H0 and sigma8 in interacting DM-DR models

Pyungwon Ko (KIAS)

Based on P.Ko,Y.Tang:1608.01083(PLB),1609.02307(PLB) P.Ko,N.Nagata,Y.Tang:arXiv:1706.05605(PLB)

H0 tension and Swampland : theory confronts reality APCTP, Pohang, Korea (Nov. 28-30, 2018)

Outline

- Introduction & Motivation
 - Dark Matter evidence
 - Hubble constant and structure growth
- DM with dark gauge symmetries
- Interacting Dark Matter&Dark Radiation
 - U(1) dark photon
 - Residual Yang-Mills Dark Matter
- Summary

Only Higgs (~SM) and Nothing Else at the LHC & SM based on local gauge principle works very well !

- electron stability < electric charge conservation > unbroken U(1)em and massless photon
- proton longevity < baryon # : accidental sym of the SM > only broken by dim-6 operators
- Can we have DM stability/longevity similarly to e/p in the SM ?

Dark Matter Evidence

- Rotation Curves of Galaxies
- Gravitational Lensing
- Large Scale Structure
- CMB anisotropies, ...

All confirmed evidence comes' from gravitational interaction

CDM: negligible velocity, WIMP WDM: keV sterile neutrino HDM: active neutrino





Merger History of Dark Halo

- Standard picture
- DM halo grow hierarchically
- Small scale structures form first
- then merge into larger halo



Merger History of Dark Halo

- Standard picture
- DM halo grow hierarchically
- Small scale structures form first
- then merge into larger halo



Merger History of Dark Halo

- Standard picture
- DM halo grow hierarchically
- Small scale structures form first
- then merge into larger halo



Weakly Interacting Massive Particle

- Mass around ~100GeV
- Coupling ~ 0.5
- Correct relic abundance Ω~0.3
- Thermal History
 - Equilibrium XX<>ff
 - Equilibrium XX >ff
 - Freeze-out
- Cold Dark Matter (CDM)



LCDM Paradigm

- Universe : Isotropic and homogeneous at large scale > FRW metric
- SM + Collisionless DM + Cosmological constant + Big Bang
- Very successful so far

ACDM: successful on large scales



Mass Variance ∆M/M

Theoretical Scenarios for DM

Supersymmetry Extra-dimension Sterile Neutrino Axion Wimpzilla Dark atom/pion/glueball **Bose-Einstein condensate** Primordial black hole DM w/ Dark Gauge symmetries

Interacting Dark Matter

Why Interacting DM ?

- Theoretically interesting
 - Atomic DM, Mirror DM, Composite DM
 - Eventually, all DM is *interacting* in some way, the question is how strongly?
 - Self-Interacting DM $\frac{\sigma}{M_X} \sim \mathrm{cm}^2/\mathrm{g} \sim \mathrm{barn}/\mathrm{GeV}$
- Possible new testable signatures
 - CMB, LSS, BBN
 - Other astrophysical effects,...
- Solution of CDM controversies
 - Cusp-vs-Core, Too-big-to-fail, missing satellite,...
 - H_{0} , σ_8 ? 2-3 σ , systematic uncertainty

Tension in Hubble Constant?

• Hubble Constant H₀ defined as the present value of

$$H \equiv \frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt} = \frac{\sqrt{\rho_r + \rho_m + \rho_\Lambda}}{M_p}$$

- Planck(2015) gives $67.8 \pm 0.9 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$
- HST(2016) gives $73.24 \pm 1.74 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$



Tension in σ_8 ?

- Variance of perturbation field \rightarrow collapsed objects $\sigma^2(R) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int W_R^2(k) P(k) k^2 dk,$
- where the filter function $W_R(k) = \frac{3}{(kR)^3} \left[\sin(kR) kR\cos(kR) \right]$, P(k) is matter power spectrum. $F_{LSS^{|}}$



Tension in σ_8 ?

