.K. Gaidarov, P. Sarriguren, E. Moya de Guerra,
 "Volume and Surface Contributions to the Nuclear Symmetry Energy within the Coherent Density Fluctuation Model", Phys. Rev. C 94, 014319 (2016)
- A.N. Antonov, D.N. Kadrev, M.K. Gaidarov, P. Sarriguren, E. Moya de Guerra, "Temperature Dependence of the Symmetry Energy and Neutron Skins in Ni, Sn, and Pb Isotopic Chains", Phys. Rev. C **95**, 024314 (2017)
- A.N. Antonov, D.N. Kadrev, M.K. Gaidarov, P. Sarriguren, E. Moya de Guerra, "Temperature Dependence of the Volume and Surface Contributions to the Nuclear Symmetry Energy within the Coherent Density Fluctuation Model", Phys. Rev. C 98, 054315 (2018)

In the liquid drop model [Myers, Swiatecki (1969); Steiner et al. (2005)]:

$$E(A,Z) = -BA + E_S A^{2/3} + S^V A \frac{(1 - 2Z/A)^2}{1 + S^S A^{-1/3}/S^V} + E_C \frac{Z^2}{A^{1/3}} + E_{dif} \frac{Z^2}{A} + E_{ex} \frac{Z^{4/3}}{A^{1/3}} + a\Delta A^{-1/2}$$
(1)

The third term in (1) is

$$S(T)\frac{(N-Z)^2}{A}$$
(2)

where

$$S(T) = \frac{S^{V}(T)}{1 + \frac{S^{S}(T)}{S^{V}(T)}A^{-1/3}} = \frac{S^{V}(T)}{1 + A^{-1/3}/\kappa(T)}$$
(3)

with

$$\kappa(T) \equiv \frac{S^V(T)}{S^S(T)}.$$
(4)

In nuclear matter ($A \rightarrow \infty$ and $S^S/S^V \rightarrow 0$), we have $S(T) = S^V(T)$. Also at large A Eq.(7) becomes:

$$S(T) = \frac{S^{V}(T)}{1 + \frac{S^{S}(T)}{S^{V}(T)}A^{-1/3}} \simeq c_{3} - \frac{c_{4}}{A^{1/3}},$$
(9)

where
$$c_3 = S^V$$
 and $c_4 = S^S$.
From Eq.(7):
 $S^V(T) = S(T) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa(T)A^{1/3}}\right)$ (10)
 $S^S(T) = \frac{S(T)}{\kappa(T)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa(T)A^{1/3}}\right)$ (11)

CDFM (Sofia group 1979 - till now)

$$\rho(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \int_0^\infty dx |\mathcal{F}(x)|^2 \rho_x(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$$
(12)

$$\rho_x(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = 3\rho_0(x) \frac{j_1(k_F(x)|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)}{(k_F(x)|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)} \Theta\left(x - \frac{|\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{r}'|}{2}\right)$$
(13)

$$\rho_0(x) = \frac{3A}{4\pi x^3} \tag{14}$$

$$k_F(x) = \left(\frac{3\pi^2}{2}\rho_0(x)\right)^{1/3} \equiv \frac{\beta}{x} \qquad \beta = \left(\frac{9\pi A}{8}\right)^{1/3} \simeq 1.52A^{1/3}$$
 (15)

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \int_0^\infty dx |\mathcal{F}(x)|^2 \rho_0(x) \Theta(x - |\mathbf{r}|)$$
(16)

At
$$\frac{d\rho}{dr} \le 0$$
: $|\mathcal{F}(x)|^2 = -\frac{1}{\rho_0(x)} \left. \frac{d\rho(r)}{dr} \right|_{r=x}$ (17)

In PRC 84, 034316 (2011) and other:

$$s = \int_0^\infty dx |\mathcal{F}(x)|^2 S(\rho(x)) \tag{18}$$

The *T*-dependent S(T):

$$S(T) = \int_0^\infty dx |\mathcal{F}(x,T)|^2 S(\rho(x,T)).$$
(19)

$$|\mathcal{F}(x,T)|^2 = -\frac{1}{\rho_0(x)} \left. \frac{d\rho_{total}(r,T)}{dr} \right|_{r=x}$$
(20)

$$\rho_{total}(r,T) = \rho_p(r,T) + \rho_n(r,T)$$
(21)

