Phenomenological constraints on the mass of family-dependent extra U(1) gauge boson

#### **Bumseok KYAE**

Collaboration with K.S. Choi (이화여대) and M.-S. Seo (교원대)

Nov. 14. 2020 Pusan National Univ.

## **Anomaly-free U(1) extensions**

$$U(1)_{L_i-L_j}$$
,  $U(1)_{B_i-L_i}$ ,

- and their linear combinations.
- Here L and B indicate the lepton and baryon numbers,
- i, j (=1,2,3, i≠j) are family (or generation) indices.
- For example, the  $U(1)_{L1-L2}$  gauge charges are

Fields	$(\nu_e, e)_L, \ \nu_{eR}, \ e_R; \ L, \ E^c$	$(\nu_{\mu},\mu)_L,  \nu_{\mu R},  \mu_R;  L^c,  E$	$(\nu_{\tau}, \tau)_L, \nu_{\tau R}, \tau_R, H, $ quarks	$\phi$	${ ilde \phi}$
$\mathrm{U}(1)_{L_1-L_2}$	+q	-q	0	+2q	+q

### **Anomaly-free U(1) extensions**

$$U(1)_{L_i-L_j}$$
,  $U(1)_{B_i-L_i}$ ,

#### • and their linear combinations.

Since the new interactions through the new gauge boson should be suppressed than the well-known interaction by the SM gauge boson, the new gauge couplings  $(g_X)$  and gauge boson mass  $(M_g)$  must be constrained as

$$\frac{Q_{\psi}^2 g_X^2}{M_g^2} \ll \frac{g_2^2}{M_Z^2} \approx (140 \,\text{GeV})^{-2} \,, \tag{2}$$

where  $Q_{\psi}$  denotes the charges of the SM fermions under an extra U(1)<sub>X</sub> gauge symmetry.

In particular,  $U(1)_{L_i-L_j}$ s  $[U(1)_{B_i-L_i}$ s] admit only the [block-] diagonal forms of the Yukawa mass matrices for the SM chiral fermions. Actually non-zero off-diagonal components in the Yukawa textures are indispensable for the flavor mixing effects observed in weak interaction mediated by the W bosons. Moreover, the mixing angles in the lepton sector are known to be almost maximal [1].

• For example, the  $U(1)_{L1-L2}$  gauge charges are

Fields	$(\nu_e, e)_L, \ \nu_{eR}, \ e_R; \ L, \ E^c$	$(\nu_{\mu},\mu)_L,  \nu_{\mu R},  \mu_R;  L^c,  E$	$(\nu_{\tau}, \tau)_L, \nu_{\tau R}, \tau_R, H, $ quarks	$\phi$	$\tilde{\phi}$
$\mathrm{U}(1)_{L_1-L_2}$	+q	-q	0	+2q	+q

For the non-zero off-diagonal components in the Yukawa textures, the surplus  $U(1)_X$  charges in the required operators need to be compensated properly by a scalar field  $\phi$ , and a non-zero VEV of  $\phi$  should be developed, breaking the  $U(1)_X$ . Let us discuss the following form of the non-renormalizable terms in the Lagrangian with  $U(1)_{L_1-L_2}$ :

- Here L and B indicate the lepton and baryon numbers,
- i, j (i≠j) are family (or generation) indices.
- For example, the U(1)<sub>L1-L2</sub> gauge charges are

Fields	$(\nu_e, e)_L, \ \nu_{eR}, \ e_R; \ L, \ E^c$	$(\nu_{\mu},\mu)_L,  \nu_{\mu R},  \mu_R;  L^c,  E$	$(\nu_{\tau}, \tau)_L, \nu_{\tau R}, \tau_R, H, $ quarks	$\phi$	${ ilde \phi}$
$\mathrm{U}(1)_{L_1-L_2}$	+q	-q	0	+2q	+q