Planck2015, Sunyaev–Zeldovich cluster counts

Data	$\sigma_8 \left(\frac{\Omega_{\rm m}}{0.31}\right)^{0.3}$	$\Omega_{ m m}$	σ_8
WtG + BAO + BBN	0.806 ± 0.032	0.34 ± 0.03	0.78 ± 0.03
CCCP + BAO + BBN [Baseline]	0.774 ± 0.034	0.33 ± 0.03	0.76 ± 0.03
CMBlens + BAO + BBN	0.723 ± 0.038	0.32 ± 0.03	0.71 ± 0.03
$\overline{\text{CCCP} + H_0 + \text{BBN}}$	0.772 ± 0.034	0.31 ± 0.04	0.78 ± 0.04

Planck2015, Primary CMB

-							
Parameter	[1] Planck TT+lowP	[2] Planck TE+lowP	Planck I	EE+low	P [4] Planck TT,TE,EE+10	owP
$\overline{\Omega_{\rm b}h^2}$	0.02222 ± 0.00023	0.02228 ± 0.00025	$0.0240 \pm 0.01150 \pm 0.01150 \pm 0.01150 \pm 0.0010000000000000000000000000000000$	00013		0.02225 ± 0.00016	_
$\Omega_{\rm c}h^2 \dots \dots$	0.1197 ± 0.0022 1.04085 ± 0.00047	0.1187 ± 0.0021	0.1150_{\pm}	00015 0.00092	ţ!i	0.1198 ± 0.0015 1.04077 ± 0.00032	-
τ	0.078 ± 0.019 3 089 + 0 036	0.053 ± 0.019 3 031 + 0 041 3 031 + 0 041	0.059 <u>+</u> 3.066 ⁺	0.022 0.019 0.046		0.079 ± 0.017 3 094 + 0 034	
$n_{\rm s}$	0.9655 ± 0.0062	$\begin{array}{c} 0.965 \pm 0.012 \\ 0.772 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	0.973 ± 1000	0.041 0.016	1	0.9645 ± 0.0049	
$\Omega_{\rm m}$ $\Omega_{\rm m}$	07.31 ± 0.90 0.315 ± 0.013	0.300 ± 0.012	0.2 ± 0.286 ⁺	0.027 0.038		0.3156 ± 0.0091	_
$\frac{\sigma_8}{10^9}A_8e^{-2\tau}$	$\frac{0.829 \pm 0.014}{1.880 \pm 0.014}$	0.802 ± 0.018 ro 1.865 ± 0.019 G	0.796 ± 1.907 ±	0.024 0.027	1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.831 \pm 0.013 \\ 1.882 \pm 0.012 \end{array}$	N.
		L L	<u>N</u>	-/1	1	1	
				j I	1 1	1	

Matter Power Spectrum

DES astroph/150705552



Interacting feadct Mater AD Radiation

Since all components are connected by Einstein's equation

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu}$$

- first-order perturbation of Boltzmann equation
 - anisotropy in CMB
 - matter power spectrum for LSS
- (Self-)Interaction sometimes also matters



Yong TANG(U.Tokyo)

Interacting Dark Matter

Interacting Radiation

free-streaming

$$\begin{split} \dot{\delta}_{\nu} &= -\frac{4}{3} \,\theta_{\nu} + 4\dot{\phi} \ , \\ \dot{\theta}_{\nu} &= k^2 \bigg(\frac{1}{4} \,\delta_{\nu} - \sigma_{\nu} \bigg) + k^2 \psi \ , \\ \dot{F}_{\nu l} &= \frac{k}{2l+1} \left[lF_{\nu (l-1)} - (l+1)F_{\nu (l+1)} \right] \, , \end{split}$$

• perfect fluid $\Gamma \gg \mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{split} \dot{\delta}_{\nu} &= -\frac{4}{3} \,\theta_{\nu} + 4 \dot{\phi} \;, \\ \dot{\theta}_{\nu} &= k^2 \bigg(\frac{1}{4} \,\delta_{\nu} - \sigma_{\nu} \bigg) + k^2 \psi \;, \end{split}$$

