

Scale Invariance in Newton-Cartan and Horava-Lifshitz Gravity

International workshop for String theory,
Gravitation and Cosmology (STGCOS 2018)
APCTP HQ, Pohang, Korea
20 June 2018

Deniz Olgu Devecioglu (Sogang University)

based on the work with: Nese Ozdemir, Mehmet Ozkan and Utku Zorba
Class.Quant.Grav. 35 (2018) no.11, 115016

Outline

- Motivation, uses of Newton-Cartan (NC) structures
 - holography
 - field theory
 - gravity
- Basic structures in NC and Torsional Newton-Cartan (TNC) from the
 - Geometric view
 - Gauging of the algebra
 - Large c -expansion
- Making TNC dynamical
 - Scale invariant Horava-Lifshitz gravity (HLG)
 - Schrodinger invariant HLG
- Outlook

- NC introduced in problem of FQH [Son 13]
- TNC first observed as boundary geometry in $z=2$ Lifshitz holography [Christensen,Hartong,Rollier,Obers 13, Hartong,Kiritsis,Ober (1409)]
- TTNC introduced in FQH [Geracie,Son,Wu,Wu 14]
- TNC from gauging Schrödinger algebra [Bergshoeff,Hartong,Rosseel 14]
- TNC from gauging Bargmann (with torsion) [Hartong,Obers 15]
 - coupling of non-relativistic field theories to TNC [Jensen 14]
(independent of holography) [Hartong,Kiritsis, Obers 14]
- TNC related and 2D WCFT [Hofmann,Rollier 14]
- other approaches ($c \rightarrow \infty$ limit, affine spaces)
[Banerjee,Mitra,Mukherjee 14, Bekaert,Morand 14, Van den Bleeken 17]

NC Geometry

- Newton-Cartan gravity, is originally developed as the generally covariant description of the Newtonian gravity [E.Cartan 1923,1924]

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho, \quad \frac{d^2 x^a(t)}{dt^2} + \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{dx^a} = 0,$$

- Newton-Cartan geometry is described by a degenerate spatial metric $h^{\mu\nu}$ of rank-d and a temporal vielbein τ_μ of rank-1, together with a $\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu$ connection on an orientable manifold M

$$h^{\mu\nu} \tau_\nu = 0.$$

Torsionless case i.e. $\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu = \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\mu$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu \tau_\nu &= \partial_\mu \tau_\nu - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \tau_\rho = 0, \\ \nabla_\mu h^{\nu\rho} &= \partial_\mu h^{\nu\rho} + \Gamma_{\sigma\mu}^\nu h^{\sigma\rho} + \Gamma_{\sigma\mu}^\rho h^{\sigma\nu} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{[\mu} \tau_{\nu]} &= 0 \\ \tau_\mu &= \partial_\mu f \end{aligned}$$

Given any function t the temporal length of a curve $\gamma : [s_1, s_2] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} t_{,\mu} \xi^\mu ds &= \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \nabla_\mu t \xi^\mu ds \\ &= \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{d}{ds} [t(\gamma(s))] ds = t(\gamma(s_2)) - t(\gamma(s_1)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla_\mu \tau_\nu = \partial_\mu \tau_\nu - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \tau_\rho = 0, \longrightarrow \tau_\rho \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = \partial_\mu \tau_\nu. \quad \text{Temporal part}$$

For the **spatial** part introduce the following spatial inverse metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ and temporal inverse vielbein τ^μ

$$h^{\mu\sigma} h_{\nu\sigma} = P_\nu^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu - \tau^\mu \tau_\nu, \quad \tau^\mu \tau_\mu = 1, \quad h^{\mu\nu} \tau_\nu = 0, \quad h_{\mu\nu} \tau^\nu = 0.$$

Solution to the compatibility conditions then

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = \tau^\rho \partial_\mu \tau_\nu + \frac{1}{2} h^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_\nu h_{\sigma\mu} + \partial_\mu h_{\sigma\nu} - \partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu} \right) - h^{\rho\sigma} \tau_{(\mu} F_{\nu)\sigma},$$