 $\rho_{\textit{p}}(\textit{r},\textit{T})$ and $\rho_{\textit{n}}(\textit{r},\textit{T})$ calculated using the HFBTHO code

$$\kappa(T) = \frac{3}{R\rho_0} \int_0^\infty dx |\mathcal{F}(x,T)|^2 x \rho_0(x) \left\{ \frac{S(\rho_0)}{S[\rho(x,T)]} - 1 \right\}$$
(22)

 $R = r_0 A^{1/3}$ [Dieperink, Van Isacker (2009)]

 \Rightarrow Danielewicz, Dieperink, Van Isacker:

$$S(\rho(x,T)) = S^{V}(T) \left(\frac{\rho(x,T)}{\rho_0}\right)^{\gamma}.$$
(23)

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= 0.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 0.54 &\leq \gamma \leq 0.77 \\ \gamma &= 0.60 \pm 0.05; \quad \gamma &= 0.55 \pm 0.03 \\ \gamma &= 0.55; \quad \gamma &= 0.79; \quad \gamma &= 0.72 \pm 0.19 \end{aligned}$$

$$S(T) = S(\rho_0) \int_0^\infty dx |\mathcal{F}(x,T)|^2 \left(\frac{\rho(x,T)}{\rho_0}\right)^\gamma$$
(24)

$$\kappa(T) \equiv \frac{S^V(T)}{S^S(T)} = \frac{3}{R\rho_0} \int_0^\infty dx |\mathcal{F}(x,T)|^2 x \rho_0(x) \left\{ \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho(x,T)}\right)^\gamma - 1 \right\}$$
(25)



- Our results:

$$2.10 \le \kappa \le 2.90 \tag{1}$$

- Comparison with data (Dieperink, Van Isacker, 2007):
- from IAS and skins (Danielewicz, 2004):

$$2.6 \le \kappa \le 3.0 \tag{2}$$

- from masses and skins (Danielewicz, 2003):

$$2.0 \le \kappa \le 2.8 \tag{3}$$

- from masses and skins (Dieperink, Van Isacker, 2007):

$$1.6 \le \kappa \le 2.0 \tag{4}$$

- "kinks" at ⁷⁸Ni and ¹³²Sn (double-magic nuclei)

Ranges of changes of κ with Skyrme EDF are:

- Ni isotopic chain $1.5 \le \kappa \le 1.7$ (SLy4 and SGII) $0.88 \le \kappa \le 1.05$ (Sk3)

- Sn isotopic chain $1.52 \le \kappa \le 2.1$ (SLy4 and SGII) $0.82 \le \kappa \le 1.14$ (Sk3)

- Pb isotopic chain $1.65 \le \kappa \le 1.75$ (SLy4 and SGII) $0.84 \le \kappa \le 0.88$ (Sk3)

















$$S_0(\rho) = S^V \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{1}$$

Alternative parametrizations of the density dependence of the symmetry energy

- J. Dong, W. Zuo, J. Gu, U. Lombardo, Phys. Rev. C 85, 034308 (2012):

$$S_2(\rho) = C_k \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{2/3} + C_1 \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right) + C_2 \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{1.52}$$
(2)

– M.B. Tsang, Y. Zhang, P. Danielewicz, M. Famiano, Z. Li, W.G. Lynch, A.W. Steiner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 122701 (2009):

$$S_4(\rho) = 12.5 \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{2/3} + 17.6 \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{\gamma}$$
(3)



Values of the parameter γ , the ratio κ , and the symmetry energy S(T) (in MeV) for the ⁷⁸Ni nucleus at T=0 MeV in the case of the density dependence of the symmetry energy $S_0(\rho)$ and by $S_4(\rho)$

with $S_0(\rho)$			with S ₄ (ρ)		
Y	K	S(T)	Y	K	S(T)
0.3	1.66	21.35	0.2	1.90	19.00
0.4	2.64	19.08	0.3	2.69	17.48
0.5	4.09	17.20	0.4	3.74	16.23
0.7	10.67	14.26	0.7	9.82	13.58



FIG. 1. (Color online) HF + BCS neutron-skin thicknesses ΔR for Ni isotopes as a function of (a) the symmetry energy *s*, (b) pressure p_0 , and (c) asymmetric compressibility ΔK calculated with SLy4, SG2, Sk3, and LNS forces.