σv=0



Neutrinos as perfect fluid excluded, Audren et al 1412.5948

Relation to Particle Physics

- The precise form of the scattering term, <σc>, is fully determined by the underlying microscopic or particle physics model, for example
 - electron-photon, <<u>σc>~1/m²</u> *Thomson scattering -> CMB, BAO*
 - DM-radiation with massive mediator, <σc>~T²/m⁴ Boehm *et al*(astro-ph/0410591,1309.7588)
 - non-Abelian radiation, <σc>~1/T²
 Schmaltz et al(2015), 1507.04351,1505.03542
 - (pseudo-)scalar radiation, <σc>~1/T², μ²/T⁴, T²/μ⁴
 Y.Tang, 1603.00165(PLB)

DR

Effects on LSS

Parametrize the cross section ratio

Y.Tang,1603.00165(PLB)

$$u_0 \equiv \left[\frac{\sigma_{\chi\psi}}{\sigma_{\rm Th}}\right] \left[\frac{100{\rm GeV}}{m_{\chi}}\right], u_{\beta}(T) = u_0 \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^{\beta},$$

where $\sigma_{\rm Th}$ is the Thomson cross section, $0.67 \times 10^{-24} {\rm cm}^{-2}$. Matter Power Spectrum



Why dark gauge sym ?

Questions about DM

- Electric Charge/Color neutral
- How many DM species are there ?
- Their masses and spins ?
- Are they absolutely stable or very long lived ?
- How do they interact with themselves and with the SM particles ?
- Where do their masses come from ? Another (Dark) Higgs mechanism ? Dynamical SB ?
- In order to answer these questions, we must find DM in particle physics experiments (direct/indirect detections, collider searches, etc.) and study their properties

DM phenomenology often requires

- New force mediators (scalar, vector,) in order to solve some puzzles in the standard collision less CDM paradigm
- Extra particles in the dark sector (excited DM, dark radiation, force mediators, etc.) often used for phenomenological reasons
- Any good organizing principles for these extra particles ?
- Answer : Dark gauge symmetry (dark gauge boson/dark Higgs appear naturally, their dynamics is completely fixed by gauge principle)

What is going on in the SM?

- SM based on Poincare + local gauge symmetry within 4-dim QFT : extremely successful and provides qualitative answers to light neutrino masses, non-observation of proton decay (Lepton # and baryon # : accidental symmetry of the renormalizable SM, and broken only by higher dim operators)
- Electron is stable, because electric charge is conserved and electron is the lightest particle with nonzero electric charge
- Proton is long lived because B-violation in SM comes from dim-6 operator

DM with dark gauge symmetries

- DM : either absolutely stable or long lived (could be due to local gauge symmetry or some accidental symmetry) and both can be accommodated by local dark gauge symmetries
- Global sym could be broken by gravity, and may not be good enough for DM stability/longevity
- The only issue is the mass scales of DM, dark gauge bosons/dark Higgs, and their gauge/ Yukawa couplings, all of which are unknown yet
- DM phenomenology can be very rich, if these new particles are not too heavy

Singlet Portal

- If there is a hidden (dark) sector with its own dark gauge symmetry and DM is thermal, then we need a portal to it
- There are only three unique gauge singlets in the SM + RH neutrinos

Baek, Ko, Park, arXiv:1303.4280, JHEP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{SM Sector} \longleftrightarrow & H^{\dagger}H, \ B_{\mu\nu}, \ N_R \end{array} \longleftrightarrow & \mathsf{Hidden Sector} \\ \hline N_R \leftrightarrow \tilde{H}l_L & e.g. \ \phi_X^{\dagger}\phi_X, X_{\mu\nu}, \psi_X^{\dagger}\phi_X \end{array}$$

Example: Fermi-LAT γ-ray excess

• Gamma-ray excess in the direction of GC







* See "1402.6703, T. Daylan et.al." for other possible channels

• Millisecond Pulars (astrophysical alternative)

It may or may not be the main source, depending on

- luminosity func.
- bulge population
- distribution of bulge population

* See "1404.2318, Q. Yuan & B. Zhang" and "1407.5625, I. Cholis, D. Hooper & T. Linden"