Impose Ehlers and Trautman conditions for Newtonian gravity

$$h^{\sigma[\lambda} R^{\mu]}_{(\nu\rho)\sigma}(\Gamma) = 0,$$

$$h^{\rho\lambda} R^{\mu}_{\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\nu}_{\mu\lambda\alpha}(\Gamma) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \tau_{[\lambda} R^{\mu}_{\nu]\rho\sigma}(\Gamma) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad h^{\sigma[\lambda} R^{\mu]}_{\nu\rho\sigma}(\Gamma) = 0,$$



$$\Gamma_{00}^a = \delta^{ab} \partial_b \phi, \quad R^a_{0a0}(\Gamma) = \nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu} m_{\nu]},$$

[J. Ehlers 81, A. Trautman 63, G. Dautcourt 93, R. Andringa, E. Bergshoeff, S. Panda and M. de Roo 11]

There is a caveat here ! The inverse metrics we introduce $\tau^\mu, h_{\mu\nu}$ are not unique i.e. given 1-form ψ_μ [K. Jensen, 14]

$$\tau'^{\mu} = \tau^{\mu} + h^{\mu\nu} \psi_{\nu}, \quad h'_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - (\tau_{\mu} P_{\nu}^{\rho} + \tau_{\nu} P_{\mu}^{\rho}) \psi_{\rho} + \tau_{\mu} \tau_{\nu} h^{\rho\sigma} \psi_{\rho} \psi_{\sigma},$$

Moreover,

$$m'_{\mu} = m_{\mu} - P_{\mu}^{\nu} \psi_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \tau_{\mu} h^{\nu\rho} \psi_{\nu} \psi_{\rho},$$

Twistless torsional NC (TTNC) geometry

$$(h^{\mu\nu}, \tau_\mu, b_\mu, M_\mu),$$

From the compatibility condition temporal part is fixed

$$\tau_\rho \Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^\rho = \partial_{[\mu} \tau_{\nu]}.$$

Impose twistless condition

$$\tau_\lambda \tau_{[\rho} \Gamma_{\mu\nu]}^\lambda = \tau_{[\rho} \partial_{\mu} \tau_{\nu]} = 0,$$

By Frobenius theorem

$$\partial_{[\mu} \tau_{\nu]} = z b_{[\mu} \tau_{\nu]},$$

Solution to the compatibility conditions is

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = \tau^\rho \partial_\mu \tau_\nu + \frac{1}{2} h^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_\nu h_{\sigma\mu} + \partial_\mu h_{\sigma\nu} - \partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu} \right) - h^{\rho\sigma} \tau_{(\mu} F_{\nu)\sigma} - K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho,$$

spatial contortion

Transformation under Milne boost

$$\delta_M \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = h^{\rho\sigma} \left\{ (P_\sigma^\alpha \partial_{[\mu} \tau_{\nu]} + P_\mu^\alpha \partial_{[\sigma} \tau_{\nu]} + P_\nu^\alpha \partial_{[\sigma} \tau_{\mu]}) \psi_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha\beta} \psi_\alpha \psi_\beta (\tau_\nu \partial_{[\mu} \tau_{\sigma]} + \tau_\mu \partial_{[\nu} \tau_{\sigma]}) \right\} - \delta_M K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho. \quad (2)$$

- If $\delta_M K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = 0$, then we have to achieve Milne invariance by considering combinations of τ^μ , $h_{\mu\nu}$ and m_μ . However m_μ is a U(1) connection therefore such combinations will fail U(1) invariance. So we will also introduce a scalar field χ such that,

$$\delta_{U(1)}\chi = \sigma \qquad M_\mu = m_\mu - \partial_\mu\chi,$$

And the following definitions will still satisfy orthogonality conditions and they are Milne+U(1) invariant

$$\hat{\tau}^\mu = \tau^\mu + h^{\mu\nu}M_\nu, \quad \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \tau_\mu M_\nu - \tau_\nu M_\mu + 2\tau_\mu\tau_\nu\Phi,$$

$$\Phi = \tau^\sigma M_\sigma + \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma}M_\rho M_\sigma.$$