Phys. Rev. C 84, 034316 (2011)

II. Studies of T-dependence of the symmetry energy on the examples of Ni, Sn, and Pb isotopic chains in the interval T = 0 - 4 MeV

- Local Density Approximation (LDA)
- T-dependent local density distributions $\rho(r,T)$
- T-dependent kinetic energy densities $\tau(r,T)$
- Skyrme-HFB method using the cylindrical transformed harmonic-oscillator basis (HFBTHO) for $\rho(r,T)$ and $\tau(r,T)$
- For au(r,T) also Thomas-Fermi (TF) expression up to T^2 term is used
- SLy4 and SkM* Skyrme forces used

- In addition, for $^{208}\rm{Pb}$ with $\rho(r,T)$ from extended Thomas-Fermi (ETF) method and the rigorous density functional approach (RDFA)

– Study of T-dependent rms radii of the proton and neutron distributions, as well as the formation of neutron skins in hot nuclei

Temperature-dependent symmetry energy coefficient with Skyrme energy density functional

- For finite systems (using LDA):

- S.K. Samaddar, J. N. De, X. Viñas, M. Centelles, Phys. Rev. C 76, 041602(R) (2007)

- B.K. Agrawal, J.N. De, S.K. Samaddar, M. Centelles, X. Viñas, Eur. Phys. J. A 50, 19 (2014)

$$e_{sym}(A,T) = \frac{1}{I^2 A} \int \rho(r) e_{sym}[\rho(r),T] \delta^2(r) d^3r \tag{7}$$

I = (N - Z)/A, $e_{sym}[\rho(r), T]$ is the symmetry energy coefficient at temperature T of infinite nuclear matter at $\rho(r) = \rho_n(r) + \rho_p(r)$, $\delta(r) = [\rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)]/\rho(r)$

$$e_{sym}(\rho, T) = \frac{e(\rho, \delta, T) - e(\rho, \delta = 0, T)}{\delta^2},$$
(8)

 $e = \mathcal{E}(r,T)/\rho,$ where $\mathcal{E}(r,T)$ is the total energy density of the system

- For Skyrme EDF:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(r,T) &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_{n,k}} \tau_n + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_{p,k}} \tau_p \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} t_0 \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} x_0 \right) \rho^2 - \left(x_0 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\rho_n^2 + \rho_p^2 \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{12} t_3 \rho^\alpha \left[\left(1 + \frac{x_3}{2} \right) \rho^2 - \left(x_3 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\rho_n^2 + \rho_p^2 \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{16} \left[3t_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} x_1 \right) - t_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} x_2 \right) \right] \left(\nabla \rho \right)^2 \\ &- \frac{1}{16} \left[3t_1 \left(x_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + t_2 \left(x_2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \\ &\times \left[\left(\nabla \rho_n \right)^2 + \left(\nabla \rho_p \right)^2 \right] + \mathcal{E}_c(r) \end{aligned}$$

(7)

(for infinite homogeneous NM only the first three lines contribute) - t_0 , t_1 , t_2 , t_3 , x_0 , x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , and α are the Skyrme parameters - We use SkM* and SLy4 Skyrme interactions - The nucleon effective mass $m_{q,k}$:

$$\frac{m}{m_{q,k}(r)} = 1 + \frac{m}{2\hbar^2} \left\{ \left[t_1 \left(1 + \frac{x_1}{2} \right) + t_2 \left(1 + \frac{x_2}{2} \right) \right] \rho + \left[t_2 \left(x_2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) - t_1 \left(x_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \rho_q \right\}$$
(10)

 $\boldsymbol{q}=(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{p})$ refer to neutrons or protons

- Simultaneous self-consistent treatment of $\rho(r, T)$ and $\tau(r, T)$ in finite temperature formalism for the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) method. There the nuclear Skyrme-HFB problem is solved by using the transformed HO basis [M.V. Stoitsov *et al.*, 2013] and the HFBTHO code provides solutions of the finite THFB equations assuming axial and time-reversal symmetry

- Density matrix:

$$\rho = UfU^{\dagger} + V^*(1-f)V^T \tag{11}$$

- Pairing tensor:

$$\kappa = UfV^{\dagger} + V^*(1-f)U^T \tag{12}$$

U, V: matrices of the Bogoliubov transformation (T means transpose)

- Temperature-dependent Fermi-Dirac factor:

$$f_i = \left(1 + e^{E_i/k_B T}\right)^{-1} \tag{13}$$

 E_i : quasiparticle energy of the state i k_B : Boltzmann constant

In HFBTHO the Fermi level λ is determined at each iteration (conservation of particle number in BCS):

$$N(\lambda) = \sum_{i} \left[v_i(\lambda)^2 + f_i(\lambda) \left(u_i(\lambda)^2 - v_i(\lambda)^2 \right) \right]$$
(14)