GC gamma ray in VDM

[1404.5257, P. Ko, WIP & Y.Tang] JCAP (2014) (Also Celine Boehm et al. 1404.4977, PRD)



H2 : 125 GeV Higgs H1 : present in VDM with dark gauge sym

Figure 2. Dominant s channel $b + \bar{b}$ (and $\tau + \bar{\tau}$) production



Figure 3. Dominant s/t-channel production of H_1 s that decay dominantly to $b + \overline{b}$







FIG. 3: The regions inside solid(black), dashed(blue) and long-dashed(red) contours correspond to 1σ , 2σ and 3σ , respectively. The red dots inside 1σ contours are the best-fit points. In the left panel, we vary freely M_X , M_{H_2} and $\langle \sigma v \rangle$. While in the right panel, we fix the mass of H_2 , $M_{H_2} \simeq M_X$.



FIG. 2: Three illustrative cases for gamma-ray spectra in contrast with CCW data points [11]. All masses are in GeV unit and σv with cm³/s. Line shape around $E \simeq M_{H_2}/2$ is due to decay modes, $H_2 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma, Z \gamma$.

Thanks to C. Weniger for the covariant matrix



This explanation is possible only in DM models with dark gauge symmetry

P.Ko, Yong Tang. arXiv:1504.03908

Channels	Best-fit parameters	$\chi^2_{\rm min}/{\rm d.o.f.}$	<i>p</i> -value
$XX \to H_2H_2$	$M_X \simeq 95.0 \text{GeV}, M_{H_2} \simeq 86.7 \text{GeV}$	22.0/21	0.40
(with $M_{H_2} \neq M_X$)	$\langle \sigma v \rangle \simeq 4.0 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{/s}$		
$XX \to H_2H_2$	$M_X \simeq 97.1 \mathrm{GeV}$	22.5/22	0.43
(with $M_{H_2} = M_X$)	$\langle \sigma v \rangle \simeq 4.2 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{/s}$		
$XX \to H_1H_1$	$M_X \simeq 125 \text{GeV}$	24.8/22	0.30
(with $M_{H_1} = 125 \text{GeV}$)	$\langle \sigma v \rangle \simeq 5.5 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{/s}$		
$XX \to b\bar{b}$	$M_X \simeq 49.4 \text{GeV}$	24.4/22	0.34
	$\langle \sigma v \rangle \simeq 1.75 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{/s}$		

TABLE I: Summary table for the best fits with three different assumptions.

In Short, Dark Gauge Symmetry

- guarantees the absolute stability of weak scale DM due to unbroken (sub)group
- or guarantees its longevity due to accidental global symmetry of the underlying gauge symmetry (like baryon # in the SM)
- naturally houses DM, DR, Dark Force Carriers (dark photon, dark Higgs etc.) and interactions among them and interactions with the SM particles, resulting rich dark phenomenology
- the only issues : mass scales and coupling strengths

Models for Interacting DM-DR

- Light sterile fermion DR + Dark photon
- Nonabelian DM + DR
- (Hidden charged DM and chiral DR)

A Light Dark Photon

- Lagrangian P.Ko, YT, 1608.01083(PLB)
 - $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} V_{\mu\nu} V^{\mu\nu} + D_{\mu} \Phi^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi + \bar{\chi} \left(i D m_{\chi} \right) \chi + \bar{\psi} i D \psi$ $\left(y_{\chi} \Phi^{\dagger} \bar{\chi}^{c} \chi + y_{\psi} \Phi \bar{\psi} N + h.c. \right) V(\Phi, H),$
- DM χ (+1), dark radiation ψ (+2), scalar(+2)
- U(1) symmetry (*unbroken*), massless dark photon V_{μ} (Phi VEV = 0)
- Φ is responsible for the DM relic density $\Omega h^2 \simeq 0.1 \times \left(\frac{y_{\chi}}{0.7}\right)^{-4} \left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{\text{TeV}}\right)^2.$
- Φ can decay into ψ and N.