Therefore, the connection for TTNC can be written as

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho = \hat{\tau}^\rho \partial_\mu \tau_\nu + \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma} \left(\partial_\nu \hat{h}_{\sigma\mu} + \partial_\mu \hat{h}_{\sigma\nu} - \partial_\sigma \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} \right) + h^{\rho\sigma} \tau_\mu \tau_\nu \partial_\sigma \Phi - K_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho,$$

Non-Relativistic scale symmetry and NC

- The defining property of the scale symmetry is via breaking of the compatibility condition by a particular non-metricity tensor

$$\nabla_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} = zb_{\mu}\tau_{\nu}, \quad \nabla_{\mu}h^{\nu\rho} = -2b_{\mu}h^{\nu\rho},$$

which is preserved by the following transformations

$$\tau_{\mu} \rightarrow e^{z\Lambda_D(x)}\tau_{\mu}, \quad h^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow e^{-2\Lambda_D(x)}h^{\mu\nu}, \quad b_{\mu} \rightarrow b_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\Lambda_D(x), \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho}, \quad \delta_M b_{\mu} = 0.$$

- Unlike the relativistic scenarios, the inclusion of the non-metricity modifies the anti-symmetric part of the connection

impose tt condition

$$\tau_{\rho}\Gamma_{[\mu\nu]}^{\rho} = \partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} - zb_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]}. \quad \longrightarrow \quad \partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = zb_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]},$$

- Again, one can introduce Milne invariant hatted quantities

$$\hat{\tau}^{\mu} \rightarrow e^{-z\Lambda_D(x)}\hat{\tau}^{\mu}, \quad \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow e^{2\Lambda_D(x)}\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}, \quad M_{\mu} \rightarrow e^{-(z-2)\Lambda_D(x)}M_{\mu}.$$

$$M_{\mu} = m_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu}\chi - (z-2)b_{\mu}\chi,$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} = \hat{\tau}^{\rho}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}\hat{h}_{\sigma\mu} + \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\hat{h}_{\sigma\nu} - \mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}\right) + h^{\rho\sigma}\tau_{\mu}\tau_{\nu}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}\Phi - K_{\mu\nu}^{\rho},$$

Non-relativistic algebras (not all)

$$H, P_a, J_{ab}, G_a, N$$

Bargmann
Galilean

$$[H, G_a] = P_a \quad [P_a, G_b] = 0$$

$$[P_a, G_b] = N\delta_{ab}$$

$$H, P_a, J_{ab}, D, G_a, N, K(z=2)$$

Lifshitz

Schrodinger

$$[D, H] = zH \quad [D, P_a] = P_a$$

$$[D, N] = (2 - z)N$$

$z \neq 2$ Scale Invariant Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity

Elements of the scale extended Bargmann algebra are

$$[P_a, G_b] = \delta_{ab}N, \quad [D, G_a] = (z-1)G_a, \quad [D, N] = (z-2)N,$$

$$[J_{ab}, P_c] = 2\delta_{c[a}P_{b]}, \quad [J_{ab}, G_c] = 2\delta_{c[a}G_{b]}, \quad [J_{ab}, J_{cd}] = 4\delta_{[a[c}J_{b]d]}.$$