- BCS occupations:

$$v_i^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{e_i - \lambda}{E_i^{BCS}} \right], \quad u_i^2 = 1 - v_i^2$$
 (15)

 $E_i^{BCS} = [(e_i - \lambda)^2 + \Delta_i^2]^{1/2}$

Temperature-dependent kinetic energy density

a) Using HFBTHO code [M.V. Stoitsov *et al.*; 2005, 2013]
b) Using Thomas-Fermi approximation [Agrawal *et al.*; 2014] or its expression up to T² term [Lee, Mekjian; 2010]

$$\tau_q(r,T) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \varepsilon_{K_q} = \frac{3}{5} (3\pi^2)^{2/3} \left[\rho_q^{5/3} + \frac{5\pi^2 m_q^2}{3\hbar^4} \frac{1}{(3\pi^2)^{4/3}} \rho_q^{1/3} T^2 \right]$$
(14)

Temperature-dependent densities

 $\rho_{\boldsymbol{q}}(\vec{r},T)$ are calculated using the HFBTHO code

$$\int \rho_q(\vec{r}, T) d\vec{r} = Q, \qquad Q = Z, N \tag{15}$$

- mean square radii:

$$\langle R_{\rm q}^2 \rangle = \frac{\int r^2 \rho_{\rm q}(\vec{r}, T) d\vec{r}}{\int \rho_{\rm q}(\vec{r}, T) d\vec{r}}$$
(16)

- rms radii: $R_{\rm q} = < R_{\rm q}^2 >^{1/2}$
- Neutron skin thickness:

$$\Delta R = R_{\rm n} - R_{\rm p} \tag{17}$$

- Density of ²⁰⁸Pb [A.N. Antonov et al.; 1989]
- a) Fermi-type in ETF [Brack; 1984, 1985]

$$\rho_{ETF}(r,T) = \rho_0(T) \left\{ 1 + \exp\left[\frac{r - R(T)}{\alpha(T)}\right] \right\}^{-\gamma(T)}$$
(18)

It reproduces THF results up to T = 4 MeV.

b) Symmetrized Fermi determined with RDFA [M.V. Stoitsov et al.; 1987]

$$\rho_{SF}(r,T) = \rho_0(T) \frac{\sinh[R(T)/b(T)]}{\cosh[R(T)/b(T)] + \cosh[r/b(T)]}$$
(19)

Relationships for calculations of T-dependent symmetry energy coefficient

- The problem: how to calculate $e(\rho,\delta=0,T)?$

We introduce other definitions of $e_{sym}(A, T)$ in LDA, analyzing two possibilities:

1)

$$I^{2}e_{sym}(A,T) = \int d\vec{r} \left[\frac{\mathcal{E}(\rho_{A}(r),\delta,T)}{A} - \frac{\mathcal{E}(\rho_{A1}(r),\delta=0,T)}{A1}\right]$$
(20)

$$\rho_A(r, Z, N);$$
 $\rho_{A1}(r, A1 = 2Z, N1 = Z = A1/2)$

Examples:

- for Ni isotopic chain the nucleus A1 is $^{56}\rm{Ni}~(Z=N1=28)$ - for Sn isotopic chain the nucleus A1 is $^{100}\rm{Sn}~(Z=N1=50)$ $^{56}\rm{Ni}$ and $^{100}\rm{Sn}$ are reference nuclei

2)

$$I^{2}e_{sym}(A,T) = \int \frac{d\vec{r}}{A}$$

$$\times \left[\mathcal{E}(\rho_{A}(r),\delta,T) - \mathcal{E}(\rho_{\bar{A}}(r),N=\bar{A}/2,Z=\bar{A}/2,\delta=0,T) \right]$$
(21)

 $\bar{A}=A,\,A(Z,N),\,\bar{A}(Z=\bar{A}/2,\,N=\bar{A}/2)$ Requirement: the even-even nucleus with $N=Z=\bar{A}/2$ to be bound.