Dark Radiation δNeff

• Effective Number of Neutrinos, Neff

$$\rho_R = \left[1 + N_{\text{eff}} \times \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11} \right)^{4/3} \right] \rho_\gamma,$$
$$\rho_\gamma \propto T_\gamma^4$$

- In SM cosmology, *N_{eff}* =3.046. Neutrinos decouple around MeV, and then freely stream.
- Cosmological bounds

Joint CMB+BBN, 95% CL preferred ranges

$$N_{\text{eff}} = \begin{cases} 3.11^{+0.59}_{-0.57} & \text{He}+Planck \text{TT}+\text{lowP}, \\ 3.14^{+0.44}_{-0.43} & \text{He}+Planck \text{TT}+\text{lowP}+\text{BAO}, \\ 2.99^{+0.39}_{-0.39} & \text{He}+Planck \text{TT}, \text{TE}, \text{EE}+\text{lowP}, \end{cases}$$

Planck 2015, arXiv:1502.01589

Constraint on New Physics

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} N_{\rm eff} < 3.7 \\ m_{\nu, \, \rm sterile}^{\rm eff} < 0.52 \, \, {\rm eV} \end{array} \right\} 95\%, Planck \, {\rm TT+lowP+lensing+BAO}. \end{array}$$

Dark Radiation δNeff

Massless dark photon and fermion will contribute

$$\delta N_{\text{eff}} = \left(\frac{8}{7} + 2\right) \left[\frac{g_{*s}(T_{\nu})}{g_{*s}(T^{\text{dec}})} \frac{g_{*s}^{D}(T^{\text{dec}})}{g_{*s}^{D}(T_{D})}\right]^{\frac{4}{3}},$$

where T_{ν} is neutrino's temperature,

 g_{*s} counts the effective number of dof for entropy density in SM,

 g_{*s}^D denotes the effective number of dof being in kinetic equilibrium with V_{μ} .

For instance, when $T^{\text{dec}} \gg m_t \simeq 173 \text{GeV}$ for $|\lambda_{\Phi H}| \sim 10^{-6}$, we can estimate δN_{eff} at the BBN epoch as

$$\delta N_{\rm eff} = \frac{22}{7} \left[\frac{43/4}{427/4} \frac{11}{9/2} \right]^{\frac{4}{3}} \simeq 0.53, \tag{1}$$

 $\delta N_{eff}=0.4\sim1$ for relaxing tension in Hubble constant

Diffusion Damping

 Dark Matter scatters with radiation, which induces new contributions in the cosmological perturbation equations,

$$\begin{split} \dot{\delta}_{\chi} &= -\theta_{\chi} + 3\dot{\Phi}, \\ \dot{\theta}_{\chi} &= k^{2}\Psi - \mathcal{H}\theta_{\chi} + S^{-1}\dot{\mu}\left(\theta_{\psi} - \theta_{\chi}\right), \\ \dot{\theta}_{\psi} &= k^{2}\Psi + k^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\delta_{\psi} - \sigma_{\psi}\right) - \dot{\mu}\left(\theta_{\psi} - \theta_{\chi}\right), \end{split}$$

where dot means derivative over conformal time $d\tau \equiv dt/a$ (*a* is the scale factor), θ_{ψ} and θ_{χ} are velocity divergences of radiation ψ and DM χ 's, *k* is the comoving wave number, Ψ is the gravitational potential, δ_{ψ} and σ_{ψ} are the density perturbation and the anisotropic stress potential of ψ , and $\mathcal{H} \equiv \dot{a}/a$ is the conformal Hubble parameter. Finally, the scattering rate and the density ratio are defined by $\dot{\mu} = an_{\chi} \langle \sigma_{\chi\psi} c \rangle$ and $S = 3\rho_{\chi}/4\rho_{\psi}$, respectively.