$$[D, P_a] = -P_a, \quad [D, H] = -zH, \quad [H, G_a] = P_a,$$

Following the algebra, transformation rules are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\tau_\mu &= \partial_\mu\xi - z\xi b_\mu + z\Lambda_D\tau_\mu, \\ \delta e_\mu^a &= \partial_\mu\xi^a - \omega_\mu^{ab}\xi_b - b_\mu\xi^a + \lambda^a_b e_\mu^b + \lambda^a\tau_\mu - \omega_\mu^a\xi + \Lambda_D e_\mu^a, \\ \delta\omega_\mu^{ab} &= \partial_\mu\lambda^{ab} + 2\lambda^{c[a}\omega_\mu^{b]c}, \\ \delta\omega_\mu^a &= \partial_\mu\lambda^a - \omega_\mu^{ab}\lambda_b + \lambda^a_b\omega_\mu^b + (z-1)\lambda^a b_\mu - (z-1)\Lambda_D\omega_\mu^a, \\ \delta m_\mu &= \partial_\mu\sigma - \xi^a\omega_{\mu a} + \lambda^a e_{\mu a} + (z-2)\sigma b_\mu - (z-2)\Lambda_D m_\mu, \\ \delta b_\mu &= \partial_\mu\Lambda_D, \end{aligned}$$

Also the curvatures follows easily

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mu\nu}(H) &= 2\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} - 2zb_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]}, \\
R_{\mu\nu}{}^a(P) &= 2\partial_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}{}^a - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^{ab}e_{\nu]}{}_b - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^a\tau_{\nu]} - 2b_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}{}^a, \\
R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab}(J) &= 2\partial_{[\mu}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{ab} - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^c{}^{[a}\omega_{\nu]}{}^{b]}{}_c, \\
R_{\mu\nu}{}^a(G) &= 2\partial_{[\mu}\omega_{\nu]}{}^a + 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^b\omega_{\nu]}{}^a{}_b - 2(z-1)\omega_{[\mu}{}^ab_{\nu]}, \\
R_{\mu\nu}(D) &= 2\partial_{[\mu}b_{\nu]}, \\
R_{\mu\nu}(N) &= 2\partial_{[\mu}m_{\nu]} - 2\omega_{[\mu}{}^ae_{\nu]}{}_a + 2(z-2)b_{[\mu}m_{\nu]}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to make contact with the NC geometry we have discussed, impose the following set of constraints

$$R_{\mu\nu}(H) = 0, \quad R_{\mu\nu}{}^a(P) = 0, \quad R_{\mu\nu}(N) = 0, \quad R_{\mu\nu}(D) = 0,$$

$$e_{[\mu}{}^b R_{\nu\rho]}{}^a{}_b(J) + \tau_{[\mu} R_{\nu\rho]}{}^a(G) = 0, \quad e_{[\mu}{}^a R_{\nu\rho]}{}_a(G) = 0.$$

The twistlessness condition can be seen from the curvature constraint

$$R_{\mu\nu}(H) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = zb_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]},$$

Also the following composite gauge fields are solved

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_\mu^{ab} &= -2e^{\nu[a}\partial_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}^{b]} + e^{\nu[a}e^{b]\rho}\partial_\nu e_\rho^c e_{\mu c} + 2e_\mu^{[a}e_\nu^{b]}b^\nu \\ &\quad - e^{\nu a}e^{\rho b}\tau_\mu(\partial_{[\nu}m_{\rho]} + (z-2)b_{[\nu}m_{\rho]}), \\ \omega_\mu^a &= \tau^\nu\partial_{[\mu}e_{\nu]}^a + e^{\nu a}\tau^\rho e_{\mu b}\partial_{[\nu}e_{\rho]}^b + e_\mu^a\tau^\nu b_\nu + e^{\nu a}(\partial_{[\mu}m_{\nu]} + (z-2)b_{[\mu}m_{\nu]}) \\ &\quad + \tau_\mu\tau^\rho e^{\nu a}(\partial_{[\rho}m_{\nu]} + (z-2)b_{[\rho}m_{\nu]}).\end{aligned}$$

Scale-extended Bargmann algebra does not allow the existence of a scalar field with a homogeneous dilatation and U(1) transformation due to the commutation relation.