$$\begin{split} & \overbrace{\operatorname{Chars}}^{\mathrm{Fe}} V_{\phi} = -m_{\phi}^{2} |\phi|^{2} - m_{\tilde{\phi}}^{2} |\tilde{\phi}|^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} |\phi|^{4} + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2} |\tilde{\phi}|^{4} + \cdots \xrightarrow{\mathrm{s}} \frac{\mathrm{U}(1)_{X}}{\mathrm{t}\phi, \text{ and}} \\ & \text{a non-zero VEV of } \phi \text{ should be developed, breaking the U(1)_{X}. Let us discuss the following} \\ & \overbrace{-\mathcal{L} \supset \kappa_{12} \frac{\phi}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{e_{R}} \mu_{L} H + \kappa_{21} \frac{\phi^{*}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{\mu_{R}} e_{L} H + \mathrm{h. c.}, \\ & \overbrace{-\mathcal{L} \supset \kappa_{13} \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{e_{R}} \tau_{L} H + \kappa_{31} \frac{\tilde{\phi}^{*}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{\tau_{R}} e_{L} H + \kappa_{23} \frac{\tilde{\phi}^{*}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{\mu_{R}} \tau_{L} H + \kappa_{32} \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{\tau_{R}} \mu_{L} H + \mathrm{h. c.}, \\ & \overbrace{-\mathcal{L} \supset \kappa_{13} \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{e_{R}} \tau_{L} H + \kappa_{31} \frac{\tilde{\phi}^{*}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{\tau_{R}} e_{L} H + \kappa_{23} \frac{\tilde{\phi}^{*}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{\mu_{R}} \tau_{L} H + \kappa_{32} \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{\tau_{R}} \mu_{L} H + \mathrm{h. c.}, \\ & \overbrace{\mathrm{V}(1)_{L_{1}-L_{2}}} \underbrace{\tilde{\phi}_{L} + \mu_{L} + \kappa_{21} \frac{\tilde{\phi}^{*}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{\mu_{R}} \tau_{L} H + \kappa_{32} \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{\tau_{R}} \mu_{L} H + \mathrm{h. c.}, \\ & \overbrace{\mathrm{V}(1)_{L_{1}-L_{2}}} \underbrace{\tilde{\phi}_{L} + \mu_{L} + \kappa_{21} \frac{\tilde{\phi}^{*}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{\mu_{R}} \overline{\mu_{L}} H + \kappa_{22} \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{\mu_{R}} \overline{\mu_{L}} H + \kappa_{23} \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}} \overline{\mu_{R}} \overline{\mu_{L}} H + \mathrm{h. c.}, \\ & \overbrace{\mathrm{V}(1)_{L_{1}-L_{2}}} \underbrace{\tilde{\phi}_{L} + \mu_{L} + \mu_{L}$$

$$-\mathcal{L}_{1} \supset \kappa_{e} \ \overline{e_{R}}L_{L} \ H + \kappa_{\mu} \ \overline{L_{R}}\mu_{L} \ \phi + M_{V}\overline{L_{L}}L_{R} + \text{h. c.},$$
or
$$-\mathcal{L}_{2} \supset \kappa_{e} \ \overline{e_{R}}E_{L} \ \phi + \kappa_{\mu} \ \overline{E_{R}}\mu_{L} \ H + M_{V}\overline{E_{L}}E_{R} + \text{h. c.},$$
and
$$-\mathcal{L}_{3} \supset \kappa_{\tau} \ \overline{\tau_{R}}E_{L} \ \tilde{\phi} + \kappa_{\tau}' \ \overline{L_{R}}\tau_{L} \ \tilde{\phi} + \text{h. c.},$$

$$\stackrel{\text{a non-zero vevol} \varphi \text{ should be developed, oreaking the U(1)_{X}. Let us discuss the following for} \\ -\mathcal{L} \supset \kappa_{12} \frac{\phi}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}} \overline{e_{R}}\mu_{L}H + \kappa_{21} \frac{\phi^{*}}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}\overline{\mu_{R}}e_{L}H + \text{h. c.},$$

$$\stackrel{\tilde{\phi}}{=} -\mathcal{L} \supset \kappa_{12} \frac{\phi}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}} \overline{e_{R}}\mu_{L}H + \kappa_{21} \frac{\phi^{*}}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}\overline{\mu_{R}}r_{L}H + \text{h. c.},$$

$$\stackrel{\tilde{\phi}}{=} \frac{\overline{\phi}_{R}}{\overline{\lambda_{\text{cut}}}} \overline{\psi}_{R}e_{L}H + \kappa_{32} \frac{\tilde{\phi}^{*}}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}\overline{\tau_{R}}\mu_{L}H + \text{h. c.},$$

$$\stackrel{\tilde{\phi}}{=} \frac{\overline{\phi}_{R}}{\overline{\lambda_{\text{cut}}}} \overline{\psi}_{R}e_{L}H + \kappa_{32} \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}\overline{\tau_{R}}\mu_{L}H + \text{h. c.},$$

$$\stackrel{\tilde{\phi}}{=} \frac{\overline{\phi}_{R}}{\overline{\lambda_{\text{cut}}}} \overline{\psi}_{R}e_{L}H + \kappa_{32} \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\overline{\lambda_{\text{cut}}}}\overline{\tau_{R}}\mu_{L}H + \text{h. c.},$$