Scattering Cross Section

The averaged cross section $\langle \sigma_{\chi\psi} \rangle$ can be estimated from the squared matrix element for $\chi\psi \to \chi\psi$:

$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{pol}} |\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{2g_X^4}{t^2} \left[t^2 + 2st + 8m_\chi^2 E_\psi^2 \right], \quad (9)$$

where the Mandelstam variables are $t = 2E_{\psi}^2 (\cos \theta - 1)$ and $s = m_{\chi}^2 + 2m_{\chi}E_{\psi}$, where θ is the scattering angle, and E_{ψ} is the energy of incoming ψ in the rest frame of χ . Integrated with a temperature-dependent Fermi-Dirac distribution for E_{ψ} , we find that $\langle \sigma_{\chi\psi} \rangle$ goes roughly as $g_X^4/(4\pi T_D^2)$.

• In general, the cross section could have different temperature dependence, depending on the underlying particle models.

Numerical Results

We take the central values of six parameters of ΛCDM from Planck,

$$\begin{split} \Omega_b h^2 &= 0.02227, & \text{Baryon density today} \\ \Omega_c h^2 &= 0.1184, & \text{CDM density today} \\ 100\theta_{\text{MC}} &= 1.04106, & 100 \times \text{approximation to } r_*/D_A \\ \tau &= 0.067, & \text{Thomson scattering optical depth} \\ \ln \left(10^{10}A_s\right) &= 3.064, & \text{Log power of primordial curvature perturbations} \\ n_s &= 0.9681, & \text{Scalar Spectrum power-law index} \end{split}$$

which gives $\sigma_8 = 0.817$ in vanilla Λ CDM cosmology. With the same input as above, now take

 $\delta N_{\rm eff} \simeq 0.53, m_{\chi} \simeq 100 {\rm GeV} \text{ and } g_X^2 \simeq 10^{-8}$

in the interacting DM case, we have $\sigma_8 \simeq 0.744$.

Modified Boltzmann code CLASS(Blas&Lesgourgues&Tram)

Matter Power Spectrum

DM-DR scattering causes diffuse damping at relevant scales, resolving σ_8 problem



Results

We take the central values of six parameters of ΛCDM from Planck [1],

$$\Omega_b h^2 = 0.02227, \Omega_c h^2 = 0.1184, 100\theta_{\rm MC} = 1.04106,$$

$$\tau = 0.067, \ln\left(10^{10}A_s\right) = 3.064, n_s = 0.9681, \qquad (11)$$

which gives $\sigma_8 = 0.817$ in vanilla ΛCDM cosmology. With the same input as above, now we take $\delta N_{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.53$, $m_{\chi} \simeq 100 \text{GeV}$ and $g_X^2 \simeq 10^{-8}$ in the interacting DM case, we have $\sigma_8 \simeq 0.744$ which is much closer to the value $\sigma_8 \simeq 0.730$ given by weak lensing survey CFHTLenS [3].

Residual Non-Abelian DM&DR P.Ko&YT, 1609.02307

- Consider *SU(N)* Yang-Mills gauge fields and a Dark Higgs field Φ $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{a}_{\mu\nu}F^{a\mu\nu} + (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}(D^{\mu}\Phi) - \lambda_{\phi}(|\Phi|^{2} - v_{\phi}^{2}/2)^{2},$
- Take SU(3) as an example,

$$A^{a}_{\mu}t^{a} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} A^{3}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}A^{8}_{\mu} & A^{1}_{\mu} - iA^{2}_{\mu} & A^{4}_{\mu} - iA^{5}_{\mu} \\ A^{1}_{\mu} + iA^{2}_{\mu} & -A^{3}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}A^{8}_{\mu} & A^{6}_{\mu} - iA^{7}_{\mu} \\ A^{4}_{\mu} + iA^{5}_{\mu} & A^{6}_{\mu} + iA^{7}_{\mu} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}A^{8}_{\mu} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$SU(3) \rightarrow SU(2)$$
$$\langle \Phi \rangle = \left(0 \ 0 \ \frac{v_{\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{T}, \Phi = \left(0 \ 0 \ \frac{v_{\phi} + \phi(x)}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{T},$$

The massive gauge bosons $A^{4,\cdots,8}$ as dark matter obtain masses,

$$m_{A^{4,5,6,7}} = \frac{1}{2}gv_{\phi}, \ m_{A^8} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}gv_{\phi},$$

and massless gauge bosons $A^{1,2,3}_{\mu}$. The physical scalar ϕ can couple to $A^{4,\cdots,8}_{\mu}$ at tree level and to $A^{1,2,3}$ at loop level.