Therefore we will work with the following set of compensating multiplets. Note that the derivative of χ is the very definition of M_μ

$$\delta\phi = \omega\Lambda_D\phi, \quad \delta\chi = \sigma + (2-z)\Lambda_D\chi.$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu\chi = \partial_\mu\chi - (2-z)b_\mu\chi - m_\mu.$$

In order to discuss the construction of kinetic term in HLG let us consider the following

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu M_a = \partial_\mu M_a + (z-1)b_\mu M_a - \omega_\mu^{ab}M_b - \omega_{\mu a}.$$

$$\delta\mathcal{D}_a M_b = -z\Lambda_D\mathcal{D}_a M_b. \quad \delta_G M_a = \lambda_a.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{z \neq 2}^{(2)} &= \int dt d^d x e \phi (\mathcal{D}_a M^a)^2, \\
S_{z \neq 2}^{(3)} &= \int dt d^d x e \phi (\mathcal{D}_a M_b)^2, \\
S_{z \neq 2}^{(4)} &= \int dt d^d x e \phi \Delta \mathcal{D}_a M^a,
\end{aligned}
\quad \delta_D e = (d + z) \Lambda_D e.$$

Here, for $S_{z \neq 2}^{(2)}$ and $S_{z \neq 2}^{(3)}$, the scaling dimension of ϕ is given by $\omega = z - d$ while for the $S_{z \neq 2}^{(4)}$, the scaling dimension of ϕ is $\omega = 2 - d$.

- $n_t = 1$: When we have a single time derivative acting on ϕ or χ , we first consider the models with no spatial derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{z \neq 2}^{(5)} &= \int dt d^d x e \phi (\mathcal{D}_0 \phi + M^a \mathcal{D}_a \phi), \\
S_{z \neq 2}^{(6)} &= \int dt d^d x e \phi^2 (M_0 + \frac{1}{2} M_a M^a).
\end{aligned}$$

- $n_t = 2$: When we have two time derivative acting on ϕ or χ , the possible $z \neq 2$ scale-invariant actions are

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{z \neq 2}^{(13)} &= \int dt d^d x e \phi (\mathcal{D}_0^2 \phi + 2M^a \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_a \phi + M^a M^b \mathcal{D}_a \mathcal{D}_b \phi), \\
S_{z \neq 2}^{(14)} &= \int dt d^d x e \phi^2 (\mathcal{D}_0 M_0 + 2M^a \mathcal{D}_0 M_a + M^a M^b \mathcal{D}_a M_b), \\
S_{z \neq 2}^{(15)} &= \int dt d^d x e \phi^2 (M_0 + \frac{1}{2} M_a M^a)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

NC and HLG connection [Hartong, Obers 2015]

Milne invariant Riemannian tensor can be defined as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} + \tau_{\mu}\tau_{\nu}, \quad g^{\mu\nu} = h^{\mu\nu} + \hat{\tau}^{\mu}\hat{\tau}^{\nu},$$

From this definition, ADM decomposition of the metric and the twistless torsion condition one can show the following

$$\tau_{\mu} = \psi \partial_{\mu} \tau. \quad \tau = t$$

$$\tau_t = N, \quad \tau_i = 0,$$

$$h^{tt} = h^{ti} = h^{it} = 0, \quad h^{ij} = \gamma^{ij},$$

$$\hat{\tau}^t = N^{-1}, \quad \hat{\tau}^i = -N^{-1}N^i,$$

$$\hat{h}_{tt} = \gamma_{ij}N^iN^j, \quad \hat{h}_{ti} = \hat{h}_{it} = \gamma_{ij}N^j, \quad \hat{h}_{ij} = \gamma_{ij},$$

Using these relations U(1) invariant vector field reads

$$M_t = -\frac{1}{2N}\gamma_{ij}N^iN^j + \Phi N, \quad M_i = -\frac{1}{N}\gamma_{ij}N^j.$$

The dilatation gauge field can also be written in terms of HLG variables through the TT condition

$$\partial_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = zb_{[\mu}\tau_{\nu]} = zb_a e_{[\mu}{}^a \tau_{\nu]}.$$