$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{c}} \\ \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{c}} \\ \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{c}}$$

$$\frac{\kappa_{12}\langle\phi\rangle}{\Lambda_{\rm cut}}\sim\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$$

 $\frac{\kappa_{32} \langle \tilde{\phi} \rangle}{\Lambda_{\rm cut}} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ 

Once  $\phi$  gets a non-zero VEV,

$$\left[\frac{\kappa_{12}\langle\phi\rangle}{\Lambda_{\rm cut}}\right] \times \overline{e_R} \ \mu_L H \quad + \quad \left[\frac{\kappa_{12}H}{\Lambda_{\rm cut}}\right] \times \delta\phi \ \overline{e_R} \ \mu_L$$

After diagonalization of the mass matrices,

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{int.}} \supset \kappa'_{ii} \left[\frac{H}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}\right] \delta \phi \overline{e'_{iR}} e'_{iL} + \kappa'_{ij} \left[\frac{H}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}\right] \delta \phi \overline{e'_{iR}} e'_{jL},$$

which play the role of the sources of FCNC. For small enough  $\kappa$ 's,

(1) 
$$|y_{ii}| \ll |\kappa_{ij}|$$
 or (2)  $y_{11} \approx y_{22} \approx y_{33}$ 

#### Once $\phi$ gets a non-zero VEV,

$$\left[\frac{\kappa_{12}\langle\phi\rangle}{\Lambda_{\rm cut}}\right] \times \overline{e_R} \ \mu_L H \quad + \quad \left[\frac{\kappa_{12}H}{\Lambda_{\rm cut}}\right] \times \delta\phi \ \overline{e_R} \ \mu_L$$

contributes to diagonalization of the mass matrix Not completely diagonalized in the diagonal basis ! together with the diagonal components

After diagonalization of the mass matrices,

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{int.}} \supset \kappa'_{ii} \left[\frac{H}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}\right] \delta \phi \overline{e'_{iR}} e'_{iL} + \kappa'_{ij} \left[\frac{H}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}\right] \delta \phi \overline{e'_{iR}} e'_{jL},$$

which play the role of the sources of FCNC. For small enough  $\kappa$ 's,

(1) 
$$|y_{ii}| \ll |\kappa_{ij}|$$
 or (2)  $y_{11} \approx y_{22} \approx y_{33}$ 

#### $\mu^{-} \rightarrow e^{-}e^{-}e^{+}$



$$\frac{\Gamma_{\mu \to ee\overline{e}}}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}} \approx \frac{2\left|\overline{\kappa}_{11}\overline{\kappa}_{12}\right|^2}{32m_{\phi}^4 G_F^2} \approx \frac{\left|\overline{\kappa}_{11}\overline{\kappa}_{12}\right|^2}{8\pi^2 \alpha_2^2} \times \frac{M_W^4}{m_{\phi}^4} \approx \frac{\left|\kappa_{11}'\kappa_{12}'\right|^2}{8\pi^2 \alpha_2^2} \times \left[\frac{\langle H \rangle}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}\right]^4 \left[\frac{M_W}{m_{\phi}}\right]^4$$
$$\approx 0.5 \times 10^{-12} \times \frac{\left|\kappa_{11}'\kappa_{12}'\right|^2 \lambda^2}{\kappa_{12}^4} \times \left[\frac{\langle H \rangle}{m_{\phi}}\right]^8,$$

which should be smaller than the Exp. Bound,  $1.0 \times 10^{-12}$ .

#### $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- e^- e^+$



$$\frac{\Gamma_{\mu \to ee\overline{e}}}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}} \approx \frac{2\left|\overline{\kappa}_{11}\overline{\kappa}_{12}\right|^{2}}{32m_{\phi}^{4}G_{F}^{2}} \approx \frac{\left|\overline{\kappa}_{11}\overline{\kappa}_{12}\right|^{2}}{8\pi^{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}} \times \frac{M_{W}^{4}}{m_{\phi}^{4}} \approx \frac{\left|\kappa_{11}^{\prime}\kappa_{12}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{8\pi^{2}\alpha_{2}^{2}} \times \left[\frac{\langle H \rangle}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}\right]^{4} \left[\frac{M_{W}}{m_{\phi}}\right]^{4} \\ \approx 0.5 \times 10^{-12} \times \frac{\left|\kappa_{11}^{\prime}\kappa_{12}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\lambda^{2}}{\kappa_{12}^{4}} \times \left[\frac{\langle H \rangle}{m_{\phi}}\right]^{8},$$

which should be smaller than the Exp. Bound,  $1.0 \times 10^{-12}$ .