Temperature-dependent densities



HFBTHO



- With increasing *T* all densities decrease in the central part of the nucleus, stronger in HFBTHO case
- The nuclear surface becomes more diffuse with increasing $\ensuremath{\mathcal{T}}$



HFBTHO density distributions of protons and neutrons for ⁷⁸Ni and ¹³²Sn at *T*=0 MeV, T=2 MeV, and T=4 MeV obtained using the SLy4 and SkM* parametrizations







Temperature dependence of the symmetry energy coefficient

$$I^{2}e_{sym}(A,T) = \int d\vec{r} \left[\frac{\mathcal{E}(\rho_{A}(r),\delta,T)}{A} - \frac{\mathcal{E}(\rho_{A1}(r),\delta=0,T)}{A1} \right]$$

- Problems: in case of δ=0 when the nucleus with Z=N1 is unbound
- for Ni chain: A1=56 ⁵⁶Ni (Z=N1=28)
- for **Sn** chain: A1=100 ¹⁰⁰Sn (Z=N1=50)
- for Pb chain: Z=N1=82 is unbound, again we take A1=100 (Z=N1=50)

$$I^{2}e_{sym}(A,T) = \int \frac{d\vec{r}}{A}$$

$$\times \left[\mathcal{E}(\rho_{A}(r),\delta,T) - \mathcal{E}(\rho_{\bar{A}}(r),N=\bar{A}/2,Z=\bar{A}/2,\delta=0,T) \right]$$
(23)



 - Problems: even-even nucleus with N=Z=Ā/2 (Ā=A) should be bound. This is possible only for Ni isotopes, but not for Sn and Pb ones. For instance: in Sn isotopes all N=Z nuclei with Ā (Ā=A) starting at 124 (N=Z=62) are unbound.

a) Using HFBTHO code [M.V. Stoitsov *et al.*; 2005, 2013]
b) Using Thomas-Fermi approximation [Agrawal *et al.*; 2014] or its expression up to T² term [Lee, Mekjian; 2010]

$$\tau_q(r,T) = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \varepsilon_{K_q} = \frac{3}{5} (3\pi^2)^{2/3} \left[\rho_q^{5/3} + \frac{5\pi^2 m_q^2}{3\hbar^4} \frac{1}{(3\pi^2)^{4/3}} \rho_q^{1/3} T^2 \right]$$







- densities $\rho(T)$ from ETF, RDFA, HFBTHO (SkM* and SLy4)
- $\tau(T)$ from TF method with T^2 term

Conclusions

- **1.** With increasing *T*, the quantities *S*, S^V , and S^S decrease, while κ slightly increases for all the isotopes in the Ni, Sn, and Pb chains and both for Skyrme forces and for all used density dependences of the symmetry energy.
- **2.** The results for S(T), $S^{v}(T)$, $S^{s}(T)$, and $\kappa(T)$ are sensitive to the choice of the density dependence of the symmetry energy $S[\rho(x,T)]$. The results obtained with values of γ between 0.30 and 0.40 agree better with the experimental information and are also close to previous results.
- **3.** In the cases of double-magic ⁷⁸Ni and ¹³²Sn we observe "kinks" for *T*=0 MeV in the curves of S(T), $S^{V}(T)$, $S^{S}(T)$, and $\kappa(T)$, but not in the case of Pb isotopes. This effect was also observed in our previous works. It is also worth mentioning how the kinks are blurred and eventually disappear as *T* increases, demonstrating its close relationship with the shell structure.

- 4. A theoretical approach within the LDA is developed to study the *T*-dependence of the symmetry energy coefficient in finite nuclei, as well as *T*-dependent nuclear densities, rms radii, and the formation of neutron skins.
- Usage of Skyrme HFB with cylindrical transformed deformed HO basis (HFBTHO) with SkM* and SLy4 forces
- Isotopic chains of Ni, Sn, and Pb considered: densities $\rho(r,T)$ and kinetic energy densities $\tau(r,T)$ from HFBTHO and for ²⁰⁸Pb also ETF and RDFA densities are used
- **5.** The densities $\rho(r, T)$ decrease with T in the central region of nuclei. The neutron skin thickness grows with the increase of T for a given chain.
- 6. Two new definitions of $e_{sym}(A,T)$ within LDA are introduced. The results of $e_{sym}(A,T)$ for isotopic chains are in good agreement with theoretical predictions for some specific nuclei reported. The differences between our results using both definitions point out the dependence of the calculations of $e_{sym}(A,T)$ on its various definitions.

7. A comparative analysis of $e_{sym}(A, T)$ is performed using kinetic energy densities $\tau(r, T)$ from TF method up to T^2 term and density distributions $\rho(r, T)$ from HFBTHO method. The values of $e_{sym}(T)$ decrease smoothly with temperature. This is observed also in the case of ²⁰⁸Pb with different densities $\rho(r, T)$.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