$$SU(N) \to SU(N-1)$$

- 2N-1 massive gauge bosons: Dark Matter
- (N-1)²-1 massless gauge bosons: Dark Radiation
- mass spectrum

$$m_{A^{(N-1)^2,...,N^2-2}} = \frac{1}{2}gv_\phi, \ m_{A^{N^2-1}} = \frac{\sqrt{N-1}}{\sqrt{2N}}gv_\phi,$$

This can be proved by looking at the structure of f^{abc} . Divide the generators t^a into two subset,

$$a \subset [1, 2, ..., (N-1)^2 - 1], a \subset [(N-1)^2, ..., N^2 - 1].$$

Since $[t^a, t^b] = i f^{abc} t^c$ for the first subset forms closed SU(N-1) algebra, we have $f^{abc} = 0$ when only one of a, b and c is from the second subset. If one index is $N^2 - 1$, then other two must be among the second subset to give no vanishing f^{abc} , because t^{N^2-1} commutes with t^a from SU(N-1).

Phenomenology

- Constraints

$$\begin{split} \delta N_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{8}{7} \left[(N-1)^2 - 1 \right] \times 0.055, \\ g^2 &\lesssim \frac{T_{\gamma}}{T_A} \left(\frac{m_A}{M_P} \right)^{1/2} \sim 10^{-7}, \\ &\circ N \\$$

- N<6 if thermal
- small coupling,
- non-thermal production,
- low reheating temperature

Schmaltz et al(2015) EW charged DM

Yong TANG(U.Tokvo)

Interacting Dark Matter

KEKPH2017

48

Matter Power Spectrum



FIG. 3. Matter power spectrum P(k) (left) and ratio (right) with $m_{\chi} \simeq 10$ TeV and $g_X^2 \simeq 10^{-7}$, in comparison with Λ CDM. The black solid lines are for Λ CDM and the purple dot-dashed lines for interacting DM-DR case, with input parameters in Eq. 21. We can easily see that P(k) is suppressed for modes that enter horizon at radiation-dominant era. Those little wiggles are due to the well-known baryon acoustic oscillation.

Results

$$\Omega_b h^2 = 0.02227, \Omega_c h^2 = 0.1184, 100\theta_{\rm MC} = 1.04106,$$

$$\tau = 0.067, \ln\left(10^{10}A_s\right) = 3.064, n_s = 0.9681,$$
 (21)

and treat neutrino mass the same way as Planck did with $\sum m_{\nu} = 0.06$ eV, which gives $\sigma_8 = 0.815$ in vanilla ACDM cosmology. Together with the same inputs as above, we take $\delta N_{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.5$, $m_{\chi} \simeq 10$ TeV and $g_X^2 \simeq 10^{-7}$ in the interacting DM-DR case, we have $\sigma_8 \simeq 0.746$ which is much closer to the value $\sigma_8 \simeq 0.730$ given by weak lensing survey CFHTLenS [12].

- Within DM models with local dark SU(3) broken into SU(2), DM, DR and their interactions have common origin!
- And we could increase Neff, H₀ whereas making σ₈ decrease, thereby relaxing the tension between H₀ and σ₈

Thermal History



- The minimal setup with Higgs portal interaction $\lambda_{\phi H} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi H^{\dagger} H$
- SM and DS are decoupled early, DM is produced by freeze-in mechanism
- Late time decay, entropy production due to nonrelativistic decay, DR(δN_{eff})
- DM and DS scattering suppress the matter power spectrum

Summary

- We discussed some cosmological effects with interacting Dark Matter and Dark Radiation within DM models with dark gauge symmetries
- This scenario is motivated theoretically and also from observational tensions, H_0 and σ_8
- We present two particle physics models:
 - A massless dark photon with unbroken U(1) gauge symmetry
 - Residual non-Abelian Dark Matter and Dark Radiation
- It is possible to resolve tensions simultaneously