$$\Gamma_{\mu \to e\gamma} \approx \frac{\alpha_2 \sin^2 \theta_W}{128\pi^4} \left( \overline{\kappa}_{13} \overline{\kappa}_{32} \right)^2 \times \frac{m_{\mu}^3 m_{\tau}^2}{m_{\tilde{\phi}}^4} \left[ \frac{\lambda \langle \tilde{\phi} \rangle^2}{m_{\tilde{\phi}}^2} \right]^2$$
  
where  $\overline{\kappa}_{ij} \equiv \kappa'_{ij} \times \frac{\langle H \rangle}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}$ 



$$\Gamma_{\mu \to e\gamma} \approx \frac{\alpha_2 \sin^2 \theta_W}{128\pi^4} \left(\overline{\kappa}_{13}\overline{\kappa}_{32}\right)^2 \times \frac{m_{\mu}^3 m_{\tau}^2}{m_{\tilde{\phi}}^4} \left[\frac{\lambda \langle \tilde{\phi} \rangle^2}{m_{\tilde{\phi}}^2}\right]^2$$
  
where  $\overline{\kappa}_{ij} \equiv \kappa'_{ij} \times \frac{\langle H \rangle}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}$ 

It is compared with the total decay rate,

$$\Gamma_{\rm tot} \approx \Gamma_{\mu \to e\nu\bar{\nu}} = \frac{G_F^2 m_{\mu}^5}{192\pi^3} = \frac{\alpha_2^2}{384\pi} \frac{m_{\mu}^5}{M_W^4}$$



$$\Gamma_{\mu \to e\gamma} \approx \frac{\alpha_2 \sin^2 \theta_W}{128\pi^4} \left( \overline{\kappa}_{13} \overline{\kappa}_{32} \right)^2 \times \frac{m_{\mu}^3 m_{\tau}^2}{m_{\tilde{\phi}}^4} \left[ \frac{\lambda \langle \tilde{\phi} \rangle^2}{m_{\tilde{\phi}}^2} \right]^2$$
  
where  $\overline{\kappa}_{ij} \equiv \kappa'_{ij} \times \frac{\langle H \rangle}{\Lambda_{\text{cut}}}$ 

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{Br}(\mu^- \to e^- \gamma) \approx 2.7 \times (\kappa_{13}' \kappa_{32}')^2 \left[\frac{\langle H \rangle}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}}\right]^4 \left[\frac{m_\tau}{m_\mu}\right]^2 \left[\frac{M_W^2}{m_{\tilde{\phi}}^2}\right]^2 \left[\frac{\lambda \langle \tilde{\phi} \rangle^2}{m_{\tilde{\phi}}^2}\right]^2 \\ &\approx 3.4 \times 10^{-7} \times \frac{(\kappa_{13}' \kappa_{32}')^2 \lambda^2}{\kappa_{32}^4} \left[\frac{\langle H \rangle^2}{m_{\tilde{\phi}}^2}\right]^4 < 4.2 \times 10^{-13}, \\ & \text{(experimental bound)} \\ & \text{or} \qquad m_{\tilde{\phi}} > 5.5 \times \frac{|\kappa_{13}' \kappa_{32}' \lambda|^{1/4}}{|\kappa_{32}|^{1/2}} \times \langle H \rangle \end{split}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Br}(\mu^- \to e^- \gamma) \approx 2.7 \times (\kappa_{13}' \kappa_{32}')^2 \left[\frac{\langle H \rangle}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{cut}}}\right]^4 \left[\frac{m_\tau}{m_\mu}\right]^2 \left[\frac{M_W^2}{m_{\tilde{\phi}}^2}\right]^2 \left[\frac{\lambda \langle \tilde{\phi} \rangle^2}{m_{\tilde{\phi}}^2}\right]^2 \\ &\approx 3.4 \times 10^{-7} \times \frac{(\kappa_{13}' \kappa_{32}')^2 \lambda^2}{\kappa_{32}^4} \left[\frac{\langle H \rangle^2}{m_{\tilde{\phi}}^2}\right]^4 < 4.2 \times 10^{-13}, \\ &\operatorname{or} \qquad m_{\tilde{\phi}} > 5.5 \times \frac{|\kappa_{13}' \kappa_{32}' \lambda|^{1/4}}{|\kappa_{32}|^{1/2}} \times \langle H \rangle \end{aligned}$$

## Conclusion

- Family-dependent extra U(1) (gauge) symmetries constrain the forms of Yukawa textures.
- For mixing effects observed in weak interactions. such U(1) symmetries need to be broken at the weak or higher energy scales.
- Otherwise, unwanted phenomena such as FCNC could arise.
- The extra U(1) gauge bosons should typically be heavier than 1TeV , but can be lighter by some tunings.

### Thank you!