It is useful to identify a vector

$$a_\mu = \mathcal{L}_{\hat{\tau}}\tau_\mu = \hat{\tau}^\nu (\partial_\nu\tau_\mu - \partial_\mu\tau_\nu) = -ze_\mu{}^a b_a,$$

$$a_t = N^i a_i, \quad a_i = -N^{-1}\partial_i N.$$

Finally the extrinsic curvature (kinetic term of HLG)

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} \left(\partial_t \gamma_{ij} - \bar{\nabla}_i N_j - \bar{\nabla}_j N_i \right),$$

Can be identified from the following tensor

$$K'_{ab} = \mathcal{D}_a M_b = \tilde{\nabla}_{(a} M_{b)} + zb_{(a} M_{b)} - \delta_{ab} b^c M_c - \delta_{ab} b_0.$$

Gathering up all these pieces the scale extended HLG is

$$S_{z \neq 2}^{\text{HL}} = S_{z \neq 2}^{(3)} - \lambda S_{z \neq 2}^{(2)} + S_\nu,$$

Outlook

- The scale or Schrodinger symmetry corresponds to a special choice of non-metricity in the compatibility equation, and it is possible to have a more general classification of non-relativistic geometries by imposing a more general non-metricity. This classification has been done for the relativistic scenarios.
- The true non-relativistic analogue of the relativistic conformal symmetry, which leaves the action of a massless non-relativistic particle invariant is called the Galilean conformal algebra.
- Is it possible to get HLG from the large c expansion by [vDB17]
- Generating solutions for HLG from the known relativistic ones.

Large c expansion [VdB17]

We assume an expansion of the metric ($D = d + 1$, Lorentzian) in even powers² of a variable c (thought of physically as the speed of light):

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} g_{\mu\nu}^{(2i)} c^{-2i} \quad g^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g^{\mu\nu(2i)} c^{-2i} \quad (1)$$

We furthermore assume that $g_{\mu\nu}^{(-2)}$ is of rank 1 and negative, so we can write

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(-2)} = -\tau_\mu \tau_\nu \quad (2)$$

The two expansions (1) are of course related by the condition that one series provides the inverse of the other. We can expand this condition $g_{\mu\rho} g^{\rho\nu} = \delta_\mu^\nu$ order by order and solve the resulting equations explicitly. As a first step one obtains from the leading equation (order c^2) the result that

$$g^{(0)\mu\nu} = h^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{with} \quad h^{\mu\nu} \tau_\nu = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\delta_\chi \tau^\mu = -h^{\mu\rho} \chi_\rho \quad \delta_\chi h_{\mu\nu} = \tau_\mu \chi_\nu + \tau_\nu \chi_\mu$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\tau}^\mu &= \tau^\mu - h^{\mu\nu} C_\nu \\ \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} &= h_{\mu\nu} + 2\tau_{(\mu} C_{\nu)} + 2\hat{\Phi}\tau_\mu\tau_\nu \\ \hat{\Phi} &= -\tau^\rho C_\rho + \frac{1}{2}h^{\rho\sigma} C_\rho C_\sigma\end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\beta}^{\mu\nu} = \beta^{\mu\nu} + h^{\mu\rho} h^{\mu\sigma} C_\rho C_\sigma$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{B}_\mu &= B_\mu + h_{\mu\rho}\beta^{\rho\sigma} C_\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\tau_\mu (\beta^{\rho\sigma} C_\rho C_\sigma + (\tau^\rho C_\rho - h^{\rho\sigma} C_\rho C_\sigma)^2) \\ &\quad - C_\mu (\tau^\rho C_\rho - h^{\rho\sigma} C_\rho C_\sigma)\end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\gamma}^{\mu\nu} = \gamma^{\mu\nu} + 2h^{\rho(\mu} h^{\nu)\sigma} C_\rho (\hat{B}_\sigma - 2\hat{\Phi}C_\sigma)$$

For the compatibility condition assume the connection expansion

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{(2i)\lambda} C^{-2i}$$

Then the same structure for TTNC is obtained.